# Formal Logic

Lasse Nielsen & Morten Ib Nielsen Department of Computer Science, University of Copenhagen

July 12, 2006

## 1 Initial remarks

Initially we planned to show a simple result of Group Theory namely the uniqueness of the neutral element. Our idea was to develop propositional logic and predicate calculus first. Based on these we planned to develop the axiomatic set theory ZFC and finally when we had sets we could define groups. Unfortunately it turned out that this was much more cumbersome than we thought both because we are newcommers to Logiweb<sup>TM</sup> and also because core Logiweb<sup>TM</sup> is very low level. Being newcommers to Logiweb<sup>TM</sup>we have used a lot of time trying to find out how to use the system. This hasn't been easy due to the total absence of a hands on users manual. Thus we wasted a lot of time early on trying to parse other peoples code from earlier years in order to understand how to use pyk (the language used to construct proofs ect. in Logiweb<sup>TM</sup>). This was a very frustrating and non-trivial task since this years pyk syntax is different from earlier years! A lot of emailing back and forth with Klaus Grue helped us, but progress was slow. Very late in the course we had the oportunity to sit down with Klaus in a kind of assisted programming session, where Klaus helped us with our problems as they occured - this was very rewarding. After that we revised our goals with respect to this project and we found that even though we were now able to prove things in Logiweb<sup>TM</sup>our initial goal was out of range because of the assembler like nature of our predicate calculus. Instead we decided to take the first step towards a more high level interface to our predicate calculus.

## 2 Conclusion

This Logiweb<sup>TM</sup> page is an exam project on the course 202 Logik spring 2006 at the Department of Computer Science, University of Copenhagen. The purpose with this page is to use Logiweb<sup>TM</sup> to publish a machine checkable proof for a theorem of our choise - which we have done. Since both of us have backgrounds in mathematics and formal methods in computer science we started out having high ambitions. As hinted we had to revise our goals along the way for several reasons. Instead of doing a large and complicated proof we have decided to show

how to use the Logiweb<sup>TM</sup> system to define a theory (a set of axioms), define and prove lemmas in a theory (both using axioms aswell as allready proved lemmas). Along the way we have explained key parts of the Logiweb<sup>TM</sup> notation. It is our hope that this Logiweb<sup>TM</sup> page will serve as a helping hand to future students on this course providing the getting started manual that we have been missing so much.

We start out defining a theory called *pred calc* containing one possible set of axioms and inference rules for the predicate calculus. Since these axioms are very low level we define, inspired by Natural Deduction (see [LiCS]), a set of higher level (and more intuitive) proof rules wich we prove. Finally we use these higher level rules to prove the sequent: TODO.

## 3 Introduction

This Logiweb<sup>TM</sup>page is formally correct. This means that it has been verified and found correct by the Logiweb<sup>TM</sup>proof engine. Therefore we can say that it is correct modulo errors in the proof engine. Is the content correct then? Well yes, but only in the sense that the lemmas we have proved are consequences of the axioms and proof rules we have introduced. There is no guarantee of soundness of our axioms and proof rules.

We have structured this document as follows. In section 4 we define the axioms and proof rules of predicate calculus. We conclude the section with two simple proofs namely the very (intuitively) obvious lemma *trivia* 4.1 and the lemma *repeat* 4.3. Then in section 5 we state and prove lemmas inspired by Natural Deduction. Finally in section 6 we use these lemmas to prove the sequent: TODO.

# 4 First order predicate calculus

Based on mathworld<sup>1</sup> and thus on Kleene (2002) we define first-order predicate calculus below. We note that the axioms 1 through 10 together with the inference rule modus ponens (pcmp) constitutes the propositional calculus. Our definitions are not excately like those found on Mathworld for two reasons. First we have made  $\Rightarrow$  right associative in order to get rid of unnecessary parenthisis. This means that  $\mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  really means  $\mathcal{F} \Rightarrow (\mathcal{G} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F})$  below. Second we had to express formulations such as in which x occurs free in a machine checkable way.

The [Theory pred calc] contains the following axioms:

- 1. [pred calc rule pc1:  $\Pi \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}: \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ ]
- 2. [pred calc rule pc2:  $\Pi \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H}: (\mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \mathcal{G}) \Rightarrow (\mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow \mathcal{H}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ ]
- 3. [pred calc **rule** pc3:  $\Pi \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \colon \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{G}$ ]

