

Peano arithmetic

Klaus Grue

GRD-2005-06-22.UTC:11:16:56.809226

Contents

1	Peano arithmetic	2
1.1	The constructs of Peano arithmetic	2
1.2	Variables	3
1.3	Mendelsons system S	4
1.4	A lemma and a proof	6
1.5	An alternative axiomatic system	7
1.6	Restatement of lemma and proof	9
2	Formal development	9
2.1	Propositional calculus	9
2.1.1	Modus ponens	9
2.1.2	Lemma M1.7	9
2.1.3	Hypothetical modus ponens	9
2.1.4	Turning lemmas to hypothetical lemmas	10
2.2	First order predicate calculus	10
2.2.1	Hypothetical generalization	10
2.3	Peano arithmetic	10
2.3.1	Lemma M3.2(a)	10
2.3.2	Lemma M3.2(b)	11
2.3.3	Lemma M3.1(S1)	11
2.3.4	Lemma M3.2(c)	11
2.3.5	Lemma M3.1(S2)	11
2.3.6	Lemma M3.1(S5)	11
2.3.7	Lemma M3.1(S6)	12
2.3.8	Lemma M3.2(f)	12
A	Chores	12
A.1	The name of the page	12
A.2	Variables of Peano arithmetic	12
A.3	TeX definitions	13
A.4	Test	14
A.5	Priority table	14

1 Peano arithmetic

This Logiweb page [1] defines Peano arithmetic.

1.1 The constructs of Peano arithmetic

Terms of Peano arithmetic are constructed from zero $[0 \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"peano zero"}][0 \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} "$

$\backslash\text{dot}\{0\}$ ", successor $[x' \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"* peano succ"}][x' \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"\#1."}]$, plus $[x + y \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"* peano plus *"}][x + y \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"\#1."}]$.

$\backslash\text{mathop}\{\backslash\text{dot}\{+\}\} \#2.$ ", and times $[x \cdot y \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"* peano times *"}][x \cdot y \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"\#1."}]$.

Formulas of Peano arithmetic are constructed from equality $[x = y \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"* peano is *"}][x = y \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"\#1."}]$,

negation $[\neg x \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"peano not *"}][\neg x \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} "$

$\backslash\text{dot}\{\backslash\text{neg}\}, \{\#1.\}$ ", implication $[x \Rightarrow y \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"* peano imply *"}][x \Rightarrow y \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"\#1."}]$.

$\backslash\text{mathrel}\{\backslash\text{dot}\{\backslash\text{Rightarrow}\}\} \#2.$ ", and universal quantification

$[\forall x: y \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"peano all * indeed *"}][\forall x: y \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} "$

$\backslash\text{dot}\{\backslash\text{forall}\} \#1.$

$\backslash\text{colon} \#2.$ ".

From these constructs we macro define one $[1 \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"peano one"}][1 \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} "$

$\backslash\text{dot}\{1\}$ ", two $[\dot{2} \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"peano two"}][\dot{2} \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} "$

$\backslash\text{dot}\{2\}$ ", conjunction $[x \wedge y \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"* peano and *"}][x \wedge y \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"\#1."}]$.

$\backslash\text{mathrel}\{\backslash\text{dot}\{\backslash\text{wedge}\}\} \#2.$ ", disjunction $[x \vee y \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"* peano or *"}][x \vee y \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"\#1."}]$.

$\backslash\text{mathrel}\{\backslash\text{dot}\{\backslash\text{vee}\}\} \#2.$ ", biimplication $[x \Leftrightarrow y \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"* peano iff *"}][x \Leftrightarrow y \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"\#1."}]$.

$\backslash\text{mathrel}\{\backslash\text{dot}\{\backslash\text{Leftrightarrow}\}\} \#2.$ ", and existential quantification

$[\exists x: y \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"peano exist * indeed *"}][\exists x: y \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} "$

$\backslash\text{dot}\{\backslash\text{exists}\} \#1.$

$\backslash\text{colon} \#2.$]:

$$[1 \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{M}_4(t, s, c, [[1 \doteqdot 0']])]$$

$$[\dot{2} \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{M}_4(t, s, c, [[\dot{2} \doteqdot 1']])]$$

$$[x \dot{\wedge} y \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4(t, s, c, [[x \dot{\wedge} y \equiv \dot{\neg}(x \Rightarrow \dot{\neg} y)]])]$$

$$[x \dot{\vee} y \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4(t, s, c, [[x \dot{\vee} y \equiv \dot{\neg} x \Rightarrow y]])]$$

$$[x \dot{\Leftrightarrow} y \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4(t, s, c, [[x \dot{\Leftrightarrow} y \equiv (x \Rightarrow y) \dot{\wedge} (y \Rightarrow x)]])]$$

$$[\dot{\exists} x: y \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4(t, s, c, [[\dot{\exists} x: y \equiv \dot{\neg} \forall x: \dot{\neg} y]])]$$

1.2 Variables

We now introduce the unary operator $[\dot{x} \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"* peano var"}][\dot{x} \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"}\backslash\text{dot{\#1.}}\text{"}]$ and define that a term is a *Peano variable* (i.e. a variable of Peano arithmetic) if it has the $[\dot{x}]$ operator in its root. $[x^P \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"* is peano var"}][x^P \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"}\#1.$

$\{\} \dot{\wedge} \{\backslash\text{cal P}\}$ " is true if $[\dot{x}]$ is a Peano variable:

$$[x^P \xrightarrow{\text{val}} x \stackrel{r}{=} [\dot{x}]]$$

We macro define $[\dot{a} \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"peano a"}][\dot{a} \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"}\backslash\text{dot{\{mathit{a}\}}}\text{"}]$ to be a Peano variable:

$$[\dot{a} \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4(t, s, c, [[\dot{a} \equiv \dot{a}]]])$$

Appendix A.2 defines Peano variables for the other letters of the English alphabet.

$[\text{nonfree}(x, y) \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"peano nonfree * in * end nonfree"}][\text{nonfree}(x, y) \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"}\backslash\text{dot{\{nonfree\}}}\text{(\#1.}}\text{,\#2.}}\text{,} \#2.$

$)"]$ is true if the Peano variable $[\dot{x}]$ does not occur free in the Peano term/formula $[\dot{y}]$. $[\text{nonfree}^*(x, y) \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"peano nonfree star * in * end nonfree"}][\text{nonfree}^*(x, y) \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"}\backslash\text{dot{\{nonfree\}}}\text{^*}(\#1.}}\text{,\#2.}}\text{,} \#2.$

$)"]$ is true if the Peano variable $[\dot{x}]$ does not occur free in the list $[\dot{y}]$ of Peano terms/formulas.

$$[\text{nonfree}(x, y) \xrightarrow{\text{val}}$$

$$\text{If}(y^P, \neg x \stackrel{t}{=} y,$$

$$\text{If}(\neg y \stackrel{r}{=} [\dot{\forall} x: y], \text{nonfree}^*(x, y^t),$$

$$\text{If}(x \stackrel{t}{=} y^1, T, \text{nonfree}(x, y^2))))]$$

$$[\text{nonfree}^*(x, y) \xrightarrow{\text{val}} x! \text{If}(y, T, \text{If}(\text{nonfree}(x, y^h), \text{nonfree}^*(x, y^t), F))]$$

[free⟨a|x := b⟩ $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$ “peano free * set * to * end free”][free⟨a|x := b⟩ $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$ “\dot{\{free\}}\langle

| #2.

