

# Peano arithmetic

Klaus Grue

GRD-2005-06-29.UTC:12:32:14.418881

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Peano arithmetic</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	The constructs of Peano arithmetic . . . . .	2
1.2	Variables . . . . .	3
1.3	Mendelsons system S . . . . .	4
1.4	A lemma and a proof . . . . .	6
1.5	An alternative axiomatic system . . . . .	7
1.6	Restatement of lemma and proof . . . . .	8
<b>2</b>	<b>Formal development</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1	Propositional calculus . . . . .	9
2.1.1	Modus ponens . . . . .	9
2.1.2	Lemma M1.7 . . . . .	9
2.1.3	Hypothetical modus ponens . . . . .	9
2.1.4	Turning lemmas to hypothetical lemmas . . . . .	9
2.2	First order predicate calculus . . . . .	10
2.2.1	Hypothetical generalization . . . . .	10
2.3	Peano arithmetic . . . . .	10
2.3.1	Lemma M3.2(a) . . . . .	10
2.3.2	Lemma M3.2(b) . . . . .	10
2.3.3	Lemma M3.1(S1) . . . . .	11
2.3.4	Lemma M3.2(c) . . . . .	11
2.3.5	Lemma M3.1(S2) . . . . .	11
2.3.6	Lemma M3.1(S5) . . . . .	11
2.3.7	Lemma M3.1(S6) . . . . .	11
2.3.8	Lemma M3.2(f) . . . . .	11
<b>A</b>	<b>Chores</b>	<b>12</b>
A.1	The name of the page . . . . .	12
A.2	Variables of Peano arithmetic . . . . .	12
A.3	TeX definitions . . . . .	13
A.4	Test . . . . .	13
A.5	Priority table . . . . .	14

# 1 Peano arithmetic

This Logiweb page [1] defines Peano arithmetic.

## 1.1 The constructs of Peano arithmetic

Terms of Peano arithmetic are constructed from zero [ $\dot{0} \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"peano zero"}]$  [ $\dot{0} \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} "$

$\backslash\text{dot}\{0\}$ "], successor [ $x' \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"* peano succ"}$ ] [ $x' \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"\#1."}$ ], plus [ $x + y \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"* peano plus *"}$ ] [ $x + y \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"\#1."}$ ]

$\backslash\text{mathop}\{\backslash\text{dot}\{+\}\ \#\#2.\}$ , and times [ $x \cdot y \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"* peano times *"}$ ] [ $x \cdot y \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"\#1."}$ ]  
 $\backslash\text{mathop}\{\backslash\text{dot}\{\backslash\text{cdot}\}\ \#\#2.\}$ .

Formulas of Peano arithmetic are constructed from equality [ $x = y \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"* peano is *"}$ ] [ $x = y \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"\#1."}$ ]

$\backslash\text{stackrel}\{p\}\{=\ \#\#2.\}$ , negation [ $\neg x \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"peano not *"}$ ] [ $\neg x \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} "$   
 $\backslash\text{dot}\{\backslash\text{neg}\}\ \#\#1.\}$ , implication [ $x \Rightarrow y \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"* peano imply *"}$ ] [ $x \Rightarrow y \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"\#1."}$ ]  
 $\backslash\text{mathrel}\{\backslash\text{dot}\{\backslash\text{Rightarrow}\}\ \#\#2.\}$ , and universal quantification  
 $\dot{\forall}x: y \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"peano all * indeed *"}$  [ $\dot{\forall}x: y \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} "$   
 $\backslash\text{dot}\{\backslash\text{forall}\}\ \#\#1.$   
 $\backslash\text{colon}\ \#\#2.\]$ .

From these constructs we macro define one [ $\dot{1} \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"peano one"}$ ] [ $\dot{1} \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} "$   
 $\backslash\text{dot}\{1\}$ "], two [ $\dot{2} \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"peano two"}$ ] [ $\dot{2} \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} "$   
 $\backslash\text{dot}\{2\}$ "], conjunction [ $x \wedge y \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"* peano and *"}$ ] [ $x \wedge y \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"\#1."}$ ]  
 $\backslash\text{mathrel}\{\backslash\text{dot}\{\backslash\text{wedge}\}\ \#\#2.\}$ , disjunction [ $x \vee y \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"* peano or *"}$ ] [ $x \vee y \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"\#1."}$ ]

$\backslash\text{mathrel}\{\backslash\text{dot}\{\backslash\text{vee}\}\ \#\#2.\}$ , biimplication [ $x \Leftrightarrow y \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"* peano iff *"}$ ] [ $x \Leftrightarrow y \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"\#1."}$ ]

$\backslash\text{mathrel}\{\backslash\text{dot}\{\backslash\text{Leftrightarrow}\}\ \#\#2.\}$ , and existential quantification  
 $\dot{\exists}x: y \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"peano exist * indeed *"}$  [ $\dot{\exists}x: y \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} "$   
 $\backslash\text{dot}\{\backslash\text{exists}\}\ \#\#1.$   
 $\backslash\text{colon}\ \#\#2.\]$ :

$$[\dot{1} \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4(t, s, c, [[\dot{1} \equiv \dot{0'}]]])$$

$$[\dot{2} \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4(t, s, c, [[\dot{2} \equiv \dot{1'}]]])$$

$$[x \wedge y \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4(t, s, c, [[x \wedge y \equiv \neg(x \Rightarrow \neg y)]])]$$

$$[x \dot{\vee} y \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4(t, s, c, [[x \dot{\vee} y \equiv \dot{\neg} x \Rightarrow y]]])$$

$$[x \dot{\Leftrightarrow} y \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4(t, s, c, [[x \dot{\Leftrightarrow} y \equiv (x \Rightarrow y) \wedge (y \Rightarrow x)]])]$$

$$[\dot{\exists} x: y \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4(t, s, c, [[\dot{\exists} x: y \equiv \dot{\neg} \dot{\forall} x: \dot{\neg} y]]])]$$

## 1.2 Variables

We now introduce the unary operator  $[\dot{x} \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"* peano var"}][\dot{x} \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"}\backslash\text{dot}\{\#1.}\text{"}]$  and define that a term is a *Peano variable* (i.e. a variable of Peano arithmetic) if it has the  $[\dot{x}]$  operator in its root.  $[x^P \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"* is peano var"}][x^P \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"}\#1.]$

$\{\} \wedge \{\backslash\text{cal P}\}$  is true if  $[x]$  is a Peano variable:

$$[x^P \xrightarrow{\text{val}} x \stackrel{r}{=} [\dot{x}]]$$

We macro define  $[\dot{a} \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"peano a"}][\dot{a} \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"}\backslash\text{dot}\{\text{mathit}\{a\}\}\text{"}]$  to be a Peano variable:

$$[\dot{a} \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4(t, s, c, [[\dot{a} \stackrel{r}{=} a]]])$$

Appendix A.2 defines Peano variables for the other letters of the English alphabet.

$[\text{nonfree}(x, y) \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"peano nonfree * in * end nonfree"}][\text{nonfree}(x, y) \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"}\backslash\text{dot}\{\text{nonfree}\}\{\#1.}\text{"}, \#2.]$

$)$  is true if the Peano variable  $[x]$  does not occur free in the Peano term/formula  $[y]$ .  $[\text{nonfree}^*(x, y) \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"peano nonfree star * in * end nonfree"}][\text{nonfree}^*(x, y) \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"}\backslash\text{dot}\{\text{nonfree}\}\{\#1.}\text{"}, \#2.]$

$)$  is true if the Peano variable  $[x]$  does not occur free in the list  $[y]$  of Peano terms/formulas.

$$[\text{nonfree}(x, y) \xrightarrow{\text{val}}$$

$$\text{If}(y^P, \neg x \stackrel{t}{=} y,$$

$$\text{If}(\neg y \stackrel{r}{=} [\dot{\forall} x: y], \text{nonfree}^*(x, y^t),$$

$$\text{If}(x \stackrel{t}{=} y^1, T, \text{nonfree}(x, y^2))))]$$

$$[\text{nonfree}^*(x, y) \xrightarrow{\text{val}} x! \text{If}(y, T, \text{If}(\text{nonfree}(x, y^h), \text{nonfree}^*(x, y^t), F))]$$

$[\text{free}\langle a | x := b \rangle \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"peano free * set * to * end free"}][\text{free}\langle a | x := b \rangle \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"}\backslash\text{dot}\{\text{free}\}\{\text{lang}\#1.}\text{"}]$

| #2.

$\#3.$

$\backslash \text{rangle}"]$  is true if the substitution [ $\langle a | x := b \rangle$ ] is free.