 $<sup>^{1}</sup> http://mathworld.wolfram.com/First-OrderLogic.html. \\$ 

- 4. [pred calc rule pc4:  $\Pi \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}: \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F} \vee \mathcal{G}$ ]
- 5. [pred calc rule pc5:  $\Pi \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \colon \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \mathcal{G} \vee \mathcal{F}$ ]
- 6. [pred calc rule pc6:  $\Pi \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}: \mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ ]
- 7. [pred calc rule pc7:  $\Pi \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}: \mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ ]
- 8. [pred calc rule pc8:  $\Pi \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H} \colon (\mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \mathcal{G}) \Rightarrow (\mathcal{H} \Rightarrow \mathcal{G}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{F} \lor \mathcal{H} \Rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ ]
- 9. [pred calc rule pc9:  $\Pi \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}: (\mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \mathcal{G}) \Rightarrow (\mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \neg \mathcal{G}) \Rightarrow \neg \mathcal{F}$ ]
- 10. [pred calc **rule** pc10:  $\Pi \mathcal{F}: \neg \neg \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ ]
- 11. [pred calc **rule** pc11:  $\Pi \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}: \mathcal{X} \# \mathcal{R} \Vdash \mathcal{X} \# \mathcal{G} \Vdash \langle \mathcal{G} \equiv \mathcal{F} | \mathcal{X} := \mathcal{R} \rangle \vdash \forall \mathcal{X}. (\mathcal{F}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ ]
- 12. [pred calc **rule** pc12:  $\Pi \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}: \mathcal{X} \# \mathcal{R} \Vdash \mathcal{X} \# \mathcal{G} \Vdash \langle \mathcal{G} \equiv \mathcal{F} | \mathcal{X} := \mathcal{R} \rangle \vdash \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow \exists \mathcal{X}. (\mathcal{F})$ ]

The proof rules in the [Theory pred calc] are:

- [pred calc rule pcmp:  $\Pi \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \colon \mathcal{F} \vdash \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \mathcal{G} \vdash \mathcal{G}$ ]
- [pred calc rule pcia:  $\Pi \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{X} : \mathcal{X} \# \mathcal{G} \Vdash \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F} \vdash \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow \forall \mathcal{X}. (\mathcal{F})$ ]
- [pred calc rule pcie:  $\Pi \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{X} : \mathcal{X} \# \mathcal{G} \Vdash \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \mathcal{G} \vdash \exists \mathcal{X}. (\mathcal{F}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ ]
- [pred calc rule pededuction:  $\Pi A, B : \text{Ded}(A, B) \Vdash A \vdash B$ ]

## 4.1 A conservative extension

The rule pcdeduction is not really a part of Predicate Calculus according to mathworld but it is a conservative extension in the sence than we cannot prove anything using this rule that we cannot prove without it. We include it in order to make proofs shorter and thus easier to understand for humans. As a curiosity we cannot prove that pcdeduction is a conservative extension formally  $^2$ .

#### 4.2 Notation

Some of the notation used above is most likely unfamilliar. So let's spend a few paragraphs explaining it before we continue. The meaning of the axioms and proof rules above are of course given by their definition but in order to understand the definitions we need to explain the basic syntax (or at least the part of it we use) in Logiweb<sup>TM</sup>definitions and proofs.

• The symbol  $\vdash$  is placed between two propositions. The meaning of  $A \vdash B$  is that if A can be proved then so can B.  $\vdash$  can be used sequentially in the way that  $A \vdash B \vdash C$  means if A can be proved, then if B can be proved, then so can C. That is if A and B can be proved then so can C.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>In a machine checkable way.

- The symbol  $\triangleright$  is placed between a rule and a line number. It is the opposite of  $\vdash$  in the sence that if R is a rule saying that  $A \vdash B$  and L is a line concluding A, then  $R \triangleright L$  concludes B.
- Explanation of  $\gg$ . A line in a proof consists of the symbol  $\gg$  with the use of a rule on the left, and the conclusion on the right. The example above would give the line  $R \triangleright L \gg B$ .
- The meaning of  $\Vdash$  is almost the same as  $\vdash$ . If the condition on the left evaluates to true, then the proposition on the right can be proved. The condition on the left of a  $\Vdash$  is a so called side-condition. The only side-conditions we use are expressed using substitution and  $\sharp$  (explained below).
- The 

  symbol is used much like 

  be but it is the opposite of 

  instead of 

  the instead of 

  the symbol must conclude that the side-condition is fullfilled.
- The side-condition ⟨A ≡ B | C := D⟩ is fulfilled, if the proposition A where any occurrence of the meta-variable C is replaced by the proposition D is exactly equal to the proposition B. Another way to express this using a more common notation of substitution would be B ≡ A[D/C].
- The side-condition A#B is fulfilled if the meta-variable A does not occur in the proposition B.

## 4.3 Some small proofs

Having explained the syntax of definitons and proofs we continue with two simple, but usefull, lemmas just to show how it is done. Lemma 4.1 is proved using only axioms and proof rules while the proof of lemma 4.3 uses the result of lemma 4.1 aswell.