:= #3.

\rangle”] is true if the substitution [⟨a|x:=b⟩] is free.

[free*⟨a|x := b⟩ $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$ “peano free star * set * to * end free”][free*⟨a|x := b⟩ $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$ “\dot{\{free\}}{}^*\langle

| #2.

:= #3.

\rangle”] is the version where [a] is a list of terms.

[free⟨a|x := b⟩ $\xrightarrow{\text{val}}$ x!b!
 If(a^P, T,
 If($\neg a \stackrel{r}{=} [\forall u: v]$, free*⟨a^t|x := b⟩,
 If(a¹ $\stackrel{t}{=}$ x, T,
 If(nonfree(x, a²), T,
 If($\neg \text{nonfree}(a^1, b)$, F,
 free⟨a²|x := b⟩))))])

[free*⟨a|x := b⟩ $\xrightarrow{\text{val}}$ x!b!If(a, T, If(free⟨a^h|x := b⟩, free*⟨a^t|x := b⟩, F))]

[a≡⟨b|x := c⟩ $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$ “peano sub * is * where * is * end sub”][a≡⟨b|x := c⟩ $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$ “#1.
 {\equiv}\langle

| #2.

#:3.

#:4.

\rangle”] is true if [a] equals [⟨b|x:=c⟩]. [a≡⟨*b|x := c⟩ $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$ “peano sub star * is
 * where * is * end sub”][a≡⟨*b|x := c⟩ $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$ “#1.

{\equiv}\langle

| #2.

#:3.

#:4.

\rangle”] is the version where [a] and [b] are lists.

[a≡⟨b|x := c⟩ $\xrightarrow{\text{val}}$ a!x!c!
 If(IF(b $\stackrel{r}{=} [\forall u: v]$, b¹ $\stackrel{t}{=}$ x, F), a $\stackrel{t}{=}$ b,
 If(b^P \wedge b $\stackrel{t}{=}$ x, a $\stackrel{t}{=}$ c, If(
 a $\stackrel{r}{=}$ b, a^t≡⟨*b^t|x := c⟩, F))))])

[a≡⟨*b|x := c⟩ $\xrightarrow{\text{val}}$ b!x!c!If(a, T, If(a^h≡⟨b^h|x := c⟩, a^t≡⟨*b^t|x := c⟩, F))]

1.3 Mendelsons system S

System [S $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$ “system s”][S $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$ “

S”] of Mendelson [2] expresses Peano arithmetic. It comprises the axioms

[A1 $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$ “axiom a one”][A1 $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$ “

$A1"], [A2 \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"axiom a two"}][A2 \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} "$
 $A2"], [A3 \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"axiom a three"}][A3 \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} "$
 $A3"], [A4 \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"axiom a four"}][A4 \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} "$
 $A4"], and [A5 \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"axiom a five"}][A5 \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} "$
 $A5"]$ and inference rules $[MP \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"rule mp"}][MP \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} "$
 $MP"]$ and $[Gen \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"rule gen"}][Gen \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} "$
 $Gen"]$ of first order predicate calculus. Furthermore, it comprises the proper
axioms $[S1 \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"axiom s one"}][S1 \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} "$
 $S1"], [S2 \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"axiom s two"}][S2 \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} "$
 $S2"], [S3 \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"axiom s three"}][S3 \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} "$
 $S3"], [S4 \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"axiom s four"}][S4 \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} "$
 $S4"], [S5 \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"axiom s five"}][S5 \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} "$
 $S5"], [S6 \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"axiom s six"}][S6 \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} "$
 $S6"], [S7 \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"axiom s seven"}][S7 \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} "$
 $S7"], [S8 \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"axiom s eight"}][S8 \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} "$
 $S8"], and [S9 \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"axiom s nine"}][S9 \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} "$
 $S9"]$. System $[S]$ is defined thus:

$$\begin{aligned}
[S \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} \dot{a} + \dot{b}' \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{a} + \dot{b}' \oplus \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \dot{\neg} \underline{b} \Rightarrow \dot{\neg} \underline{a} \Rightarrow \dot{\neg} \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \oplus \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{b} \Rightarrow \dot{a}' \stackrel{P}{=} \\
\dot{b}' \oplus \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \vdash \underline{b} \oplus \dot{a}' \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{b}' \Rightarrow \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{b} \oplus \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \oplus \\
\forall \underline{x}: \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \text{nonfree}(\underline{x}, \underline{a}) \Vdash \forall \underline{x}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \forall \underline{x}: \underline{b} \oplus \dot{a}: \dot{b}' \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{a}: \dot{b} + \dot{a} \oplus \\
\dot{a} + \dot{b} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{a} \oplus \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \oplus \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{b} \Rightarrow \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{c} \Rightarrow \\
\dot{b} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{c} \oplus \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \forall \underline{x}: \underline{b} \equiv \langle \underline{a} | \underline{x} := \dot{0} \rangle \Vdash \underline{c} \equiv \langle \underline{a} | \underline{x} := \dot{x}' \rangle \Vdash \underline{b} \Rightarrow \forall \underline{x}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \Rightarrow \\
\forall \underline{x}: \underline{a} \oplus \neg \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{a}' \oplus \forall \underline{x}: \forall \underline{a}: \vdash \forall \underline{x}: \underline{a} \oplus \forall \underline{c}: \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: [\underline{a}] \equiv \langle [\underline{b}] | [\underline{x}] := [\underline{c}] \rangle \Vdash \\
\forall \underline{x}: \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \oplus \dot{a}: \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0}]
\end{aligned}$$

$$[A1 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a}][A1 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$$

$$[A2 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{c}][A2 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$$

$$[A3 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \dot{\neg} \underline{b} \Rightarrow \dot{\neg} \underline{a} \Rightarrow \dot{\neg} \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b}][A3 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$$

The order of quantifiers in the following axiom is such that $[\underline{c}]$ which the current conclusion tactic cannot guess comes first. This allows to supply a value for $[\underline{c}]$ without having to supply values for the other meta-variables.

$$[A4 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{c}: \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{x}: \forall \underline{b}: [\underline{a}] \equiv \langle [\underline{b}] | [\underline{x}] := [\underline{c}] \rangle \Vdash \forall \underline{x}: \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a}][A4 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$$

$$[A5 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{x}: \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \text{nonfree}(\underline{x}, \underline{a}) \Vdash \forall \underline{x}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \forall \underline{x}: \underline{b}][A5 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$$

$[MP \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a} : \forall \underline{b} : \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \vdash \underline{a} \vdash \underline{b}] [MP \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$

[Gen $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ S $\vdash \forall x: \forall a: a \vdash \dot{\forall} x: a$] [Gen $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$ Rule tactic]

Axiom [S1] to [S8] are stated as Mendelson [2] does. This is done here to test certain parts of the Logiweb system. Serious users of Peano arithmetic are advised to take Mendelson's Lemma 3.1 as axioms instead.