[free\* $\langle a | x := b \rangle \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$  “peano free star \* set \* to \* end free”][free\* $\langle a | x := b \rangle \xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$  “ $\backslash \text{dot}\{\text{free}\}\{\}^*\backslash \text{rangle} \#1.$ ”

$\#2.$

$\#3.$

$\backslash \text{rangle}"]$  is the version where [a] is a list of terms.

[free $\langle a | x := b \rangle \xrightarrow{\text{val}}$   $x!b!$ ]

If( $a^P, T,$

If( $\neg a \stackrel{r}{=} [\forall u: v], \text{free}^* \langle a^t | x := b \rangle,$

If( $a^1 \stackrel{t}{=} x, T,$

If(nonfree(x, a<sup>2</sup>), T,

If( $\neg \text{nonfree}(a^1, b), F,$

free $\langle a^2 | x := b \rangle))))])$

[free\* $\langle a | x := b \rangle \xrightarrow{\text{val}}$   $x!b!$  If(a, T, If(free $\langle a^h | x := b \rangle$ , free\* $\langle a^t | x := b \rangle, F)))$

[ $a \equiv \langle b | x := c \rangle \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$  “peano sub \* is \* where \* is \* end sub”][ $a \equiv \langle b | x := c \rangle \xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$  “ $\#1.$ ”  
 $\backslash \text{equiv}\backslash \text{rangle} \#2.$ ”

$\#3.$

$\#4.$

$\backslash \text{rangle}"]$  is true if [a] equals [ $\langle b | x := c \rangle$ ]. [ $a \equiv \langle *b | x := c \rangle \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$  “peano sub star \* is \* where \* is \* end sub”][ $a \equiv \langle *b | x := c \rangle \xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$  “ $\#1.$ ”  
 $\backslash \text{equiv}\backslash \text{rangle}^* \#2.$ ”

$\#3.$

$\#4.$

$\backslash \text{rangle}"]$  is the version where [a] and [b] are lists.

[ $a \equiv \langle b | x := c \rangle \xrightarrow{\text{val}}$   $a!x!c!$ ]

If(IF( $b \stackrel{r}{=} [\forall u: v], b^1 \stackrel{t}{=} x, F), a \stackrel{t}{=} b,$

If( $b^P \wedge b \stackrel{t}{=} x, a \stackrel{t}{=} c, \text{If}($

$a \stackrel{r}{=} b, a^t \equiv \langle *b^t | x := c \rangle, F)))$ )

[ $a \equiv \langle *b | x := c \rangle \xrightarrow{\text{val}}$   $b!x!c!$  If(a, T, If(free $\langle a^h | x := c \rangle$ , a<sup>t</sup>  $\equiv \langle *b^t | x := c \rangle, F)))$

## 1.3 Mendelsons system S

System [S  $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$  “system s”][S  $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$  “

S”] of Mendelson [2] expresses Peano arithmetic. It comprises the axioms

[A1  $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$  “axiom a one”][A1  $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$  “

A1”], [A2  $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$  “axiom a two”][A2  $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$  “

A2”], [A3  $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$  “axiom a three”][A3  $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$  “

A3"], [A4  $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$  "axiom a four"] [A4  $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$  "A4"], and [A5  $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$  "axiom a five"] [A5  $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$  "A5"] and inference rules [MP  $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$  "rule mp"] [MP  $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$  "MP"] and [Gen  $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$  "rule gen"] [Gen  $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$  "Gen"] of first order predicate calculus. Furthermore, it comprises the proper axioms [S1  $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$  "axiom s one"] [S1  $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$  "S1"], [S2  $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$  "axiom s two"] [S2  $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$  "S2"], [S3  $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$  "axiom s three"] [S3  $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$  "S3"], [S4  $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$  "axiom s four"] [S4  $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$  "S4"], [S5  $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$  "axiom s five"] [S5  $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$  "S5"], [S6  $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$  "axiom s six"] [S6  $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$  "S6"], [S7  $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$  "axiom s seven"] [S7  $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$  "S7"], [S8  $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$  "axiom s eight"] [S8  $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$  "S8"], and [S9  $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$  "axiom s nine"] [S9  $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$  "S9"]. System [S] is defined thus:

$$[S \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} \dot{a} + \dot{b}' \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{a} + \dot{b}' \oplus \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \dot{\neg} \underline{b} \Rightarrow \dot{\neg} \underline{a} \Rightarrow \dot{\neg} \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \oplus \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{b} \Rightarrow \dot{a}' \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{b}' \oplus \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \vdash \underline{b} \oplus \dot{a}' \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{b}' \Rightarrow \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{b} \oplus \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \oplus \forall \underline{x}: \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \text{nonfree}(\underline{x}, \underline{a}) \Vdash \forall \underline{x}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \forall \underline{x}: \underline{b} \oplus \dot{a} : \dot{b}' \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{a} : \dot{b} + \dot{a} \oplus \dot{a} + \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{a} \oplus \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \oplus \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{b} \Rightarrow \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{c} \oplus \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \forall \underline{x}: \underline{b} \equiv (\underline{a} | \underline{x} := \dot{0}) \Vdash \underline{c} \equiv (\underline{a} | \underline{x} := \dot{x}') \Vdash \underline{b} \Rightarrow \forall \underline{x}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \Rightarrow \forall \underline{x}: \underline{a} \oplus \neg \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{a}' \oplus \forall \underline{x}: \forall \underline{a}: \vdash \forall \underline{x}: \underline{a} \oplus \forall \underline{c}: \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{x}: \forall \underline{b}: [\underline{a}] \equiv ([\underline{b}] | [\underline{x}] := [\underline{c}]) \Vdash \forall \underline{x}: \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \oplus \dot{a} : \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0}]$$

$$[A1 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a}] [A1 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$$

$$[A2 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{c}] [A2 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$$

$$[A3 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \dot{\neg} \underline{b} \Rightarrow \dot{\neg} \underline{a} \Rightarrow \dot{\neg} \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b}] [A3 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$$

The order of quantifiers in the following axiom is such that  $[\underline{c}]$  which the current conclusion tactic cannot guess comes first. This allows to supply a value for  $[\underline{c}]$  without having to supply values for the other meta-variables.

$$[A4 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{c}: \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{x}: \forall \underline{b}: [\underline{a}] \equiv ([\underline{b}] | [\underline{x}] := [\underline{c}]) \Vdash \forall \underline{x}: \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a}] [A4 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$$

$$[A5 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{x}: \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \text{nonfree}(\underline{x}, \underline{a}) \Vdash \forall \underline{x}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \forall \underline{x}: \underline{b}] [A5 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$$

$$[\text{MP} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \vdash \underline{a} \vdash \underline{b}] [\text{MP} \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$$

$$[\text{Gen} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{x}: \underline{a} : \underline{a} \vdash \dot{\forall} \underline{x}: \underline{a}][\text{Gen} \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$$

Axiom [S1] to [S8] are stated as Mendelson [2] does. This is done here to test certain parts of the Logiweb system. Serious users of Peano arithmetic are advised to take Mendelson's Lemma 3.1 as axioms instead.

$$[S1 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{b} \Rightarrow \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{c} \Rightarrow \dot{b} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{c}][S1 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$$

$$[S2 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{b} \Rightarrow \dot{a}' \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{b}'][S2 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$$

$$[S3 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \neg \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{a}'][S3 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$$

$$[S4 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \dot{a}' \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{b}' \Rightarrow \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{b}][S4 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$$

$$[S5 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \dot{a} + \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{a}][S5 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$$

$$[S6 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \dot{a} + \dot{b}' \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{a} + \dot{b}'][S6 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$$

$$[S7 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \dot{a} : \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0}][S7 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$$

$$[S8 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \dot{a} : \dot{b}' \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{a} : \dot{b} + \dot{a}][S8 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$$

$$[S9 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \forall \underline{x}: \underline{b} \equiv \langle \underline{a}| \underline{x} := \dot{0} \rangle \Vdash \underline{c} \equiv \langle \underline{a}| \underline{x} := \underline{x}' \rangle \Vdash \underline{b} \Rightarrow \dot{\forall} \underline{x}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \Rightarrow \dot{\forall} \underline{x}: \underline{a}][S9 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$$