## Lemma 4.1 [pred calc lemma trivia: $\forall \mathcal{F} \colon \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ ]

pred calc **proof of** trivia:

```
\mathcal{F}
L01:
                      Arbitrary ≫
                                                                                                                       (\mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}) \Rightarrow (\mathcal{F} \Rightarrow (\mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}))
L02:
                      pc2 \gg
                                                                                                                       \mathcal{F}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}
L03:
                                                                                                                       \mathcal{F}\Rightarrow\mathcal{F}\Rightarrow\mathcal{F}
                      pc1 \gg
                                                                                                                       (\mathcal{F} \Rightarrow (\mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow
L04:
                      pcmp \triangleright L03 \triangleright L02 \gg
                                                                                                                       \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow (\mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}
L05:
                      pc1 \gg
L06:
                      pcmp \triangleright L05 \triangleright L04 \gg
                                                                                                                       \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}
```

Lemma 4.2 [pred calc lemma trivia2:  $\forall \mathcal{F} \colon \mathcal{F} \vdash \mathcal{F}$ ]

L01: L02: L03: L04: L05: L06: L07:	d calc <b>proof of</b> trivia2: Arbitrary >> Block >> Arbitrary >> Premise >> repeat >> L04 >> Block >> pcdeduction >> L06 >> talk at a like the control of the c	$\mathcal{F}$ Begin $\mathcal{F}$ $\mathcal{F}$ $\mathcal{F}$ End $\mathcal{F} \vdash \mathcal{F}$	;;;;;;
	` - / L-	initia repeat. III . 5   5	
pre L01: L02: L03: L04:	ad calc <b>proof of</b> repeat: Arbitrary $\gg$ Premise $\gg$ trivia $\gg$ pcmp $\rhd$ L02 $\rhd$ L03 $\gg$	$egin{array}{c} \mathcal{F} \ \mathcal{F} \ \mathcal{F} & \Rightarrow \mathcal{F} \ \mathcal{F} \end{array}$	; ; ;
<b>5</b> I	Natural deduction		
The axioms and proof rules in [ <b>Theory</b> pred calc] constitutes a very low level proof system. In order to ameliorate this we introduce and prove some higher level (and more intuitive) proof rules. These proof rules are inspired by natural deduction as defined in [LiCS]. We conclude this section by justifying why we have replaced two rules from [LiCS] with a new rule.			
Lemn	na 5.1 [pred calc lemma andintre	$g:\Pi\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G}:\mathcal{F}\vdash\mathcal{G}\vdash\mathcal{F}\wedge\mathcal{G}]$	
pre L01: L02: L03: L04: L05: L06:	ed calc <b>proof of</b> andintro: Arbitrary $\gg$ Premise $\gg$ Premise $\gg$ pc3 $\gg$ pcmp $\rhd$ L02 $\rhd$ L04 $\gg$ pcmp $\rhd$ L03 $\rhd$ L05 $\gg$	$ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{F},\mathcal{G} \\ \mathcal{F} \\ \mathcal{G} \\ \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{G} \\ \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{G} \\ \mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{G} \end{array} $	; ; ; ;
_			

# Lemma 5.2 [pred calc lemma and elim1: $\Pi \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \colon \mathcal{F} \land \mathcal{G} \vdash \mathcal{F}$ ]

pre	d calc <b>proof of</b> andelim1:		
L01:	Arbitrary $\gg$	$\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G}$	;
L02:	Premise $\gg$	$\mathcal{F}\wedge\mathcal{G}$	;
L03:	$pc6 \gg$	$\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}$	;
L04:	$pcmp \rhd L02 \rhd L03 \gg$	${\cal F}$	

Lemma 5.3 [pred calc lemma andelim2:  $\Pi \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \colon \mathcal{F} \land \mathcal{G} \vdash \mathcal{G}$ ]