$[S1 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash a \stackrel{p}{=} b \Rightarrow a \stackrel{p}{=} c \Rightarrow b \stackrel{p}{=} c] [S1 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$

$[S2 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash a \stackrel{p}{=} b \Rightarrow a' \stackrel{p}{=} b'][S2 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$

[S3 $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ S $\vdash \neg \dot{0} \stackrel{\text{P}}{=} \dot{a}'$] [S3 $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$ Rule tactic]

$[S4 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash a' \stackrel{p}{=} b' \Rightarrow a \stackrel{p}{=} b] [S4 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$

$[S5 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash a + 0 \stackrel{P}{=} a] [S5 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$

$[S6 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash a + b' \stackrel{P}{=} a + b'][S6 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$

$[S7 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash a : \dot{0} \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0}] [S7 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$

$[S8 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash a : b' \stackrel{p}{=} a : b + a][S8 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$

$[S9 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \forall \underline{x}: \underline{b} \equiv \langle \underline{a} | \underline{x} := \dot{0} \rangle \Vdash \underline{c} \equiv \langle \underline{a} | \underline{x} := \underline{x}' \rangle \Vdash \underline{b} \Rightarrow \forall \underline{x}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \Rightarrow \forall \underline{x}: \underline{a}] [S9 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$

1.4 A lemma and a proof

We now prove Lemma [L3.2(a) $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$ “lemma 1 three two a”][L3.2(a) $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$ “L3.2(a)”] which is an instance of the corresponding proposition in Mendelson [2]:

[L3.2(a) $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \dot{x} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x}$]

\dot{x} ; Gen $\triangleright \underline{a} \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{x} \gg \dot{\forall} \underline{a}: \underline{a} \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{x}$; A4 $\circledast \dot{x} + \dot{0} \gg$
 $\dot{\forall} \underline{a}: \underline{a} \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} + \dot{0} \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} + \dot{0} \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{x}$; MP $\triangleright \dot{\forall} \underline{a}: \underline{a} \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} + \dot{0} \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} + \dot{0} \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{x}$
 $\dot{x} + \dot{0} \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} + \dot{0} \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{x}$; MP $\triangleright \dot{x} + \dot{0} \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} + \dot{0} \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} + \dot{0} \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{x} \gg \dot{x} + \dot{0} \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{x}$; MP $\triangleright \dot{x} + \dot{0} \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{x} + \dot{0} \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{x} \gg \dot{x} \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{x}$, p₀, c])

1.5 An alternative axiomatic system

System [S' $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$ “system prime s”][S' $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$ “S’”] is system [S] in which the proper axioms are taken from Lemma 3.1 in Mendelson [2]. It comprises the axioms [A1' $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$ “axiom prime a one”][A1' $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$ “A1’”], [A2' $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$ “axiom prime a two”][A2' $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$ “A2’”], [A3' $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$ “axiom prime a three”][A3' $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$ “A3’”], [A4' $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$ “axiom prime a four”][A4' $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$ “A4’”], and [A5' $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$ “axiom prime a five”][A5' $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$ “A5’”] and inference rules [MP' $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$ “rule prime mp”][MP' $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$ “MP’”] and [Gen' $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$ “rule prime gen”][Gen' $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$ “Gen’”] of first order predicate calculus. Furthermore, it comprises the proper axioms [S1' $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$ “axiom prime s one”][S1' $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$ “S1’”], [S2' $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$ “axiom prime s two”][S2' $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$ “S2’”], [S3' $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$ “axiom prime s three”][S3' $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$ “S3’”], [S4' $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$ “axiom prime s four”][S4' $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$ “S4’”], [S5' $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$ “axiom prime s five”][S5' $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$ “S5’”], [S6' $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$ “axiom prime s six”][S6' $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$ “S6’”], [S7' $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$ “axiom prime s seven”][S7' $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$ “S7’”], [S8' $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$ “axiom prime s eight”][S8' $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$ “S8’”], and [S9' $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$ “axiom prime s nine”][S9' $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$ “S9’”].

System [S'] is defined thus:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\text{S}' \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a}' \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{b}' \Rightarrow \underline{a} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{b} \oplus \forall \underline{h}: \forall \underline{t}: \forall \underline{r}: \forall \underline{s}: \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{r} \vdash \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{s} \vdash \\ & \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{r} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{s} \oplus \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \oplus \forall \underline{x}: \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \text{nonfree}([\underline{x}], [\underline{a}]) \Vdash \dot{\forall} \underline{x}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \\ & \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \dot{\forall} \underline{x}: \underline{b} \oplus \forall \underline{h}: \forall \underline{t}: \forall \underline{r}: \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} + \underline{r} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{t} + \underline{r}' \oplus \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} : \underline{b}' \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{a} : \underline{b} + \underline{a} \oplus \\ & \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} = \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a}' = \underline{b}' \oplus \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \vdash \underline{a} \vdash \underline{b} \oplus \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \\ & \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \oplus \forall \underline{h}: \forall \underline{t}: \forall \underline{r}: \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{r} \vdash \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t}' \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{r}' \oplus \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} + \underline{b}' \stackrel{p}{=} \\ & \underline{a} + \underline{b}' \oplus \forall \underline{a}: \neg \underline{0} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{a}' \oplus \forall \underline{x}: \forall \underline{a}: \underline{a} \vdash \dot{\forall} \underline{x}: \underline{a} \oplus \forall \underline{c}: \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{x}: \forall \underline{b}: [\underline{a}] \equiv ([\underline{b}] | [\underline{x}]) := \\ & [\underline{c}] \Vdash \dot{\forall} \underline{x}: \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \oplus \forall \underline{h}: \forall \underline{t}: \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} + \dot{0} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{t} \oplus \forall \underline{a}: \underline{a} : \dot{0} \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0} \oplus \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \underline{a} \stackrel{p}{=} \\ & \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{c} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{c} \oplus \forall \underline{t}: \underline{t} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{t} \oplus \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \forall \underline{x}: \underline{b} = \langle \underline{a} | \underline{x} := \dot{0} \rangle \Vdash \underline{c} = \langle \underline{a} | \underline{x} := \\ & \underline{x}' \rangle \Vdash \underline{b} \Rightarrow \dot{\forall} \underline{x}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \Rightarrow \dot{\forall} \underline{x}: \underline{a} \oplus \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \oplus \\ & \forall \underline{h}: \forall \underline{t}: \forall \underline{r}: \forall \underline{s}: \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{r} \vdash \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{r} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{s} \vdash \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{s} \oplus \forall \underline{a}: \underline{a} + \dot{0} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{a} \end{aligned}$$