## 1.4 A lemma and a proof

We now prove Lemma [L3.2(a)  $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$  “lemma 1 three two a”][L3.2(a)  $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$  “L3.2(a)”] which is an instance of the corresponding proposition in Mendelson [2]:

$$[\text{L3.2(a)} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \dot{x} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x}]$$

$$[\text{L3.2(a)} \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}(\lceil S \vdash S5 \gg \dot{a} + \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{a}; \text{Gen} \triangleright \dot{a} + \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{a} \gg \dot{\forall} \dot{a}: \dot{a} + \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{a}; A4 @ \dot{x} \gg \dot{\forall} \dot{a}: \dot{a} + \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{a} \Rightarrow \dot{x} + \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x}; \text{MP} \triangleright \dot{\forall} \dot{a}: \dot{a} + \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{a} \Rightarrow \dot{x} + \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \triangleright \dot{\forall} \dot{a}: \dot{a} + \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{a} \gg \dot{x} + \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x}; S1 \gg \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{b} \Rightarrow \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{c} \Rightarrow \dot{b} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{c}; \text{Gen} \triangleright \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{b} \Rightarrow \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{c} \Rightarrow \dot{b} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{c} \gg \dot{\forall} \dot{c}: \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{b} \Rightarrow \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{c} \Rightarrow \dot{b} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{c} \Rightarrow \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{b} \Rightarrow \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{b} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x}; \text{MP} \triangleright \dot{\forall} \dot{c}: \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{b} \Rightarrow \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{c} \Rightarrow \dot{b} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{c} \Rightarrow \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{b} \Rightarrow \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{b} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \triangleright \dot{\forall} \dot{c}: \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{b} \Rightarrow \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{c} \Rightarrow \dot{b} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{c} \gg \dot{\forall} \dot{b}: \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{b} \Rightarrow \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{b} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x}; A4 @ \dot{x} \gg \dot{\forall} \dot{b}: \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{b} \Rightarrow \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{b} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} \triangleright \dot{\forall} \dot{b}: \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{b} \Rightarrow \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{b} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \gg \dot{\forall} \dot{a}: \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x}; \text{MP} \triangleright \dot{\forall} \dot{b}: \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{b} \Rightarrow \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{b} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x}; \text{Gen} \triangleright \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} + \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} + \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \gg \dot{\forall} \dot{a}: \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x}; A4 @ \dot{x} + \dot{0} \gg \dot{\forall} \dot{a}: \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} + \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} + \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \gg \dot{\forall} \dot{a}: \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} + \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} + \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \gg \dot{\forall} \dot{a}: \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} + \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} + \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \gg \dot{\forall} \dot{a}: \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} + \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} + \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \gg \dot{\forall} \dot{a}: \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} + \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} + \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x}], p_0, c)]$$

## 1.5 An alternative axiomatic system

System  $[S' \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"system prime s"}][S' \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"S"}]$  is system  $[S]$  in which the proper axioms are taken from Lemma 3.1 in Mendelson [2]. It comprises the axioms  $[A1' \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"axiom prime a one"}][A1' \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"A1"}]$ ,  $[A2' \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"axiom prime a two"}][A2' \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"A2"}]$ ,  $[A3' \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"axiom prime a three"}][A3' \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"A3"}]$ ,  $[A4' \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"axiom prime a four"}][A4' \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"A4"}]$ , and  $[A5' \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"axiom prime a five"}][A5' \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"A5"}]$  and inference rules  $[MP' \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"rule prime mp"}][MP' \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"MP"}]$  and  $[Gen' \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"rule prime gen"}][Gen' \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"Gen"}]$  of first order predicate calculus. Furthermore, it comprises the proper axioms  $[S1' \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"axiom prime s one"}][S1' \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"S1"}]$ ,  $[S2' \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"axiom prime s two"}][S2' \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"S2"}]$ ,  $[S3' \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"axiom prime s three"}][S3' \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"S3"}]$ ,  $[S4' \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"axiom prime s four"}][S4' \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"S4"}]$ ,  $[S5' \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"axiom prime s five"}][S5' \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"S5"}]$ ,  $[S6' \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"axiom prime s six"}][S6' \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"S6"}]$ ,  $[S7' \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"axiom prime s seven"}][S7' \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"S7"}]$ ,  $[S8' \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"axiom prime s eight"}][S8' \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"S8"}]$ , and  $[S9' \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"axiom prime s nine"}][S9' \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"S9"}]$ .

System  $[S']$  is defined thus:

$$\begin{aligned} [S' \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{b} \oplus \forall \underline{h}: \forall \underline{t}: \forall \underline{s}: \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{r} \vdash \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{s} \vdash \\ \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{r} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{s} \oplus \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \oplus \forall \underline{x}: \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \text{nonfree}([\underline{x}], [\underline{a}]) \Vdash \forall \underline{x}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \\ \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \oplus \forall \underline{x}: \underline{b} \oplus \forall \underline{h}: \forall \underline{t}: \forall \underline{r}: \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} + \underline{r} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{t} + \underline{r}' \oplus \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{b} \vdash \underline{a} + \underline{b} \oplus \\ \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a}' \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{b}' \oplus \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \vdash \underline{a} \vdash \underline{b} \oplus \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \\ \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \oplus \forall \underline{h}: \forall \underline{t}: \forall \underline{r}: \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{r} \vdash \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t}' \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{r}' \oplus \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} + \underline{b}' \stackrel{P}{=} \\ \underline{a} + \underline{b}' \oplus \forall \underline{a}: \neg \underline{o} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{a}' \oplus \forall \underline{x}: \forall \underline{a}: \underline{a} \vdash \forall \underline{x}: \underline{a} \oplus \forall \underline{c}: \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{x}: \forall \underline{b}: [\underline{a}] \equiv ([\underline{b}] || [\underline{x}]) := \\ [\underline{c}] \Vdash \forall \underline{x}: \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \oplus \forall \underline{h}: \forall \underline{t}: \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} + \underline{o} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{t} \oplus \forall \underline{a}: \underline{a} : \underline{o} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{o} \oplus \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \underline{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \\ \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{c} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{c} \oplus \forall \underline{t}: \underline{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{t} \oplus \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \forall \underline{x}: \underline{b} \equiv (\underline{a} | \underline{x} := \underline{0}) \Vdash \underline{c} \equiv (\underline{a} | \underline{x} := \\ \underline{x}') \Vdash \underline{b} \Rightarrow \forall \underline{x}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \Rightarrow \forall \underline{x}: \underline{a} \oplus \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \oplus \\ \forall \underline{h}: \forall \underline{t}: \forall \underline{r}: \forall \underline{s}: \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{r} \vdash \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{r} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{s} \vdash \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{s} \oplus \forall \underline{a}: \underline{a} + \underline{o} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{a}] \end{aligned}$$

$$[A1' \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S' \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a}] [A1' \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$$

$$[A2' \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S' \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{c}] [A2' \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \\ \text{Rule tactic}]$$

$$[A3' \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S' \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b}] [A3' \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$$

[A4'  $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$  S'  $\vdash \forall \underline{c}: \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{x}: \forall \underline{b}: [\underline{a}] \equiv \langle [\underline{b}] | [\underline{x}] := [\underline{c}] \rangle \vdash \dot{\forall} \underline{x}: \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a}$ ] [A4'  $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$   
Rule tactic]

[A5'  $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$  S'  $\vdash \forall \underline{x}: \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \text{nonfree}([\underline{x}], [\underline{a}]) \vdash \dot{\forall} \underline{x}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow$   
 $\dot{\forall} \underline{x}: \underline{b}$ ] [A5'  $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$  Rule tactic]

[MP'  $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$  S'  $\vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \vdash \underline{a} \vdash \underline{b}$ ] [MP'  $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$  Rule tactic]

[Gen'  $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$  S'  $\vdash \forall \underline{x}: \forall \underline{a}: \underline{a} \vdash \dot{\forall} \underline{x}: \underline{a}$ ] [Gen'  $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$  Rule tactic]

[S1'  $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$  S'  $\vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \underline{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{c} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{c}$ ] [S1'  $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$  Rule tactic]

[S2'  $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$  S'  $\vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a}' \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{b}'$ ] [S2'  $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$  Rule tactic]

[S3'  $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$  S'  $\vdash \forall \underline{a}: \neg \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{a}'$ ] [S3'  $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$  Rule tactic]

[S4'  $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$  S'  $\vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a}' \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{b}' \Rightarrow \underline{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{b}$ ] [S4'  $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$  Rule tactic]

[S5'  $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$  S'  $\vdash \forall \underline{a}: \underline{a} \dot{+} \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{a}$ ] [S5'  $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$  Rule tactic]

[S6'  $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$  S'  $\vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} \dot{+} \underline{b}' \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{a} \dot{+} \underline{b}'$ ] [S6'  $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$  Rule tactic]

[S7'  $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$  S'  $\vdash \forall \underline{a}: \underline{a} : \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0}$ ] [S7'  $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$  Rule tactic]

[S8'  $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$  S'  $\vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} : \underline{b}' \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{a} : \underline{b} \dot{+} \underline{a}$ ] [S8'  $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$  Rule tactic]

[S9'  $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$  S'  $\vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \forall \underline{x}: \underline{b} \equiv \langle \underline{a} | \underline{x} := \dot{0} \rangle \vdash \underline{c} \equiv \langle \underline{a} | \underline{x} := \underline{x}' \rangle \vdash \underline{b} \Rightarrow \dot{\forall} \underline{x}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow$   
 $\underline{c} \Rightarrow \dot{\forall} \underline{x}: \underline{a}$ ] [S9'  $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$  Rule tactic]

Note that [A1] and [A1'] are distinct. The former says  $[S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a}]$  and the latter says  $[S' \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a}]$ .