pre	ed calc <b>proof of</b> andelim2:		
L01:	Arbitrary »	$\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G}$	
	Premise >>	$\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{G}$	;
		$\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow \mathcal{G}$	,
L03:	1		;
L04:	$pcmp \rhd L02 \rhd L03 \gg$	${\cal G}$	
Lemn	na 5.4 [pred calc lemma orintro]	$f:\Pi\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G}\colon\mathcal{F}\vdash\mathcal{F}\lor\mathcal{G}]$	
pre	ed calc <b>proof of</b> orintro1:		
L01:	Arbitrary $\gg$	$\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G}$	;
L02:	$\text{Premise} \gg$	${\mathcal F}$	;
L03:	$pc4 \gg$	$\mathcal{F}\Rightarrow\mathcal{F}ee\mathcal{G}$	;
	$pcmp \rhd L02 \rhd L03 \gg$	$\mathcal{F} ee \mathcal{G}$	
Lemn	na 5.5 [pred calc lemma orintros	$\mathcal{C}:\Pi\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G}:\mathcal{G}\vdash\mathcal{F}\vee\mathcal{G}]$	
pr€	ed calc <b>proof of</b> orintro2:		
L01:	Arbitrary $\gg$	$\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G}$	;
L02:	Premise ≫	$\mathcal{G}$	;
L03:	$ m pc5\gg$	$\mathcal{G}\Rightarrow\mathcal{F}ee\mathcal{G}$	;
L04:	pcmp $\triangleright$ L02 $\triangleright$ L03 $\gg$	$\mathcal{F}ee\mathcal{G}$	
$\mathbf{Lemn} \\ \mathcal{H}) \vdash \mathcal{I}$	na 5.6 [pred calc lemma orelim:	$\Pi\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G},\mathcal{H}\colon\mathcal{F}\vee\mathcal{G}\;\vdash\;(\mathcal{F}\;\vdash\;\mathcal{H})\;\vdash$	$(\mathcal{G} \vdash$
$\operatorname{pr}\epsilon$	ed calc <b>proof of</b> orelim:		
L01:	Arbitrary ≫	$\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G},\mathcal{H}$	;
L02:	Premise >>	$\mathcal{F}ee\mathcal{G}$	;
L03:	Premise ≫	$\mathcal{F} dash \mathcal{H}$	;
L04:	$Premise \gg$	$\mathcal{G} dash \mathcal{H}$	;
L05:	pcded $\triangleright$ L03 $\gg$	$\mathcal{F}\Rightarrow\mathcal{H}$	:
L06:	$pcded \triangleright L04 \gg$	$\mathcal{G}\Rightarrow\mathcal{H}$	:
L07:	pc8 >>	$(\mathcal{F}\Rightarrow\mathcal{H})\Rightarrow(\mathcal{G}\Rightarrow\mathcal{H})\Rightarrow\mathcal{F}\vee$	,
		$\mathcal{G}\Rightarrow\mathcal{H}$	;
L08:	$pcmp > L05 > L07 \gg$	$(\mathcal{G}\Rightarrow\mathcal{H})\Rightarrow\mathcal{F}\vee\mathcal{G}\Rightarrow\mathcal{H}$	;
L09:	$pcmp > L06 > L08 \gg$	$\mathcal{F}\vee\mathcal{G}\Rightarrow\mathcal{H}$	;
L10:	$pcmp \rhd L02 \rhd L09 \gg$	$\mathcal{H}$	
Lemn	na 5.7 [pred calc lemma notintro	$f:\Pi\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G}\colon (\mathcal{F}\vdash\mathcal{G})\vdash (\mathcal{F}\vdash\neg\mathcal{G})\vdash\neg\mathcal{G}$	$\mathcal{F}]$
$\operatorname{pre}$	ed calc <b>proof of</b> notintro:		
L01:	Arbitrary $\gg$	$\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G}$	;
L02:	Premise $\gg$	$\mathcal{F} dash \mathcal{G}$	;
L03:	$\text{Premise} \gg$	$\mathcal{F} \vdash \neg \mathcal{G}$	;
L04:	pcded $\triangleright$ L02 $\gg$	$\mathcal{F}\Rightarrow\mathcal{G}$	;
L05:	$pcded \triangleright L03 \gg$	$\mathcal{F}\Rightarrow  eg \mathcal{G}$	;
L06:	$pc9 \gg$	$(\mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \mathcal{G}) \Rightarrow (\mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \neg \mathcal{G}) \Rightarrow \neg \mathcal{F}$	;

L07: 
$$pemp \rhd L04 \rhd L06 \gg \qquad (\mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \neg \mathcal{G}) \Rightarrow \neg \mathcal{F} \qquad ;$$
  
L08:  $pemp \rhd L05 \rhd L07 \gg \qquad \neg \mathcal{F} \qquad \Box$ 

Lemma 5.8 [pred calc lemma notnotelim:  $\Pi \mathcal{F}: \neg \neg \mathcal{F} \vdash \mathcal{F}$ ]

pred calc **proof of** notnotelim:

L01: Arbitrary ≫ L02: Premise  $\gg$  $eg \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ L03:  $pc10 \gg$ L04: pcmp  $\triangleright$  L02  $\triangleright$  L03  $\gg$ 

Lemma 5.9 [pred calc lemma forallintro:  $\Pi \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{X}: \mathcal{X} \# \mathcal{G} \lor \neg \mathcal{G} \Vdash \mathcal{F} \vdash \forall \mathcal{X}. (\mathcal{F})$ ] pred calc **proof of** forallintro:

 $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{X}$ L01: Arbitrary  $\gg$ L02: Side- $condition \gg$  $\mathcal{X}\#\mathcal{G}\vee\neg\mathcal{G}$ Premise  $\gg$  $\mathcal{F}$ L03: L04:  $\mathcal{G} \vee \neg \mathcal{G}$  $lem \gg$ 

L06: Arbitrary  $\gg$  $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}$ L07:  $Premise \gg$  $\mathcal{G} \vee \neg \mathcal{G}$  $repeat \rhd L03 \gg$  $\mathcal{F}$ L08: L09:  $Block \gg$ End  $\mathcal{G} \vee \neg \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ L10:  $pcdeduction \triangleright L09 \gg$  $\mathcal{G} \vee \neg \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow \forall \mathcal{X}. (\mathcal{F})$ 

Begin

 $\forall \mathcal{X}. (\mathcal{F})$ 

Remark 5.10 In lemma 5.9 we use the side condition  $\mathcal{X} \# \mathcal{G} \vee \neg \mathcal{G}$ . We would like to use the equivalent  $\mathcal{X}\#\mathcal{G}$  instead but we haven't been able to find a way to prove the former side condition from the latter.