[A1' $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ S' $\vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a}$] [A1' $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$ Rule tactic]

[A2' $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ S' $\vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{c}$] [A2' $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$ Rule tactic]

[A3' $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ S' $\vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \dot{\neg} \underline{b} \Rightarrow \dot{\neg} \underline{a} \Rightarrow \dot{\neg} \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b}$] [A3' $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$ Rule tactic]

[A4' $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ S' $\vdash \forall \underline{c}: \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{x}: \forall \underline{b}: [\underline{a}] \equiv \langle [\underline{b}] | [\underline{x}] := [\underline{c}] \rangle \Vdash \dot{\forall} \underline{x}: \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a}$] [A4' $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$ Rule tactic]

[A5' $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ S' $\vdash \forall \underline{x}: \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \text{nonfree}([\underline{x}], [\underline{a}]) \Vdash \dot{\forall} \underline{x}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \dot{\forall} \underline{x}: \underline{b}$] [A5' $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$ Rule tactic]

[MP' $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ S' $\vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \vdash \underline{a} \vdash \underline{b}$] [MP' $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$ Rule tactic]

[Gen' $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ S' $\vdash \forall \underline{x}: \forall \underline{a}: \underline{a} \vdash \dot{\forall} \underline{x}: \underline{a}$] [Gen' $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$ Rule tactic]

[S1' $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ S' $\vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \underline{a} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{c} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{c}$] [S1' $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$ Rule tactic]

[S2' $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ S' $\vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a}' \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{b}'$] [S2' $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$ Rule tactic]

[S3' $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ S' $\vdash \forall \underline{a}: \neg \dot{0} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{a}'$] [S3' $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$ Rule tactic]

[S4' $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ S' $\vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a}' \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{b}' \Rightarrow \underline{a} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{b}$] [S4' $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$ Rule tactic]

[S5' $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ S' $\vdash \forall \underline{a}: \underline{a} + \dot{0} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{a}$] [S5' $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$ Rule tactic]

[S6' $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ S' $\vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} + \underline{b}' \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{a} + \underline{b}'$] [S6' $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$ Rule tactic]

[S7' $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ S' $\vdash \forall \underline{a}: \underline{a} : \dot{0} \stackrel{p}{=} \dot{0}$] [S7' $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$ Rule tactic]

[S8' $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ S' $\vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} : \underline{b}' \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{a} : \underline{b} + \underline{a}$] [S8' $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$ Rule tactic]

[S9' $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ S' $\vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \forall \underline{x}: \underline{b} \equiv \langle \underline{a} | \underline{x} := \dot{0} \rangle \Vdash \underline{c} \equiv \langle \underline{a} | \underline{x} := \underline{x}' \rangle \Vdash \underline{b} \Rightarrow \dot{\forall} \underline{x}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \Rightarrow \dot{\forall} \underline{x}: \underline{a}$] [S9' $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$ Rule tactic]

Note that [A1] and [A1'] are distinct. The former says $[S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a}]$ and the latter says $[S' \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a}]$.

1.6 Restatement of lemma and proof

We now prove Lemma [L3.2(a)] once again under the name of [L3.2(a)'] $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$ “lemma prime 1 three two a”][L3.2(a)'] $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$ “L3.2(a)”]:

[L3.2(a)'] $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S' \vdash \forall \underline{a} : \underline{a} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{a}$

$$[\text{L3.2(a)}' \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}(\text{'S}' \vdash \forall a: S5' \gg a \dot{+} \dot{o} \stackrel{p}{=} a; S1' \gg a \dot{+} \dot{o} \stackrel{p}{=} a \Rightarrow a \dot{+} \dot{o} \stackrel{p}{=} a \Rightarrow a \stackrel{p}{=} a; MP' \triangleright a \dot{+} \dot{o} \stackrel{p}{=} a \Rightarrow a \dot{+} \dot{o} \stackrel{p}{=} a \Rightarrow a \stackrel{p}{=} a \triangleright a \dot{+} \dot{o} \stackrel{p}{=} a \gg a \dot{+} \dot{o} \stackrel{p}{=} a \Rightarrow a \stackrel{p}{=} a; MP' \triangleright a \dot{+} \dot{o} \stackrel{p}{=} a \Rightarrow a \stackrel{p}{=} a \triangleright a \dot{+} \dot{o} \stackrel{p}{=} a \gg a \stackrel{p}{=} a], p_0, c)]$$

2 Formal development

2.1 Propositional calculus

2.1.1 Modus ponens

We use $[x \triangleright y \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"* macro modus ponens *"}][x \triangleright y \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \#\text{1.}\backslash\text{unrhd }\#\text{2.}]$ as shorthand for modus ponens:

$$[x \trianglerighteq y \stackrel{\text{macro}}{\rightarrow} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4(t, s, c, [x \trianglerighteq y \doteq MP' \triangleright x \triangleright y])])]$$

2.1.2 Lemma M1.7

Lemma [M1.7 $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$ “mendelson one seven”][M1.7 $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$ “M1.7”] (i.e. Lemma 1.7 in Mendelson [2]) reads:

$$[\text{M1.7} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S' \vdash \forall \underline{b} : \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{b}]$$

$$[\text{M1.7} \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}(\lceil S' \vdash \forall b: A1' \gg b \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow b; A2' \gg b \Rightarrow b; MP' \triangleright b \Rightarrow b \triangleright b \Rightarrow b \triangleright b \Rightarrow b \triangleright b \Rightarrow b \triangleright b \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow b \gg b \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow b], p_0, c)]$$

2.1.3 Hypothetical modus ponens

The hypothetical version $[MP'_h \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"hypothetical rule prime mp"}] [MP'_h \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"MP'_h"}]$ of modus ponens MP' has a hypothesis \underline{h} on each premise and on the conclusion:

$$[\text{MP}'_h \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S' \vdash \forall h: \forall a: \forall b: h \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow b \vdash h \Rightarrow a \vdash h \Rightarrow b]$$

$$[\text{MP}'_h \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}(\text{S}' \vdash \forall \underline{h}: \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: h \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow b \vdash \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \vdash A2' \gg \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{b}; \text{MP}' \triangleright \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \triangleright \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \triangleright \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \gg \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{b}; \text{MP}' \triangleright \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \triangleright \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \gg \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{b}], p_0, c)]$$