## 1.6 Restatement of lemma and proof

We now prove Lemma [L3.2(a)] once again under the name of

[L3.2(a)'  $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$  “lemma prime 1 three two a”] [L3.2(a)'  $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$  “

L3.2(a)”]:

[L3.2(a)'  $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$  S'  $\vdash \forall \underline{a}: \underline{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{a}$ ]

[L3.2(a)'  $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$   $\lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([S' \vdash \forall \underline{a}: S5' \gg \underline{a} \dot{+} \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{a}; S1' \gg \underline{a} \dot{+} \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \dot{+} \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{a}; MP' \triangleright \underline{a} \dot{+} \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \dot{+} \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{a} \triangleright \underline{a} \dot{+} \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{a} \gg \underline{a} \dot{+} \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{a}; MP' \triangleright \underline{a} \dot{+} \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{a} \triangleright \underline{a} \dot{+} \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{a} \gg \underline{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{a}], p_0, c)]$

## 2 Formal development

### 2.1 Propositional calculus

#### 2.1.1 Modus ponens

We use  $[x \sqsupseteq y \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"* macro modus ponens *"}][x \sqsupseteq y \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"\#1.\unrhd_h \#2."}]$  as shorthand for modus ponens:

$$[x \sqsupseteq y \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4(t, s, c, [x \sqsupseteq y \doteq MP' \triangleright x \triangleright y])]$$

#### 2.1.2 Lemma M1.7

Lemma [M1.7  $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$  “mendelson one seven”][M1.7  $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$  “M1.7”] (i.e. Lemma 1.7 in Mendelson [2]) reads:

$$[\text{M1.7} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S' \vdash \forall \underline{b}: \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{b}]$$

$$[\text{M1.7} \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([\text{S}' \vdash \forall \underline{b}: A1' \gg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{b}; A2' \gg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{b}; MP' \triangleright \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \gg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{b}; A1' \gg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{b}; MP' \triangleright \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \triangleright \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \gg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{b}], p_0, c)]$$

#### 2.1.3 Hypothetical modus ponens

The hypothetical version  $[MP'_h \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"hypothetical rule prime mp"}][MP'_h \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"MP'_h"}]$  of modus ponens MP' has a hypothesis  $\underline{h}$  on each premise and on the conclusion:

$$[MP'_h \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S' \vdash \forall \underline{h}: \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \vdash \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \vdash \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{b}]$$

$$[MP'_h \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([\text{S}' \vdash \forall \underline{h}: \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \vdash \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \vdash \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{b}; MP' \triangleright \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \triangleright \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b}; MP' \triangleright \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \gg \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{b}], p_0, c)]$$

We use  $[x \sqsupseteq_h y \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"* hypothetical modus ponens *"}][x \sqsupseteq_h y \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"\#1.\unrhd_h \#2."}]$  as shorthand for hypothetical modus ponens:

$$[x \sqsupseteq_h y \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4(t, s, c, [x \sqsupseteq_h y \doteq MP'_h \triangleright x \triangleright y])]$$

#### 2.1.4 Turning lemmas to hypothetical lemmas

Lemma [Hypothesize  $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$  “hypothesize”][Hypothesize  $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$  “Hypothesize”] turns a lemma with no premises into one that assumes the hypothesis [ $\underline{h}$ ] to hold:

$$[\text{Hypothesize} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S' \vdash \forall \underline{h}: \forall \underline{a}: \vdash \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{a}]$$

[Hypothesize  $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}(\lceil S' \vdash \forall \underline{h}: \forall \underline{a}: \underline{a} \vdash A1' \gg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{a}; \text{MP}' \triangleright \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \triangleright \underline{a} \gg \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{a}], p_0, c]$ ]

## 2.2 First order predicate calculus

### 2.2.1 Hypothetical generalization

The hypothetical version  $[\text{Gen}'_h \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"hypothetical rule prime gen"}][\text{Gen}'_h \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"Gen'\_h"}]$  of generalisation Gen' has a hypothesis  $\underline{h}$  on premise and conclusion:

$[\text{Gen}'_h \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S' \vdash \forall \underline{h}: \forall \underline{x}: \forall \underline{a}: \text{nonfree}(\lceil \underline{x} \rceil, \lceil \underline{h} \rceil) \Vdash \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \vdash \underline{h} \Rightarrow \forall \underline{x}: \underline{a}]$

$[\text{Gen}'_h \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}(\lceil S' \vdash \forall \underline{h}: \forall \underline{x}: \forall \underline{a}: \text{nonfree}(\lceil \underline{x} \rceil, \lceil \underline{h} \rceil) \Vdash \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \vdash A5' \triangleright \text{nonfree}(\lceil \underline{x} \rceil, \lceil \underline{h} \rceil) \gg \forall \underline{x}: \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{h} \Rightarrow \forall \underline{x}: \underline{a}; \text{Gen}' \triangleright \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \gg \forall \underline{x}: \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{a}; \text{MP}' \triangleright \forall \underline{x}: \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{h} \Rightarrow \forall \underline{x}: \underline{a} \triangleright \forall \underline{x}: \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \gg \underline{h} \Rightarrow \forall \underline{x}: \underline{a}], p_0, c]$ ]

## 2.3 Peano arithmetic

### 2.3.1 Lemma M3.2(a)

Lemma  $[\text{M3.2(a)} \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"mendelson three two a"}][\text{M3.2(a)} \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"M3.2(a)"}]$  and the associated hypothetical lemma  $[\text{M3.2(a)}_h \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"hypothetical three two a"}][\text{M3.2(a)}_h \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"M3.2(a)\_h"}]$  read:

$[\text{M3.2(a)} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S' \vdash \forall \underline{t}: \underline{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{t}][\text{M3.2(a)} \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$

$[\text{M3.2(a)}_h \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S' \vdash \forall \underline{h}: \forall \underline{t}: \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{t}]$

Above we cheat in stating M3.2(a) as a rule and not as a lemma. A reasonable way to construct a large proof is to start stating everything as rules and then changing the rules to lemmas one at a time until only the rules of the theory are left.

$[\text{M3.2(a)}_h \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}(\lceil S' \vdash \forall \underline{h}: \forall \underline{t}: \text{M3.2(a)} \gg \underline{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{t}; \text{Hypothesize} \triangleright \underline{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{t} \gg \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{t}], p_0, c]$ ]

### 2.3.2 Lemma M3.2(b)

Lemma  $[\text{M3.2(b)}_h \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"hypothetical three two b"}][\text{M3.2(b)}_h \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"M3.2(b)\_h"}]$  reads:

$[\text{M3.2(b)}_h \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S' \vdash \forall \underline{h}: \forall \underline{r}: \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{r} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{r} \vdash \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{r} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{r}][\text{M3.2(b)}_h \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$

$[\text{M3.2(b)}_h \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}(\lceil S' \vdash \forall \underline{h}: \forall \underline{t}: \forall \underline{r}: \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{r} \vdash S1' \gg \underline{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{r} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{t} \Rightarrow \underline{r} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{t}; \text{Hypothesize} \triangleright \underline{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{r} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{t} \Rightarrow \underline{r} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{t} \gg \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{r} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{t} \Rightarrow \underline{r} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{t}; \text{MP}'_h \triangleright \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{r} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{t} \Rightarrow \underline{r} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{t} \triangleright \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{r} \gg \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{t} \Rightarrow \underline{r} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{t}; \text{M3.2(a)}_h \gg \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{t}; \text{MP}'_h \triangleright \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{t} \Rightarrow \underline{r} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{t} \triangleright \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{t} \gg \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{r} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{t}], p_0, c)$ ]