Lemma 5.11 [pred calc lemma forallelim:  $\Pi \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F} \colon \mathcal{X} \# \mathcal{R} \Vdash \mathcal{X} \# \mathcal{G} \Vdash \langle \mathcal{G} \equiv \mathcal{F}$  $\forall \mathcal{X}. (\mathcal{F}) \vdash \mathcal{G}$ 

pred calc **proof of** forallelim:

 $pcia \gg L02 > L10 \gg$ 

 $pcmp > L04 > L11 \gg$ 

 $Block \gg$ 

L05:

L11:

L12:

L01: Arbitrary ≫  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}$ L02: Side-condition  $\gg$  $\mathcal{X}\#\mathcal{R}$ Side-condition  $\gg$  $\mathcal{X}\#\mathcal{G}$ L03:  $\langle \mathcal{G} \equiv \mathcal{F} | \mathcal{X} := \mathcal{R} \rangle$ L04: Side-condition  $\gg$ L05:pc11  $\triangleright$  L02  $\triangleright$  L03  $\triangleright$  L04  $\gg$  $\forall \mathcal{X}. (\mathcal{F}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ 

L06:  $Block \gg$ Begin L07: Arbitrary  $\gg$  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}$ L08: Premise  $\gg$  $\forall \mathcal{X}. (\mathcal{F})$ 

L09:  $pcmp > L08 > L05 \gg$  $\mathcal{G}$ L10:  $Block \gg$ End L11: pcdeduction  $\triangleright$  L10  $\gg$  $\forall \mathcal{X}. (\mathcal{F}) \vdash \mathcal{G}$ 

```
Lemma 5.12 [pred calc lemma exists intro: \Pi \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F} : \mathcal{X} \# \mathcal{R} \Vdash \mathcal{X} \# \mathcal{G} \Vdash \langle \mathcal{G} \equiv \mathcal{G} \vdash \exists \mathcal{X}. (\mathcal{F})]
```

pred calc **proof of** existsintro:

pre	ed care proof of existismino.		
L01:	Arbitrary $\gg$	$\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}$	;
L02:	Side-condition $\gg$	$\mathcal{X}\#\mathcal{R}$	;
L03:	Side-condition $\gg$	$\mathcal{X}\#\mathcal{G}$	;
L04:	Side-condition $\gg$	$\langle \mathcal{G} {\equiv} \mathcal{F}   \mathcal{X} {:} {=} \mathcal{R}  angle$	;
L05:	pc12 $\triangleright$ L02 $\triangleright$ L03 $\triangleright$ L04 $\gg$	$\mathcal{G}\Rightarrow\exists\mathcal{X}.\left(\mathcal{F} ight)$	;
L06:	$\mathrm{Block} \gg$	Begin	;
L07:	Arbitrary $\gg$	$\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}$	;
L08:	Premise $\gg$	${\cal G}$	;
L09:	$pcmp \rhd L08 \rhd L05 \gg$	$\exists \mathcal{X}.\left(\mathcal{F} ight)$	;
L10:	$\mathrm{Block} \gg$	End	;

Lemma 5.13 [pred calc lemma existselim:  $\Pi \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \colon \mathcal{X} \# \mathcal{G} \Vdash \exists \mathcal{X}. (\mathcal{F}) \vdash (\mathcal{F} \vdash \mathcal{G}) \vdash \mathcal{G}$ ]

 $\mathcal{G} \vdash \exists \mathcal{X}. (\mathcal{F})$ 

## pred calc **proof of** existselim:

pcdeduction  $\triangleright$  L10  $\gg$ 

L11:

L12:

L01:	Arbitrary $\gg$	$\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$	;
L02:	Side-condition $\gg$	$\mathcal{X}\#\mathcal{G}$	;
L03:	$\text{Premise} \gg$	$\exists \mathcal{X}.\left(\mathcal{F} ight)$	;
L04:	$\text{Premise} \gg$	$\mathcal{F} dash \mathcal{G}$	;
L05:	$pcded > L04 \gg$	$\mathcal{F}\Rightarrow\mathcal{G}$	;
L06:	pcie $\gg L02 > L05 \gg$	$\exists \mathcal{X}.\left(\mathcal{F}\right) \Rightarrow \mathcal{G}$	;
L07:	$pcmp > L03 > L06 \gg$	${\cal G}$	

## 5.1 Derived lemmas

Premise  $\gg$ 

Below we apply the theorems above to prove some other fairly standard rules.