We use $[x \sqsupseteq_h y \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} “\ast \text{ hypothetical modus ponens } \ast”][x \sqsupseteq_h y \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} “\#1. \backslash \text{unrhd_h } \#2.”]$ as shorthand for hypothetical modus ponens:

$$[x \sqsupseteq_h y \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4(t, s, c, [x \sqsupseteq_h y \doteq \text{MP}'_h \triangleright x \triangleright y])]$$

2.1.4 Turning lemmas to hypothetical lemmas

Lemma $[\text{Hypothesize} \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} “\text{hypothesize}”][\text{Hypothesize} \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} “\text{Hypothesize}”]$ turns a lemma with no premises into one that assumes the hypothesis \underline{h} to hold:

$$[\text{Hypothesize} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S' \vdash \forall \underline{h}: \forall \underline{a}: \underline{a} \vdash \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{a}]$$

$$[\text{Hypothesize} \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([\text{S'} \vdash \forall \underline{h}: \forall \underline{a}: \underline{a} \vdash A1' \gg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{a}; \text{MP}' \triangleright \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \triangleright \underline{a} \gg \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{a}], p_0, c)]$$

2.2 First order predicate calculus

2.2.1 Hypothetical generalization

The hypothetical version $[\text{Gen}'_h \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} “\text{hypothetical rule prime gen}”][\text{Gen}'_h \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} “\text{Gen}'_h”]$ of generalisation Gen' has a hypothesis \underline{h} on premise and conclusion:

$$[\text{Gen}'_h \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S' \vdash \forall \underline{h}: \forall \underline{x}: \forall \underline{a}: \text{nonfree}([\underline{x}], [\underline{h}]) \Vdash \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \vdash \underline{h} \Rightarrow \forall \underline{x}: \underline{a}]$$

$$[\text{Gen}'_h \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([\text{S'} \vdash \forall \underline{h}: \forall \underline{x}: \forall \underline{a}: \text{nonfree}([\underline{x}], [\underline{h}]) \Vdash \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \vdash A5' \triangleright \text{nonfree}([\underline{x}], [\underline{h}]) \gg \forall \underline{x}: \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{h} \Rightarrow \forall \underline{x}: \underline{a}; \text{Gen}' \triangleright \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \gg \forall \underline{x}: \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{a}; \text{MP}' \triangleright \forall \underline{x}: \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{h} \Rightarrow \forall \underline{x}: \underline{a} \triangleright \forall \underline{x}: \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \gg \underline{h} \Rightarrow \forall \underline{x}: \underline{a}], p_0, c)]$$

2.3 Peano arithmetic

2.3.1 Lemma M3.2(a)

Lemma $[\text{M3.2(a)} \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} “\text{mendelson three two a}”][\text{M3.2(a)} \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} “\text{M3.2(a)}”]$ and the associated hypothetical lemma $[\text{M3.2(a)}_h \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} “\text{hypothetical three two a}”][\text{M3.2(a)}_h \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} “\text{M3.2(a).h}”]$ read:

$$[\text{M3.2(a)} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S' \vdash \forall \underline{t}: \underline{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{t}][\text{M3.2(a)} \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$$

$$[\text{M3.2(a)}_h \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S' \vdash \forall \underline{h}: \forall \underline{t}: \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{t}]$$

Above we cheat in stating M3.2(a) as a rule and not as a lemma. A reasonable way to construct a large proof is to start stating everything as rules and then changing the rules to lemmas one at a time until only the rules of the theory are left.

$[M3.2(a)_h \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \lambda c. \lambda x. P(\lceil S' \vdash \forall \underline{h}: \forall \underline{t}: M3.2(a) \gg \underline{t} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{t}; \text{Hypothesize} \triangleright \underline{t} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{t} \gg \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{t}], p_0, c]$

2.3.2 Lemma M3.2(b)

Lemma $[M3.2(b)_h \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"hypothetical three two b"}][M3.2(b)_h \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"M3.2(b).h"}]$ reads:

$[M3.2(b)_h \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S' \vdash \forall \underline{h}: \forall \underline{t}: \forall \underline{r}: \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{r} \vdash \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{r} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{t}] [M3.2(b)_h \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$

$[M3.2(b)_h \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \lambda c. \lambda x. P(\lceil S' \vdash \forall \underline{h}: \forall \underline{t}: \forall \underline{r}: \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{r} \vdash S' \gg \underline{t} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{r} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{t} \Rightarrow \underline{r} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{t}; \text{Hypothesize} \triangleright \underline{t} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{r} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{t} \Rightarrow \underline{r} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{t} \gg \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{r} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{t} \Rightarrow \underline{r} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{t}; MP'_h \triangleright \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{r} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{t} \Rightarrow \underline{r} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{t} \triangleright \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{r} \gg \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{t} \Rightarrow \underline{r} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{t}; M3.2(a)_h \gg \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{t}; MP'_h \triangleright \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{t} \Rightarrow \underline{r} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{t} \triangleright \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{t} \gg \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{r} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{t}], p_0, c]$

2.3.3 Lemma M3.1(S1)

Lemma $[M3.1(S1')_h \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"hypothetical three one s one"}][M3.1(S1')_h \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"M3.1(S1').h"}]$ is the hypothetical version of Mendelson Lemma 3.1(S1):

$[M3.1(S1')_h \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S' \vdash \forall \underline{h}: \forall \underline{t}: \forall \underline{r}: \forall \underline{s}: \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{r} \vdash \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{s} \vdash \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{r} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{s}] [M3.1(S1')_h \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$

2.3.4 Lemma M3.2(c)

Lemma $[M3.2(c)_h \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"hypothetical three two c"}][M3.2(c)_h \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"M3.2(c).h"}]$ is the hypothetical version of Mendelson Lemma 3.2(c) which expresses ordinary transitivity:

$[M3.2(c)_h \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S' \vdash \forall \underline{h}: \forall \underline{t}: \forall \underline{r}: \forall \underline{s}: \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{r} \vdash \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{r} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{s} \vdash \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{s}] [M3.2(c)_h \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$

2.3.5 Lemma M3.1(S2)

Lemma $[M3.1(S2')_h \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"hypothetical three one s two"}][M3.1(S2')_h \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"M3.1(S2').h"}]$ is the hypothetical version of Mendelson Lemma 3.1(S2):

$[M3.1(S2')_h \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S' \vdash \forall \underline{h}: \forall \underline{t}: \forall \underline{r}: \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{r} \vdash \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t}' \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{r}'] [M3.1(S2')_h \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$

2.3.6 Lemma M3.1(S5)

Lemma $[M3.1(S5')_h \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"hypothetical three one s five"}][M3.1(S5')_h \xrightarrow{\text{tex}} \text{"M3.1(S5').h"}]$ is the hypothetical version of Mendelson Lemma 3.1(S5):