### 2.3.3 Lemma M3.1(S1)

Lemma  $[M3.1(S1')]_h \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"hypothetical three one s one"}]$   $[M3.1(S1')]_h \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"M3.1(S1')\_h"}$  is the hypothetical version of Mendelson Lemma 3.1(S1):

$$[M3.1(S1')]_h \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S' \vdash \forall \underline{h}: \forall \underline{t}: \forall \underline{r}: \forall \underline{s}: \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{r} \vdash \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{s} \vdash \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{r} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{s}$$

$\S [M3.1(S1')]_h \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$

### 2.3.4 Lemma M3.2(c)

Lemma  $[M3.2(c)]_h \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"hypothetical three two c"}]$   $[M3.2(c)]_h \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"M3.2(c)\_h"}$  is the hypothetical version of Mendelson Lemma 3.2(c) which expresses ordinary transitivity:

$$[M3.2(c)]_h \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S' \vdash \forall \underline{h}: \forall \underline{t}: \forall \underline{r}: \forall \underline{s}: \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{r} \vdash \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{r} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{s} \vdash \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{s}$$

$\S [M3.2(c)]_h \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$

### 2.3.5 Lemma M3.1(S2)

Lemma  $[M3.1(S2')]_h \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"hypothetical three one s two"}]$   $[M3.1(S2')]_h \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"M3.1(S2')\_h"}$  is the hypothetical version of Mendelson Lemma 3.1(S2):

$$[M3.1(S2')]_h \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S' \vdash \forall \underline{h}: \forall \underline{t}: \forall \underline{r}: \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{r} \vdash \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t}' \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{r}'$$

$[M3.1(S2')]_h \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$

### 2.3.6 Lemma M3.1(S5)

Lemma  $[M3.1(S5')]_h \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"hypothetical three one s five"}]$   $[M3.1(S5')]_h \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"M3.1(S5')\_h"}$  is the hypothetical version of Mendelson Lemma 3.1(S5):

$$[M3.1(S5')]_h \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S' \vdash \forall \underline{h}: \forall \underline{t}: \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \dot{+} \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{t}$$

$[M3.1(S5')]_h \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$

### 2.3.7 Lemma M3.1(S6)

Lemma  $[M3.1(S6')]_h \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"hypothetical three one s six"}]$   $[M3.1(S6')]_h \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"M3.1(S6')\_h"}$  is the hypothetical version of Mendelson Lemma 3.1(S6):

$$[M3.1(S6')]_h \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S' \vdash \forall \underline{h}: \forall \underline{t}: \forall \underline{r}: \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \dot{+} \underline{r} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{t} \dot{+} \underline{r}'$$

$[M3.1(S6')]_h \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$

### 2.3.8 Lemma M3.2(f)

Lemma  $[M3.2(f)]_h \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"mendelson three two f"}$   $[M3.2(f)]_h \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"M3.2(f)"}$  is the closure of Mendelson Lemma 3.2(f) for the concrete variable  $[\underline{t}]$ :

$$[M3.2(f)]_h \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S' \vdash \dot{\forall} \underline{t}: \underline{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} \dot{+} \dot{\underline{t}}$$

The proof below uses local macro definitions.

$[M3.2(f) \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}(\lceil S' \vdash A1' \gg x \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow x; M3.1(S5')_h \gg x \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow \dot{0} + \dot{0} \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0}; M3.2(b)_h \triangleright x \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow \dot{0} + \dot{0} \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} \gg x \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow \dot{0} \stackrel{p}{=}$   
 $\dot{0} + \dot{0}; MP' \triangleright x \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow \dot{0} \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{0} \triangleright x \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow \dot{0} \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{0}; M1.7 \gg t \stackrel{p}{=}$   
 $\dot{0} + \dot{t} \Rightarrow t \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t}; M3.1(S2')_h \triangleright t \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t} \Rightarrow t \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t} \gg t \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t} \Rightarrow t' \stackrel{p}{=}$   
 $\dot{0} + \dot{t}'; M3.1(S6')_h \gg t \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t} \Rightarrow \dot{0} + \dot{t}' \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t}'; M3.2(b)_h \triangleright t \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t} \Rightarrow \dot{0} + \dot{t}' \stackrel{p}{=}$   
 $\dot{0} + \dot{t}' \gg t \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t} \Rightarrow \dot{0} + \dot{t}' \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t}'; M3.2(c)_h \triangleright t \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t} \Rightarrow t' \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t}' \triangleright t \stackrel{p}{=}$   
 $\dot{0} + \dot{t} \Rightarrow \dot{0} + \dot{t}' \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t}' \gg t \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t} \Rightarrow t' \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t}'; \text{Gen}' \triangleright t \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t} \Rightarrow t' \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t}' \gg$   
 $\forall t: t \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t} \Rightarrow t' \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t}'; S9' \gg \dot{0} \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{0} \Rightarrow \forall t: t \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t} \Rightarrow t' \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t}' \Rightarrow$   
 $\forall t: t \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t}; MP' \triangleright \dot{0} \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{0} \Rightarrow \forall t: t \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t} \Rightarrow t' \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t}' \Rightarrow \forall t: t \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t} \triangleright \dot{0} \stackrel{p}{=}$   
 $\dot{0} + \dot{0} \gg \forall t: t \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t} \Rightarrow t' \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t}' \Rightarrow \forall t: t \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t}; MP' \triangleright \forall t: t \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t} \Rightarrow t' \stackrel{p}{=}$   
 $\dot{0} + \dot{t}' \Rightarrow \forall t: t \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t} \triangleright \forall t: t \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t} \Rightarrow t' \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t}' \gg \forall t: t \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t}], p_0, c]$

## A Chores

### A.1 The name of the page

This defines the name of the page:

[peano  $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$  “peano”]

### A.2 Variables of Peano arithmetic

We use  $[\dot{b} \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{“peano b”}] [\dot{c} \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{“}$   
 $\backslash \text{dot}\{\text{\textit{b}}\}\}, [\dot{c} \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{“peano c”}] [\dot{c} \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{“}$   
 $\backslash \text{dot}\{\text{\textit{c}}\}\}, [\dot{d} \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{“peano d”}] [\dot{d} \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{“}$   
 $\backslash \text{dot}\{\text{\textit{d}}\}\}, [\dot{e} \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{“peano e”}] [\dot{e} \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{“}$   
 $\backslash \text{dot}\{\text{\textit{e}}\}\}, [\dot{f} \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{“peano f”}] [\dot{f} \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{“}$   
 $\backslash \text{dot}\{\text{\textit{f}}\}\}, [\dot{g} \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{“peano g”}] [\dot{g} \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{“}$   
 $\backslash \text{dot}\{\text{\textit{g}}\}\}, [\dot{h} \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{“peano h”}] [\dot{h} \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{“}$   
 $\backslash \text{dot}\{\text{\textit{h}}\}\}, [\dot{i} \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{“peano i”}] [\dot{i} \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{“}$   
 $\backslash \text{dot}\{\text{\textit{i}}\}\}, [\dot{j} \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{“peano j”}] [\dot{j} \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{“}$   
 $\backslash \text{dot}\{\text{\textit{j}}\}\}, [\dot{k} \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{“peano k”}] [\dot{k} \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{“}$   
 $\backslash \text{dot}\{\text{\textit{k}}\}\}, [\dot{l} \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{“peano l”}] [\dot{l} \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{“}$   
 $\backslash \text{dot}\{\text{\textit{l}}\}\}, [\dot{m} \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{“peano m”}] [\dot{m} \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{“}$   
 $\backslash \text{dot}\{\text{\textit{m}}\}\}, [\dot{n} \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{“peano n”}] [\dot{n} \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{“}$   
 $\backslash \text{dot}\{\text{\textit{n}}\}\}, [\dot{o} \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{“peano o”}] [\dot{o} \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{“}$   
 $\backslash \text{dot}\{\text{\textit{o}}\}\}, [\dot{p} \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{“peano p”}] [\dot{p} \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{“}$   
 $\backslash \text{dot}\{\text{\textit{p}}\}\}, [\dot{q} \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{“peano q”}] [\dot{q} \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{“}$   
 $\backslash \text{dot}\{\text{\textit{q}}\}\}, [\dot{r} \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{“peano r”}] [\dot{r} \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{“}$   
 $\backslash \text{dot}\{\text{\textit{r}}\}\}, [\dot{s} \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{“peano s”}] [\dot{s} \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{“}$