# Lemma 5.14 [pred calc lemma mt: $\Pi \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \colon \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \mathcal{G} \vdash \neg \mathcal{G} \vdash \neg \mathcal{F}$ ]

pred calc **proof of** mt:  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$ L01: Arbitrary ≫  $\mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ L02: Premise ≫  $\neg \mathcal{G}$ L03: Premise  $\gg$ L04:  $Block \gg$ Begin  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$ L05: Arbitrary  $\gg$  $\mathcal{F}$ L06: Premise  $\gg$  $pcmp > L06 > L02 \gg$ L07:  $\mathcal{G}$ L08:  $Block \gg$ End L09: pcdeduction  $\triangleright$  L08  $\gg$  $\mathcal{F} \vdash \mathcal{G}$  $Block \gg$ L10: Begin L11: Arbitrary  $\gg$  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$ 

 $\mathcal{F}$ 

## Lemma 5.15 [pred calc lemma notnotintro: $\Pi \mathcal{F} : \mathcal{F} \vdash \neg \neg \mathcal{F}$ ]

pred calc **proof of** notnotintro:

```
L01:
               Arbitrary \gg
                                                                                   \mathcal{F}
L02:
                                                                                   \mathcal{F}
               Premise \gg
L03:
               Block \gg
                                                                                   Begin
                                                                                       \mathcal{F}
L04:
               Arbitrary ≫
                                                                                       \mathcal{F}
L05:
            Premise \gg
L06:
             Premise ≫
L07:
            repeat \triangleright L05 \gg
                                                                                       \mathcal{F}
L06:
               Block \gg
                                                                                   End
             pcdeduction \triangleright L06 \gg
                                                                                   \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \neg \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}
L08:
                                                                                   \neg \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}
L09:
             pcmp > L02 > L08 \gg
                                                                                   \neg \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \neg \mathcal{F}
L10:
             trivia \gg
                                                                                   (\neg \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}) \Rightarrow (\neg \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \neg \mathcal{F}) \Rightarrow
L11:
               pc9 \gg
L12:
               pcmp \triangleright L09 \triangleright L11 \gg
                                                                                   (\neg \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \neg \mathcal{F}) \Rightarrow \neg \neg \mathcal{F}
L13:
               pcmp \triangleright L10 \triangleright L12 \gg
                                                                                   \neg\neg\mathcal{F}
```

# Lemma 5.16 [pred calc lemma pbc: $\Pi \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}: (\neg \mathcal{F} \vdash \mathcal{G}) \vdash (\neg \mathcal{F} \vdash \neg \mathcal{G}) \vdash \mathcal{F}$ ]

pred calc **proof of** pbc:

L01:	Arbitrary $\gg$	$\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G}$	;
L02:	$\text{Premise} \gg$	$\neg \mathcal{F} \vdash \mathcal{G}$	;
L03:	Premise $\gg$	$\neg \mathcal{F} \vdash \neg \mathcal{G}$	;
L04:	notintro $\triangleright$ L02 $\triangleright$ L03 $\gg$	$\neg\neg\mathcal{F}$	;
L05:	notnotelim $\triangleright L04 \gg$	$\mathcal F$	

## 5.2 Law of the Excluded Middle

In this section we prove the Law of the Excluded Middle.

# Theorem 5.17 [pred calc lemma lem: $\Pi \mathcal{F} \colon \mathcal{F} \vee \neg \mathcal{F}$ ]

pred calc **proof of** lem:

pre	ea care <b>proor or</b> rem	n:	
L01:	Arbitrary $\gg$	${\cal F}$	;
L02:	$\mathrm{Block} \gg$	Begin	;
L03:	Arbitrary $\gg$	${\cal F}$	;
L04:	$\text{Premise} \gg$	$\neg(\mathcal{F} \vee \neg \mathcal{F})$	;
L05:	$\mathrm{Block} \gg$	Begin	;
L06:	Arbitrary $\gg$	${\cal F}$	;
L07:	$\text{Premise} \gg$	${\cal F}$	;