$[M3.1(S5')_h \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S' \vdash \forall \underline{h}: \forall \underline{t}: \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} \dot{+} \dot{0} \stackrel{p}{=} \underline{t}] [M3.1(S5')_h \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$

2.3.7 Lemma M3.1(S6)

Lemma [M3.1(S6')_h $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$ “hypothetical three one s six”][M3.1(S6')_h $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$ “M3.1(S6')_{_h}”] is the hypothetical version of Mendelson Lemma 3.1(S6'):

[M3.1(S6')_h $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ S' $\vdash \forall \underline{h}: \forall \underline{t}: \forall \underline{r}: \underline{h} \Rightarrow \underline{t} + \underline{r} \stackrel{P}{=} \underline{t} + \underline{r}'$] [M3.1(S6')_h $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$ Rule tactic]

2.3.8 Lemma M3.2(f)

Lemma [M3.2(f) $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$ “mendelson three two f”][M3.2(f) $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$ “M3.2(f)”] is the closure of Mendelson Lemma 3.2(f) for the concrete variable [\dot{t}]:

[M3.2(f) $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ S' $\vdash \dot{t}: \dot{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t}$]

The proof below uses local macro definitions.

[M3.2(f) $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$ $\lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}(\lceil S' \vdash A1' \gg x \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow x; M3.1(S5')_h \gg x \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow \dot{0} + \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0}; M3.2(b)_h \triangleright x \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow \dot{0} + \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} \gg x \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{0}; MP' \triangleright x \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{0} \triangleright x \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{0}; M1.7 \gg \dot{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t} \Rightarrow \dot{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t}; M3.1(S2')_h \triangleright \dot{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t} \Rightarrow \dot{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t} \gg \dot{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t} \Rightarrow \dot{t}' \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t}'; M3.1(S6')_h \gg \dot{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t} \Rightarrow \dot{0} + \dot{t}' \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t}'; M3.2(b)_h \triangleright \dot{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t} \Rightarrow \dot{0} + \dot{t}' \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t}' \gg \dot{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t} \Rightarrow \dot{0} + \dot{t}' \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t}'; M3.2(c)_h \triangleright \dot{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t} \Rightarrow \dot{t}' \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t}' \triangleright \dot{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t} \Rightarrow \dot{0} + \dot{t}' \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t}' \gg \dot{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t} \Rightarrow \dot{t}' \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t}'; Gen' \triangleright \dot{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t} \Rightarrow \dot{t}' \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t}' \gg \dot{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t} \Rightarrow \dot{t}' \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t}' \triangleright \dot{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t} \Rightarrow \dot{0} + \dot{t}' \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t}'; S9' \gg \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{0} \Rightarrow \dot{t}: \dot{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t} \Rightarrow \dot{t}' \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t}' \Rightarrow \dot{t}: \dot{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t} \Rightarrow \dot{t}' \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t}'; MP' \triangleright \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{0} \Rightarrow \dot{t}: \dot{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t} \Rightarrow \dot{t}' \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t}' \Rightarrow \dot{t}: \dot{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t} \triangleright \dot{0} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{0} \gg \dot{t}: \dot{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t} \Rightarrow \dot{t}' \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t}' \Rightarrow \dot{t}: \dot{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t} \Rightarrow \dot{t}' \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t}' \Rightarrow \dot{t}: \dot{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t} \triangleright \dot{t}: \dot{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t} \Rightarrow \dot{t}' \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t}' \gg \dot{t}: \dot{t} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{t}]; p_0, c)]$

A Chores

A.1 The name of the page

This defines the name of the page:

[peano $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$ “peano”]

A.2 Variables of Peano arithmetic

We use [\dot{b} $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$ “peano b”][\dot{b} $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$ “
 $\backslash \text{dot}\{\backslash \text{mathit}\{b\}\}\”], [\dot{c} $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$ “peano c”][\dot{c} $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$ “
 $\backslash \text{dot}\{\backslash \text{mathit}\{c\}\}\”], [\dot{d} $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$ “peano d”][\dot{d} $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$ “
 $\backslash \text{dot}\{\backslash \text{mathit}\{d\}\}\”], [\dot{e} $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$ “peano e”][\dot{e} $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$ “
 $\backslash \text{dot}\{\backslash \text{mathit}\{e\}\}\”], [\dot{f} $\xrightarrow{\text{pyk}}$ “peano f”][\dot{f} $\xrightarrow{\text{tex}}$ “$$$$

A.4 Test

$[\lceil \dot{a} \rceil^P]$

$[\lceil a \rceil^P]^-$

$[\text{nonfree}(\lceil \dot{x} \rceil, \lceil \dot{y} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{z} \Rightarrow \dot{\forall}x: \dot{x} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{y} \rceil)]^+$

$[\text{nonfree}(\lceil \dot{x} \rceil, \lceil \dot{x} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{z} \Rightarrow \dot{\forall}x: \dot{x} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{y} \rceil)]^-$

$[\text{nonfree}(\lceil \dot{x} \rceil, \lceil \dot{y} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{x} \Rightarrow \dot{\forall}x: \dot{x} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{y} \rceil)]^-$

$[\text{nonfree}(\lceil \dot{x} \rceil, \lceil \dot{y} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{z} \Rightarrow \dot{\forall}y: \dot{x} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{y} \rceil)]^-$

$[\text{free}(\lceil \dot{\forall}x: b :: \dot{x} :: c \rceil | \lceil \dot{x} \rceil := \lceil x :: \dot{y} :: z \rceil)]^+$

$[\text{free}(\lceil \dot{\forall}y: b :: \dot{x} :: c \rceil | \lceil \dot{x} \rceil := \lceil x :: \dot{y} :: z \rceil)]^-$

$[\text{free}(\lceil \dot{\forall}x: b :: \dot{x} :: c \rceil | \lceil \dot{y} \rceil := \lceil x :: \dot{y} :: z \rceil)]^+$

$[\text{free}(\lceil \dot{\forall}y: b :: \dot{x} :: c \rceil | \lceil \dot{y} \rceil := \lceil x :: \dot{y} :: z \rceil)]^+$

$[\dot{a} \equiv \langle \dot{a} | \dot{b} := \dot{c} \rangle]^+$

$[\dot{c} \equiv \langle \dot{b} | \dot{b} := \dot{c} \rangle]^+$

$[\forall \dot{a}: \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{b} \equiv \langle \forall \dot{a}: \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{b} | \dot{a} := \dot{c} \rangle]^+$

$[\forall \dot{a}: \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{c} \equiv \langle \forall \dot{a}: \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{b} | \dot{b} := \dot{c} \rangle]^+$

$[\forall \dot{a}: \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{a} \Rightarrow \dot{c} : \dot{d} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{c} : \dot{d} \equiv \langle \forall \dot{a}: \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{a} \Rightarrow \dot{b} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{b} | \dot{b} := \dot{c} : \dot{d} \rangle]^+$