$\backslash\text{dot}\{\text{\textit{s}}\}\}"]$ ,  $[\dot{t} \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{“peano t”}]$  $[\dot{t} \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{“}$   
 $\backslash\text{dot}\{\text{\textit{t}}\}\}"]$ ,  $[\dot{u} \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{“peano u”}]$  $[\dot{u} \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{“}$   
 $\backslash\text{dot}\{\text{\textit{u}}\}\}"]$ ,  $[\dot{v} \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{“peano v”}]$  $[\dot{v} \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{“}$   
 $\backslash\text{dot}\{\text{\textit{v}}\}\}"]$ ,  $[\dot{w} \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{“peano w”}]$  $[\dot{w} \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{“}$   
 $\backslash\text{dot}\{\text{\textit{w}}\}\}"]$ ,  $[\dot{x} \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{“peano x”}]$  $[\dot{x} \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{“}$   
 $\backslash\text{dot}\{\text{\textit{x}}\}\}"]$ ,  $[\dot{y} \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{“peano y”}]$  $[\dot{y} \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{“}$   
 $\backslash\text{dot}\{\text{\textit{y}}\}\}"]$ , and  $[\dot{z} \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{“peano z”}]$  $[\dot{z} \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{“}$   
 $\backslash\text{dot}\{\text{\textit{z}}\}\}"]$  to denote variables of Peano arithmetic:  
 $[\dot{b} \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{M}_4(t, s, c, [[\dot{b} \equiv \dot{b}]])]$ ,  $[\dot{c} \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{M}_4(t, s, c, [[\dot{c} \equiv \dot{c}]])]$ ,  
 $[\dot{d} \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{M}_4(t, s, c, [[\dot{d} \equiv \dot{d}]])]$ ,  $[\dot{e} \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{M}_4(t, s, c, [[\dot{e} \equiv \dot{e}]])]$ ,  
 $[\dot{f} \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{M}_4(t, s, c, [[\dot{f} \equiv \dot{f}]])]$ ,  $[\dot{g} \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{M}_4(t, s, c, [[\dot{g} \equiv \dot{g}]])]$ ,  
 $[\dot{h} \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{M}_4(t, s, c, [[\dot{h} \equiv \dot{h}]])]$ ,  $[\dot{i} \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{M}_4(t, s, c, [[\dot{i} \equiv \dot{i}]])]$ ,  
 $[\dot{j} \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{M}_4(t, s, c, [[\dot{j} \equiv \dot{j}]])]$ ,  $[\dot{k} \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{M}_4(t, s, c, [[\dot{k} \equiv \dot{k}]])]$ ,  
 $[\dot{l} \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{M}_4(t, s, c, [[\dot{l} \equiv \dot{l}]])]$ ,  $[\dot{m} \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{M}_4(t, s, c, [[\dot{m} \equiv \dot{m}]])]$ ,  
 $[\dot{n} \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{M}_4(t, s, c, [[\dot{n} \equiv \dot{n}]])]$ ,  $[\dot{o} \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{M}_4(t, s, c, [[\dot{o} \equiv \dot{o}]])]$ ,  
 $[\dot{p} \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{M}_4(t, s, c, [[\dot{p} \equiv \dot{p}]])]$ ,  $[\dot{q} \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{M}_4(t, s, c, [[\dot{q} \equiv \dot{q}]])]$ ,  
 $[\dot{r} \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{M}_4(t, s, c, [[\dot{r} \equiv \dot{r}]])]$ ,  $[\dot{s} \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{M}_4(t, s, c, [[\dot{s} \equiv \dot{s}]])]$ ,  
 $[\dot{t} \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{M}_4(t, s, c, [[\dot{t} \equiv \dot{t}]])]$ ,  $[\dot{u} \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{M}_4(t, s, c, [[\dot{u} \equiv \dot{u}]])]$ ,  
 $[\dot{v} \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{M}_4(t, s, c, [[\dot{v} \equiv \dot{v}]])]$ ,  $[\dot{w} \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{M}_4(t, s, c, [[\dot{w} \equiv \dot{w}]])]$ ,  
 $[\dot{x} \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{M}_4(t, s, c, [[\dot{x} \equiv \dot{x}]])]$ ,  $[\dot{y} \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{M}_4(t, s, c, [[\dot{y} \equiv \dot{y}]])]$ ,  
and  $[\dot{z} \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{M}_4(t, s, c, [[\dot{z} \equiv \dot{z}]])]$ .

### A.3 T<sub>E</sub>X definitions

#### A.4 Test

$[\lceil \dot{a} \rceil^P]$

$[\lceil a \rceil^P]^-$

$[\text{nonfree}(\lceil \dot{x} \rceil, \lceil \dot{y} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{z} \Rightarrow \dot{x} : \dot{y} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{z} \rceil)]$

$[\text{nonfree}(\lceil \dot{x} \rceil, \lceil \dot{x} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{z} \Rightarrow \dot{x} : \dot{x} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{z} \rceil)]^-$

$[\text{nonfree}(\lceil \dot{x} \rceil, \lceil \dot{y} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} : \dot{y} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{y} \rceil)]^-$

$[\text{nonfree}(\lceil \dot{x} \rceil, \lceil \dot{y} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{z} \Rightarrow \dot{y} : \dot{x} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{y} \rceil)]^-$

$[\text{free}(\lceil \dot{x} : b :: \dot{x} :: c \rceil | \lceil \dot{x} \rceil := \lceil x :: \dot{y} :: z \rceil)]$

$[\text{free}(\lceil \dot{y} : b :: \dot{x} :: c \rceil | \lceil \dot{x} \rceil := \lceil x :: \dot{y} :: z \rceil)]^-$

$[\text{free}(\lceil \dot{x} : b :: \dot{x} :: c \rceil | \lceil \dot{y} \rceil := \lceil x :: \dot{y} :: z \rceil)]$

[free( $\dot{\forall} \dot{y} : b :: \dot{x} :: c$ ) |  $\dot{[y]} := \dot{[x :: \dot{y} :: z]}$ )]

$\dot{[a \equiv (a | b := c)]}$

$\dot{[c \equiv (b | b := c)]}$

$\dot{[\forall a : a \stackrel{p}{=} b \equiv (\forall a : a \stackrel{p}{=} b | a := c)]}$

$\dot{[\forall a : a \stackrel{p}{=} c \equiv (\forall a : a \stackrel{p}{=} b | b := c)]}$

$\dot{[\forall a : a \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} + a \Rightarrow c : d \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} + c : d \equiv (\forall a : a \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} + a \Rightarrow b \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} + b | b := c : d)]}$

$\dot{[\forall a : a \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} + a \Rightarrow b \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} + b \equiv (\forall a : a \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} + a \Rightarrow b \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} + b | a := c)]}$