L09: Block $\gg$ End L10: pcdeduction $\triangleright$ L09 $\gg$ $\mathcal{F} \vdash \mathcal{F} \lor \neg \mathcal{F}$ L11: Block $\gg$ Begin	; ; ; ;
•	; ; ;
I.11: Block Segin	; ; ;
E11. Diock // Degin	;
L12: Arbitrary $\gg$ $\mathcal{F}$	;
L13: Premise $\gg$ $\mathcal{F}$	
L14: repeat $\triangleright$ L04 $\gg$ $\neg(\mathcal{F} \vee \neg \mathcal{F})$	,
L15: Block $\gg$ End	;
L16: pcdeduction $\triangleright$ L15 $\gg$ $\mathcal{F} \vdash \neg(\mathcal{F} \lor \neg\mathcal{F})$	;
L17: notintro $\triangleright$ L10 $\triangleright$ L16 $\gg$ $\neg \mathcal{F}$	;
L18: orintro $2 \triangleright L17 \gg \mathcal{F} \vee \neg \mathcal{F}$	;
L19: Block $\gg$ End	;
L20: pcdeduction $\triangleright$ L19 $\gg$ $\neg(\mathcal{F} \lor \neg \mathcal{F}) \vdash \mathcal{F} \lor \neg \mathcal{F}$	;
L21: Block $\gg$ Begin	;
L22: Arbitrary $\gg$ $\mathcal{F}$	;
L23: Premise $\gg$ $\neg(\mathcal{F} \vee \neg \mathcal{F})$	;
L24: repeat $\triangleright$ L23 $\gg$ $\neg(\mathcal{F} \vee \neg \mathcal{F})$	;
L25: Block $\gg$ End	;
L26: pcdeduction $\triangleright$ L25 $\gg$ $\neg(\mathcal{F} \lor \neg \mathcal{F}) \vdash \neg(\mathcal{F} \lor \neg \mathcal{F})$	;
L27: notintro $\triangleright$ L20 $\triangleright$ L26 $\gg$ $\neg\neg(\mathcal{F} \vee \neg\mathcal{F})$	;
L28: notnotelim $\triangleright$ L27 $\gg$ $\mathcal{F} \vee \neg \mathcal{F}$	

### 5.3 A word on $\perp$

The proof rules of natural deduction in [LiCS] uses bottom. Bottom represents the concept of unsoundness, that is it should be impossible to prove bottom in a sound logic. The way to prove bottom would be to prove any absurdity that is for any proposition A to prove both A and not A. In [LiCS] this is captured in the proof rule  $\frac{\neg A - A}{\bot}$ . In [LiCS] bottom is used in two ways. First if you under the assumption of a proposition A can prove bottom then you can conclude that A is false, that is not A is true. In [?] this is captured by the proof rule

 $\frac{\perp}{\neg A}$  (notelim). This makes sense if we assume that the logical system is sound, because this means that it is free of absurdities, so if A was true it would be impossible to prove an absurdity thus A must be false. Second the assumption of bottom can be used to conclude anything. In [LiCS] this is captured in the proof rule  $\frac{\perp}{A}$  (botelim).

Since the predicate logic from Mathworld, which we have used as a basis for [**Theory** pred calc], doesn't use or define the notion of bottom, we cannot adopt the rules of natural deduction directly. We have chosen to solve this problem by replacing the problematic proof rules above with a new proof rule called *notintro*. This way we can avoid the use of bottom alltogether while we preserve the rest of the system.

To justify our actions we hand proof the following metatheorem:

**Theorem 5.18** Let Nat' be the system of proof rules introduced in section 5 and let Nat be the same system without the rule notintro but with rules notelim and botelim added. Let F be fixed and define  $\bot \equiv F \land \neg F^3$ . The the following holds:

- 1. If B can be proved in Nat' then B can be proved in Nat.
- 2. If B can be proved in Nat then  $B[\bot/F \land \neg F]$  can be proved in Nat'.

#### PROOF:

Both claims in this metatheorem is proved by induction on the derivation of the proof on the left hand side of the implication. To save space we only consider the interesting cases. Therefore we skil all of the lemmas the two systems have in common.

#### Proof of 1:

The rule  $\frac{A - \neg A}{\perp}$ .

Given proofs of A and  $\neg$ A we need to prove  $F \land \neg F$ . Using the induction hypothesis on the proofs of A and  $\neg$ A, we get proofs of A' and  $\neg$ A' using our system of lemmas. Now we have proofs of A' and  $\neg$ A', which means that we can also prove A' and  $\neg$ A' using F or  $\neg$ F as assumptions. Now we can construct the proof of  $F \land \neg F$  like this.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\neg F & \neg F & F & F \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\underline{A' & \neg A'} & \underline{A' & \neg A'} \\
\hline
& F \land \neg F
\end{array}$$

The rule  $\frac{\perp}{A}$ .

Given proof of  $F \land \neg F$  we need to prove A. Using the induction hypothesis on the proof of  $F \land \neg F$ , which means that we can also construct proofs of F and  $\neg F$  using the andelim1 and andelim2 rules. Finally we can prove F and  $\neg F$  under the assumption of  $\neg A$ . Now we can construct the proof of A like this.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A' & A' \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \hline F \land \neg F & F \land \neg F \\ \hline \hline \neg \neg A' \\ \hline A' \end{array}$$

The rule  $\frac{A \vdash \bot}{\neg A}$ . Using the induction hypothesis on the proof of  $A \vdash \bot$  we obtain a proof of  $A' \vdash F \land \neg F$ . Using the andelim1 and andelim2 lemmas, we get proofs

 $<sup>^3</sup>F$  must be fixed for all occurrences of bottom e.g.  $\bot \land \bot$  must be translated to  $(F \land \neg F) \lor (F \land \neg F)$  and can't be translated to  $(F \land \neg F) \lor (G \land \neg G)$ .

of  $A' \vdash F$  and  $A' \vdash \neg F$ . Now we can construct the proof of  $\neg A'$  like this.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A' & A' \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{F \wedge \neg F}{F} & \frac{F \wedge \neg F}{\neg F} \\ \hline & \neg A' \end{array}$$

That concludes all the interesting rules. The other rules follow by using the induction hypothesis on the given proofs, and using the same rule to conclude the desired proposition.