$[\forall \dot{a}: \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{a} \Rightarrow \dot{b} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{b} \equiv \langle \forall \dot{a}: \dot{a} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{a} \Rightarrow \dot{b} \stackrel{P}{=} \dot{0} + \dot{b} | \dot{a} := \dot{c} \rangle]^+$

A.5 Priority table

$[\text{peano} \xrightarrow{\text{prio}}$

Preassociative

$[\text{peano}], [\text{base}], [\text{bracket} * \text{end bracket}], [\text{big bracket} * \text{end bracket}],$
 $[\text{math} * \text{end math}], [\textbf{flush left } *]], [\text{x}], [\text{y}], [\text{z}], [[* \bowtie *]], [[* \xrightarrow{*} *]], [\text{pyk}], [\text{tex}],$
 $[\text{name}], [\text{prio}], [*], [\text{T}], [\text{if}(*, *, *)], [[* \xrightarrow{*} *]], [\text{val}], [\text{claim}], [\perp], [\text{f}(*)], [(*)^I], [\text{F}], [0],$
 $[1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [0], [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [\text{a}], [\text{b}], [\text{c}], [\text{d}],$
 $[\text{e}], [\text{f}], [\text{g}], [\text{h}], [\text{i}], [\text{j}], [\text{k}], [\text{l}], [\text{m}], [\text{n}], [\text{o}], [\text{p}], [\text{q}], [\text{r}], [\text{s}], [\text{t}], [\text{u}], [\text{v}], [\text{w}], [(*)^M], [\text{If}(*, *, *)],$
 $[\text{array}\{*\} * \text{end array}], [\text{l}], [\text{c}], [\text{r}], [\text{empty}], [[* * := *]], [\mathcal{M}(*)], [\mathcal{U}(*)], [\mathcal{U}(*)],$
 $[\mathcal{U}^M(*)], [\textbf{apply}(*, *)], [\textbf{apply}_1(*, *)], [\text{identifier}(*)], [\text{identifier}_1(*, *)], [\text{array-plus}(*, *)],$
 $[\text{array-remove}(*, *, *)], [\text{array-put}(*, *, *, *)], [\text{array-add}(*, *, *, *, *)], [\text{bit}(*, *)], [\text{bit}_1(*, *)], [\text{rack}], [\text{"vector"}], [\text{"bibliography"}], [\text{"dictionary"}],$
 $[\text{"body"}], [\text{"codex"}], [\text{"expansion"}], [\text{"code"}], [\text{"cache"}], [\text{"diagnose"}], [\text{"pyk"}],$