## A.5 Priority table

[peano  $\xrightarrow{\text{prio}}$

### Preassociative

[peano], [base], [bracket \* end bracket], [big bracket \* end bracket],  
 [math \* end math], [**flush left** [\*]], [**x**], [**y**], [**z**], [[\*  $\bowtie$  \*]], [[\*  $\stackrel{*}{\rightarrow}$  \*]], [pyk], [tex],  
 [name], [prio], [\*], [**T**], [if(\*, \*, \*)], [[\*  $\stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow}$  \*]], [val], [claim], [ $\perp$ ], [f(\*)], [(\*)<sup>I</sup>], [**F**], [ $\emptyset$ ],  
 [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [0], [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [**a**], [**b**], [**c**], [**d**],  
 [**e**], [**f**], [**g**], [**h**], [**i**], [**j**], [**k**], [**l**], [**m**], [**n**], [**o**], [**p**], [**q**], [**r**], [**s**], [**t**], [**u**], [**v**], [**w**], [(\*)<sup>M</sup>], [**If**(\*, \*, \*),  
 [\*]), [array{\*} \* end array], [**l**], [**c**], [**r**], [empty], [[\* \* := \*]], [ $\mathcal{M}$ (\*), [ $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ (\*), [ $\mathcal{U}$ (\*),  
 [ $\mathcal{U}^M$ (\*), [**apply**(\*, \*)], [**apply**<sub>1</sub>(\*, \*)], [identifier(\*)], [identifier<sub>1</sub>(\*, \*)], [array-  
 plus(\*, \*)], [array-remove(\*, \*, \*)], [array-put(\*, \*, \*, \*)], [array-add(\*, \*, \*, \*, \*)], [bit(\*, \*)],  
 [bit<sub>1</sub>(\*, \*)], [rack], ["vector"], ["bibliography"], ["dictionary"],  
 ["body"], ["codex"], ["expansion"], ["code"], ["cache"], ["diagnose"], ["pyk"],  
 ["tex"], ["texname"], ["value"], ["message"], ["macro"], ["definition"],  
 ["unpack"], ["claim"], ["priority"], ["lambda"], ["apply"], ["true"], ["if"],  
 ["quote"], ["proclaim"], ["define"], ["introduce"], ["hide"], ["pre"], ["post"],  
 [ $\mathcal{E}$ (\*, \*, \*), [ $\mathcal{E}_2$ (\*, \*, \*, \*, \*), [ $\mathcal{E}_3$ (\*, \*, \*, \*), [ $\mathcal{E}_4$ (\*, \*, \*, \*), [**lookup**(\*, \*, \*),  
 [**abstract**(\*, \*, \*, \*), [[\*]], [ $\mathcal{M}$ (\*, \*, \*), [ $\mathcal{M}_2$ (\*, \*, \*, \*), [ $\mathcal{M}^*$ (\*, \*, \*), [macro],  
 [ $s_0$ ], [**zip**(\*, \*)], [**assoc**<sub>1</sub>(\*, \*, \*)], [(\*)<sup>P</sup>], [self], [[\*  $\ddot{=}$  \*]], [[\*  $\dot{=}$  \*]], [[\*  $\dot{\doteq}$  \*]],  
 [[\*  $\stackrel{\text{pyk}}{=}$  \*]], [[\*  $\stackrel{\text{tex}}{=}$  \*]], [[\*  $\stackrel{\text{name}}{=}$  \*]], [**Priority table**\*], [ $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_1$ ], [ $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_2$ (\*), [ $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_3$ (\*),  
 [ $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4$ (\*, \*, \*, \*), [ $\mathcal{M}$ (\*, \*, \*), [ $\mathcal{Q}$ (\*, \*, \*), [ $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_2$ (\*, \*, \*), [ $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_3$ (\*, \*, \*, \*), [ $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^*$ (\*, \*, \*),  
 [(\*)], [**aspect**(\*, \*)], [**aspect**(\*, \*, \*), [(\*)], [**tuple**<sub>1</sub>(\*), [**tuple**<sub>2</sub>(\*), [**let**<sub>2</sub>(\*, \*),  
 [**let**<sub>1</sub>(\*, \*), [[\*  $\stackrel{\text{claim}}{=}$  \*], [checker], [**check**(\*, \*)], [**check**<sub>2</sub>(\*, \*, \*), [**check**<sub>3</sub>(\*, \*, \*),  
 [**check**<sup>\*</sup>(\*, \*)], [**check**<sub>2</sub><sup>\*</sup>(\*, \*, \*), [[\*<sup>-</sup>], [[\*<sup>-</sup>], [[\*<sup>o</sup>], [msg], [[\*  $\stackrel{\text{msg}}{=}$  \*], [<stmt>],  
 [stmt], [[\*  $\stackrel{\text{stmt}}{=}$  \*], [HeadNil'], [HeadPair'], [Transitivity'], [ $\perp$ ], [Contra'], [ $T'_E$ ],  
 [ $L_1$ ], [\*], [ $\mathcal{A}$ ], [ $\mathcal{B}$ ], [ $\mathcal{C}$ ], [ $\mathcal{D}$ ], [ $\mathcal{E}$ ], [ $\mathcal{F}$ ], [ $\mathcal{G}$ ], [ $\mathcal{H}$ ], [ $\mathcal{I}$ ], [ $\mathcal{J}$ ], [ $\mathcal{K}$ ], [ $\mathcal{L}$ ], [ $\mathcal{M}$ ], [ $\mathcal{N}$ ], [ $\mathcal{O}$ ], [ $\mathcal{P}$ ], [ $\mathcal{Q}$ ],  
 [ $\mathcal{R}$ ], [ $\mathcal{S}$ ], [ $\mathcal{T}$ ], [ $\mathcal{U}$ ], [ $\mathcal{V}$ ], [ $\mathcal{W}$ ], [ $\mathcal{X}$ ], [ $\mathcal{Y}$ ], [ $\mathcal{Z}$ ], [[\* \* := \*]], [[\* \* | \* := \*]], [ $\emptyset$ ], [Remainder],  
 [(\*)<sup>V</sup>], [intro(\*, \*, \*, \*), [intro(\*, \*, \*)], [error(\*, \*)], [error<sub>2</sub>(\*, \*)], [proof(\*, \*, \*)],  
 [proof<sub>2</sub>(\*, \*)], [ $\mathcal{S}$ (\*, \*)], [ $\mathcal{S}^I$ (\*, \*)], [ $\mathcal{S}^D$ (\*, \*)], [ $\mathcal{S}_1^D$ (\*, \*, \*), [ $\mathcal{S}_1^E$ (\*, \*, \*)],

$[S^+(*, *), [S_1^+(*, *, *)], [S^-(*, *), [S_1^-(*, *, *)], [S^*(*, *), [S_1^*(*, *, *)],$   
 $[S_2^*(*, *, *, *)], [S^\circledast(*, *)], [S_1^\circledast(*, *, *)], [S^\vdash(*, *), [S_1^\vdash(*, *, *)], [S^\#(*, *)],$   
 $[S_1^\#(*, *, *, *)], [S^{i.e.}(*, *)], [S_1^{i.e.}(*, *, *, *)], [S_2^{i.e.}(*, *, *, *, *)], [S^\vee(*, *)],$   
 $[S_1^\vee(*, *, *, *)], [S^;(*, *)], [S_1^;(*, *, *)], [S_2^;(*, *, *, *)], [T(*)], [\text{claims}(*, *, *)],$   
 $[\text{claims}_2(*, *, *)], [\text{<proof>}], [\text{proof}], [[\text{Lemma } * : *]], [[\text{Proof of } * : *]],$   
 $[[\text{* lemma } * : *]], [[\text{* antilemma } * : *]], [[\text{* rule } * : *]], [[\text{* antirule } * : *]],$   
 $[\text{verifier}], [\mathcal{V}_1(*)], [\mathcal{V}_2(*, *)], [\mathcal{V}_3(*, *, *, *)], [\mathcal{V}_4(*, *)], [\mathcal{V}_5(*, *, *, *, *)], [\mathcal{V}_6(*, *, *, *, *)],$   
 $[\mathcal{V}_7(*, *, *, *)], [\text{Cut}(*, *)], [\text{Head}_{\oplus}(*)], [\text{Tail}_{\oplus}(*)], [\text{rule}_1(*, *)], [\text{rule}(*, *)],$   
 $[\text{Rule tactic}], [\text{Plus}(*, *)], [[\text{Theory } *]], [\text{theory}_2(*, *)], [\text{theory}_3(*, *)],$   
 $[\text{theory}_4(*, *, *)], [\text{HeadNil}''], [\text{HeadPair}''], [\text{Transitivity}''], [\text{Contra}''], [\text{HeadNil}],$   
 $[\text{HeadPair}], [\text{Transitivity}], [\text{Contra}], [\text{T}_E], [\text{ragged right}],$   
 $[\text{ragged right expansion}], [\text{parm}(*, *, *)], [\text{parm}^*(*, *, *)], [\text{inst}(*, *)],$   
 $[\text{inst}^*(*, *)], [\text{occur}(*, *, *)], [\text{occur}^*(*, *, *)], [\text{unify}(* = *, *)], [\text{unify}^*(* = *, *)],$   
 $[\text{unify}_2(* = *, *)], [\text{L}_a], [\text{L}_b], [\text{L}_c], [\text{L}_d], [\text{L}_e], [\text{L}_f], [\text{L}_g], [\text{L}_h], [\text{L}_i], [\text{L}_j], [\text{L}_k], [\text{L}_l], [\text{L}_m],$   
 $[\text{L}_n], [\text{L}_o], [\text{L}_p], [\text{L}_q], [\text{L}_r], [\text{L}_s], [\text{L}_t], [\text{L}_u], [\text{L}_v], [\text{L}_w], [\text{L}_x], [\text{L}_y], [\text{L}_z], [\text{L}_A], [\text{L}_B], [\text{L}_C],$   
 $[\text{L}_D], [\text{L}_E], [\text{L}_F], [\text{L}_G], [\text{L}_H], [\text{L}_I], [\text{L}_J], [\text{L}_K], [\text{L}_L], [\text{L}_M], [\text{L}_N], [\text{L}_O], [\text{L}_P], [\text{L}_Q], [\text{L}_R],$   
 $[\text{L}_S], [\text{L}_T], [\text{L}_U], [\text{L}_V], [\text{L}_W], [\text{L}_X], [\text{L}_Y], [\text{L}_Z], [\text{L}_?], [\text{Reflexivity}], [\text{Reflexivity}_1],$   
 $[\text{Commutativity}], [\text{Commutativity}_1], [\text{<tactic>}], [\text{tactic}], [[* \stackrel{\text{tactic}}{=} *]], [\mathcal{P}(*, *, *)],$   
 $[\mathcal{P}^*(*, *, *)], [\text{p}_0], [\text{conclude}_1(*, *)], [\text{conclude}_2(*, *, *)], [\text{conclude}_3(*, *, *, *)],$   
 $[\text{conclude}_4(*, *)], [\dot{0}], [\dot{1}], [\dot{2}], [\dot{a}], [\dot{b}], [\dot{c}], [\dot{d}], [\dot{e}], [\dot{f}], [\dot{g}], [\dot{h}], [\dot{i}], [\dot{j}], [\dot{k}], [\dot{l}], [\dot{m}], [\dot{n}],$   
 $[\dot{o}], [\dot{p}], [\dot{q}], [\dot{r}], [\dot{s}], [\dot{t}], [\dot{u}], [\dot{v}], [\dot{w}], [\dot{x}], [\dot{y}], [\dot{z}], [\text{nonfree}(*, *)], [\text{nonfree}^*(*, *)],$   
 $[\text{free}(*|* := *)], [\text{free}^*(*)|* := *]), [* \equiv (*|* := *)], [* \equiv^*(*)|* := *]), [\text{S}], [\text{A1}], [\text{A2}],$   
 $[\text{A3}], [\text{A4}], [\text{A5}], [\text{S1}], [\text{S2}], [\text{S3}], [\text{S4}], [\text{S5}], [\text{S6}], [\text{S7}], [\text{S8}], [\text{S9}], [\text{MP}], [\text{Gen}],$   
 $[\text{L3.2(a)}], [\text{S'}], [\text{A1'}], [\text{A2'}], [\text{A3'}], [\text{A4'}], [\text{A5'}], [\text{S1'}], [\text{S2'}], [\text{S3'}], [\text{S4'}], [\text{S5'}], [\text{S6'}],$   
 $[\text{S7'}], [\text{S8'}], [\text{S9'}], [\text{MP'}], [\text{Gen'}], [\text{L3.2(a)}'], [\text{M1.7}], [\text{MP'}_h], [\text{Hypothesize}], [\text{Gen'}_h],$   
 $[\text{M3.2(a)}], [\text{M3.2(a)}_h], [\text{M3.2(b)}_h], [\text{M3.1(S1')}_h], [\text{M3.2(c)}_h], [\text{M3.1(S2')}_h],$   
 $[\text{M3.1(S5')}_h], [\text{M3.1(S6')}_h], [\text{M3.2(f)}];$

### Preassociative

$[*_{-}\{*\}], [*'], [*[*]], [*[* \rightarrow *]], [*[* \Rightarrow *]], [\cdot]$ :

### Preassociative

[Preassociative \*;\*], [Postassociative \*;\*], [[\*],\*], [priority \* end],  
[newline \*], [macro newline \*];

## Preassociative

[\*0], [\*1], [0b], [-color(\*)], [-color\*(\*)];

## Preassociative

$$[\ast, \ast], [\ast', \ast];$$

## Preassociative

$[*^H], [*^T], [*^U], [*^h], [*^t], [*^s], [*^c], [*^d], [*^a], [*^C], [*^M], [*^B], [*^r], [*^i], [*^d], [*^R], [*^0]$ ,

$[*\mathbf{1}], [*\mathbf{2}], [*\mathbf{3}], [*\mathbf{4}], [*\mathbf{5}], [*\mathbf{6}], [*\mathbf{7}], [*\mathbf{8}], [*\mathbf{9}], [*\mathbf{E}], [*\mathcal{V}], [*\mathcal{C}], [*\mathcal{C}^*], [*\mathbf{'}];$

**Preassociative**

$[*\cdot\cdot\cdot], [*\cdot_0\cdot], [*\cdot\cdot\cdot];$

**Preassociative**

$[*\cdot\cdot\cdot], [*\cdot_0\cdot], [*\cdot_1\cdot], [*\cdot-\cdot], [*\cdot_0\cdot], [*\cdot_1\cdot], [*\cdot\dot{\cdot}\cdot];$

**Preassociative**

$[*\cup\{\cdot\}], [*\cup\cdot], [*\backslash\{\cdot\}];$

**Postassociative**

$[*\cdot\cdot\cdot], [*\cdot\cdot\cdot], [*\cdot\cdot\cdot], [*\cdot_2\cdot], [*\cdot\cdot\cdot], [*\cdot\cdot\cdot];$

**Postassociative**

$[*,*];$

**Preassociative**

$[*\stackrel{B}{\approx}\cdot], [*\stackrel{D}{\approx}\cdot], [*\stackrel{C}{\approx}\cdot], [*\stackrel{P}{\approx}\cdot], [*\approx\cdot], [*\cdot=\cdot], [*\stackrel{+}{=}\cdot], [*\stackrel{t}{=}\cdot], [*\stackrel{t^*}{=}\cdot], [*\stackrel{r}{=}\cdot],$

$[*\in_t\cdot], [*\subseteq_T\cdot], [*\stackrel{T}{=}\cdot], [*\stackrel{s}{=}\cdot], [*\text{free in }\cdot], [*\text{free in }^*\cdot], [*\text{free for }\cdot \text{ in }\cdot],$

$[*\text{free for }^*\cdot \text{ in }\cdot], [*\in_c\cdot], [*\cdot<\cdot], [*\cdot<'\cdot], [*\cdot\leq'\cdot], [*\stackrel{p}{=}\cdot], [*\mathcal{P}];$

**Preassociative**

$[\neg\cdot], [\dot{\neg}\cdot];$

**Preassociative**

$[*\wedge\cdot], [*\ddot{\wedge}\cdot], [*\tilde{\wedge}\cdot], [*\wedge_c\cdot], [*\dot{\wedge}\cdot];$

**Preassociative**

$[*\vee\cdot], [*\parallel\cdot], [*\ddot{\vee}\cdot], [*\dot{\vee}\cdot];$

**Preassociative**

$[\dot{\forall}\cdot:\cdot], [\exists\cdot:\cdot];$

**Postassociative**

$[*\Rightarrow\cdot], [*\dot{\Rightarrow}\cdot], [*\Leftrightarrow\cdot];$

**Postassociative**

$[*: \cdot], [*\mathbf{!}\cdot];$

**Preassociative**

$[\ast \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \ast \\ \ast \end{array} \right\};$

**Preassociative**

$[\lambda\cdot\cdot\cdot], [\Lambda\cdot], [\text{if } \cdot \text{ then } \cdot \text{ else } \cdot], [\text{let } \cdot = \cdot \text{ in } \cdot], [\text{let } \cdot \doteq \cdot \text{ in } \cdot];$

**Preassociative**

$[*\mathbf{I}], [*\mathbf{D}], [*\mathbf{V}], [*\mathbf{+}], [*\mathbf{-}], [*\mathbf{*}];$

**Preassociative**

$[*\mathbf{@}\cdot], [*\mathbf{D}\cdot], [*\mathbf{D}\cdot], [*\mathbf{D}\cdot], [*\mathbf{D}\cdot], [*\mathbf{D}\cdot], [*\mathbf{D}_h\cdot];$

**Postassociative**

$[*\vdash\cdot], [*\Vdash\cdot], [*\text{i.e.}\cdot];$

**Preassociative**

$[\forall\cdot:\cdot];$

**Postassociative**

$[*\oplus\cdot];$

**Postassociative**

$[*\cdot\cdot\cdot];$

**Preassociative**

[\* proves \*];

### Preassociative

[\* **proof of** \* : \*], [Line \* : \*  $\gg$  \*; \*], [Last line \*  $\gg$  \*  $\square$ ],

[Line \* : Premise  $\gg$  \*; \*], [Line \* : Side-condition  $\gg$  \*; \*], [Arbitrary  $\gg$  \*; \*],

[Local  $\gg$  \* = \*; \*];

### Postassociative

[\* then \*], [\*[\*]\*];

### Preassociative

[\*&\*];

### Preassociative

[\*\\*\\*];]

## B Index

Peano variable, 3

variable, Peano, 3

## C Bibliography

- [1] K. Grue. Logiweb. In Fairouz Kamareddine, editor, *Mathematical Knowledge Management Symposium 2003*, volume 93 of *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science*, pages 70–101. Elsevier, 2004.
- [2] E. Mendelson. *Introduction to Mathematical Logic*. Wadsworth and Brooks, 3. edition, 1987.