["tex"], ["texname"], ["value"], ["message"], ["macro"], ["definition"],
 ["unpack"], ["claim"], ["priority"], ["lambda"], ["apply"], ["true"], ["if"],
 ["quote"], ["proclaim"], ["define"], ["introduce"], ["hide"], ["pre"], ["post"],
 $\mathcal{E}(*, *, *)$, $\mathcal{E}_2(*, *, *, *, *)$, $\mathcal{E}_3(*, *, *, *)$, $\mathcal{E}_4(*, *, *, *)$, **lookup**(*),
abstract(*), $\mathcal{M}(*, *, *)$, $\mathcal{M}_2(*, *, *, *)$, $\mathcal{M}^*(*, *, *)$, [macro],
 $[s_0]$, **zip**(*), **assoc1**(*), $[(*)^P]$, [self], $[[* \doteq *]]$, $[[* \doteq *]]$,
 $[[* \stackrel{\text{pyk}}{=} *]]$, $[[* \stackrel{\text{tex}}{=} *]]$, $[[* \stackrel{\text{name}}{=} *]]$, **Priority table**(*), $[\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_1]$, $[\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_2(*)]$, $[\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_3(*)]$,
 $[\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4(*, *, *, *)]$, $[\mathcal{M}(*, *, *)]$, $[\mathcal{Q}(*, *, *)]$, $[\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_2(*, *, *)]$, $[\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_3(*, *, *, *)]$, $[\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^*(*, *, *)]$,
 $[(*)]$, **aspect**(*), **aspect**(*), $[(*)]$, **tuple1**(*), **tuple2**(*), **let2**(*),
let1(*), $[[* \stackrel{\text{claim}}{=} *]]$, [checker], **check**(*), **check2**(*), **check3**(*),
check(*), **check2**(*), $[[*]^\cdot]$, $[[*]^-]$, $[[*]^\circ]$, [msg], $[[* \stackrel{\text{msg}}{=} *]]$, <stmt>,
[stmt], $[[* \stackrel{\text{stmt}}{=} *]]$, [HeadNil'], [HeadPair'], [Transitivity'], $\llbracket \perp \rrbracket$, [Contra'], $[T'_E]$,
 $[L_1]$, $[\perp]$, $[\mathcal{A}]$, $[\mathcal{B}]$, $[\mathcal{C}]$, $[\mathcal{D}]$, $[\mathcal{E}]$, $[\mathcal{F}]$, $[\mathcal{G}]$, $[\mathcal{H}]$, $[\mathcal{I}]$, $[\mathcal{J}]$, $[\mathcal{K}]$, $[\mathcal{L}]$, $[\mathcal{M}]$, $[\mathcal{N}]$, $[\mathcal{O}]$, $[\mathcal{P}]$, $[\mathcal{Q}]$,
 $[\mathcal{R}]$, $[\mathcal{S}]$, $[\mathcal{T}]$, $[\mathcal{U}]$, $[\mathcal{V}]$, $[\mathcal{W}]$, $[\mathcal{X}]$, $[\mathcal{Y}]$, $[\mathcal{Z}]$, $[(*)|*:=*]$, $[(**|*:=*)]$, $[\emptyset]$, [Remainder],
 $[(*)^v]$, **intro**(*), **intro**(*), **intro**(*), [error(*)], [error2(*)], [proof(*)],
[basic proof], $[\mathcal{S}(*, *)]$, $[\mathcal{S}^I(*, *)]$, $[\mathcal{S}^D(*, *)]$, $[\mathcal{S}_1^D(*, *, *)]$, $[\mathcal{S}^E(*, *)]$, $[\mathcal{S}_1^E(*, *, *)]$,
 $[\mathcal{S}^+(*, *)]$, $[\mathcal{S}_1^+(*, *, *)]$, $[\mathcal{S}^-(*, *)]$, $[\mathcal{S}_1^-(*, *, *)]$, $[\mathcal{S}^*(*, *)]$, $[\mathcal{S}_1^*(*, *, *)]$,
 $[\mathcal{S}_2^*(*, *, *, *)]$, $[\mathcal{S}^{\circledast}(*, *)]$, $[\mathcal{S}_1^{\circledast}(*, *, *)]$, $[\mathcal{S}^{\vdash}(*, *)]$, $[\mathcal{S}_1^{\vdash}(*, *, *, *)]$, $[\mathcal{S}^{\#}(*, *)]$,
 $[\mathcal{S}_1^{\#}(*, *, *, *)]$, $[\mathcal{S}^{i.e.}(*, *)]$, $[\mathcal{S}_1^{i.e.}(*, *, *, *)]$, $[\mathcal{S}_2^{i.e.}(*, *, *, *, *)]$, $[\mathcal{S}^{\forall}(*, *)]$,
 $[\mathcal{S}_1^{\forall}(*, *, *, *)]$, $[\mathcal{S}^{\exists}(*, *)]$, $[\mathcal{S}_1^{\exists}(*, *, *)]$, $[\mathcal{S}_2^{\exists}(*, *, *, *)]$, $[\mathcal{T}(*)]$, [claims(*)],
[claims2(*)], <proof>, [proof], [[Lemma :: *]], [[Proof of :: *]],
[[* lemma :: *]], [[* antilemma :: *]], [[* rule :: *]], [[* antirule :: *]],
[verifier], $[\mathcal{V}_1(*)]$, $[\mathcal{V}_2(*, *)]$, $[\mathcal{V}_3(*, *, *, *)]$, $[\mathcal{V}_4(*, *)]$, $[\mathcal{V}_5(*, *, *, *, *)]$, $[\mathcal{V}_6(*, *, *, *, *)]$,
 $[\mathcal{V}_7(*, *, *, *, *)]$, [Cut(*, *), [Head \oplus (*), [Tail \oplus (*), [rule1(*, *), [rule(*, *),
[Rule tactic], [Plus(*, *)], [[Theory *]], [theory2(*, *)], [theory3(*, *)],
[theory4(*, *, *)], [HeadNil'], [HeadPair'], [Transitivity'], [Contra'], [HeadNil],
[HeadPair], [Transitivity], [Contra], $[T_E]$, [ragged right],
[ragged right expansion], [parm(*, *, *)], [parm^{*}(*, *, *)], [inst(*, *)],
[inst^{*}(*, *)], [occur(*, *, *)], [occur^{*}(*, *, *)], [unify(* = *, *)], [unify^{*}(* = *, *)],
[unify₂(* = *, *)], $[L_a]$, $[L_b]$, $[L_c]$, $[L_d]$, $[L_e]$, $[L_f]$, $[L_g]$, $[L_h]$, $[L_i]$, $[L_j]$, $[L_k]$, $[L_l]$, $[L_m]$,
 $[L_n]$, $[L_o]$, $[L_p]$, $[L_q]$, $[L_r]$, $[L_s]$, $[L_t]$, $[L_u]$, $[L_v]$, $[L_w]$, $[L_x]$, $[L_y]$, $[L_z]$, $[L_A]$, $[L_B]$, $[L_C]$,
 $[L_D]$, $[L_E]$, $[L_F]$, $[L_G]$, $[L_H]$, $[L_I]$, $[L_J]$, $[L_K]$, $[L_M]$, $[L_N]$, $[L_O]$, $[L_P]$, $[L_Q]$, $[L_R]$,
 $[L_S]$, $[L_T]$, $[L_U]$, $[L_V]$, $[L_W]$, $[L_X]$, $[L_Y]$, $[L_Z]$, $[L_?]$, [Reflexivity], [Reflexivity₁],
[Commutativity], [Commutativity₁], <tactic>, [tactic], $[[* \stackrel{\text{tactic}}{=} *]]$, $[\mathcal{P}(*, *, *)]$,
 $[\mathcal{P}^*(*, *, *)]$, $[p_0]$, [conclude₁(*), [conclude₂(*), [conclude₃(*), [conclude₄(*),
 $[0]$, $[1]$, $[2]$, $[\dot{a}]$, $[\dot{b}]$, $[\dot{c}]$, $[\dot{d}]$, $[\dot{e}]$, $[\dot{f}]$, $[\dot{g}]$, $[\dot{h}]$, $[\dot{i}]$, $[\dot{j}]$, $[\dot{k}]$, $[\dot{l}]$, $[\dot{m}]$, $[\dot{n}]$,
 $[\dot{o}]$, $[\dot{p}]$, $[\dot{q}]$, $[\dot{r}]$, $[\dot{s}]$, $[\dot{t}]$, $[\dot{u}]$, $[\dot{v}]$, $[\dot{w}]$, $[\dot{x}]$, $[\dot{y}]$, $[\dot{z}]$, [nonfree(*, *)], [nonfree^{*}(*, *)],
[free(*|* := *), [free^{*}(*|* := *), [* \equiv (*|* := *), [* \equiv (*|* := *), [S], [A1], [A2],
[A3], [A4], [A5], [S1], [S2], [S3], [S4], [S5], [S6], [S7], [S8], [S9], [MP], [Gen],
[L3.2(a)], [S'], [A1'], [A2'], [A3'], [A4'], [A5'], [S1'], [S2'], [S3'], [S4'], [S5'], [S6'],
[S7'], [S8'], [S9'], [MP'], [Gen'], [L3.2(a')], [M1.7], [MP'_h], [Hypothesize], [Gen'_h],
[M3.2(a)], [M3.2(a)_h], [M3.2(b)_h], [M3.1(S1')_h], [M3.2(c)_h], [M3.1(S2')_h],
[M3.1(S5')_h], [M3.1(S6')_h], [M3.2(f)];
Preassociative

[* { * }];

Preassociative

[$\lambda * . *$], [$\Lambda *$], [if * then * else *], [let * = * in *], [let * \doteq * in *];

Preassociative

[*^I], [*^D], [*^V], [*⁺], [*⁻], [*^{*}];

Preassociative

[*@*], [* \triangleright *], [* $\triangleright\triangleright$ *], [* \gg *], [* \trianglelefteq *], [* \triangleright_h *];

Postassociative

[* \vdash *], [* \Vdash *], [*i.e.*];

Preassociative

[$\forall * : *$];

Postassociative

[* \oplus *];

Postassociative

[*; *];

Preassociative

[* proves *];

Preassociative

[* proof of * : *], [Line * : * \gg *; *], [Last line * \gg * \square],
[Line * : Premise \gg *; *], [Line * : Side-condition \gg *; *], [Arbitrary \gg *; *],
[Local \gg * = *; *];

Postassociative

[* then *], [*[*]*];

Preassociative

[*&*];

Preassociative

[**];]

B Index

Peano variable, 3

variable, Peano, 3

C Bibliography

- [1] K. Grue. Logiweb. In Fairouz Kamareddine, editor, *Mathematical Knowledge Management Symposium 2003*, volume 93 of *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science*, pages 70–101. Elsevier, 2004.
- [2] E. Mendelson. *Introduction to Mathematical Logic*. Wadsworth and Brooks, 3. edition, 1987.