#### Proof of 2:

There is only one interesting rule, and that is notintro. Given the proofs of  $A \vdash B$  and  $A \vdash \neg B$  we wish to prove  $\neg A$ . We construct the proof like this.

That concludes all the interesting rules. The other rules follow by using the induction hypothesis on the given proofs, and using the same rule to conclude the desired proposition.

## A practical lemma

We saw that  $\perp$  could be replaced with  $A \wedge \neg A$  above and that the proof rule botelim allows us to conclude anything once we have  $\perp$ . This gives rise to the following very usefull lemma.

Lemma 5.19 [pred calc lemma bottomelim:  $\Pi \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \colon \mathcal{F} \land \neg \mathcal{F} \vdash \mathcal{G}$ ]

pred calc proof of bottomelim:

pre	d calc <b>proof of</b> bottomelim:		
L01:	Arbitrary $\gg$	$\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G}$	;
L02:	Premise $\gg$	$\mathcal{F} \wedge  eg \mathcal{F}$	;
L03:	$\mathrm{Block} \gg$	Begin	;
L04:	Arbitrary $\gg$	$\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G}$	;
L05:	Premise $\gg$	$ eg \mathcal{G}$	;
L06:	andelim $1 > L02 \gg$	${\mathcal F}$	;
L07:	$\mathrm{Block} \gg$	End	;
L08:	pcdeduction $\triangleright$ L07 $\gg$	$ eg \mathcal{G} \vdash \mathcal{F}$	;
L09:	$\mathrm{Block} \gg$	Begin	;
L10:	Arbitrary $\gg$	$\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G}$	;
L11:	$\text{Premise} \gg$	$ eg \mathcal{G}$	;

L12:	andelim $2 \rhd L02 \gg$	$\neg \mathcal{F}$	;
L13:	$\mathrm{Block} \gg$	End	;
L14:	pcdeduction $\triangleright$ L13 $\gg$	$\neg \mathcal{G} \vdash \neg \mathcal{F}$	;
L15:	notintro $\triangleright$ L08 $\triangleright$ L14 $\gg$	$\neg\neg\mathcal{G}$	;
L16:	not notelim $\triangleright$ L15 $\gg$	${\cal G}$	

Lemma 5.20 [pred calc lemma lemnotintro:  $\Pi \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} : (\mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \mathcal{G} \land \neg \mathcal{G}) \vdash \neg \mathcal{F}$ ]

pred calc **proof of** lemnotintro:

```
L01:
                                                                           \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}
              Arbitrary \gg
                                                                           \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \mathcal{G} \wedge \neg \mathcal{G}
L02:
              Premise \gg
L03:
             Block \gg
                                                                           Begin
                                                                           \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}
L04:
             Arbitrary ≫
                                                                           \mathcal{F}
L05:
             Premise ≫
L06:
             pcmp \triangleright L05 \triangleright L02 \gg
                                                                           \mathcal{G} \wedge \neg \mathcal{G}
L07:
              andelim1 \triangleright L06 \gg
                                                                           \mathcal{G}
L08:
             Block \gg
                                                                           End
             pcdeduction \triangleright L08 \gg
                                                                           \mathcal{F} \vdash \mathcal{G}
L09:
L10:
            Block \gg
                                                                           Begin
L11:
             Arbitrary ≫
                                                                           \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}
L12:
                                                                           \mathcal{F}
             Premise \gg
L13:
            pcmp > L12 > L02 >
                                                                           \mathcal{G} \wedge \neg \mathcal{G}
L14:
             andelim2 > L13 \gg
                                                                           \neg \mathcal{G}
L15:
             Block \gg
                                                                           End
L16:
             pcdeduction \triangleright L15 \gg
                                                                           \mathcal{F} \vdash \neg \mathcal{G}
L17:
             notintro \triangleright L09 \triangleright L16 \gg
                                                                           \neg \mathcal{F}
```

TO HERE OK.

Finally we note that in first order predicate calculus metavariables used in functions F and predicates P are *object metavariables*.

## 5.4 Deduction lemma

Lemma 5.21 [pred calc rule pcded:  $\Pi \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} : (\mathcal{F} \vdash \mathcal{G}) \vdash \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ ]

Lemma 5.22 [pred calc lemma iatest:  $\Pi \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{Y} \colon \mathcal{Y} \# \mathcal{G} \Vdash \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow \forall \mathcal{Y}. (\mathcal{Y} \Rightarrow \mathcal{G})$ ]

pred calc **proof of** iatest:

L01:	Arbitrary $\gg$	$\mathcal{G},\mathcal{Y}$	;
L02:	Side-condition $\gg$	$\mathcal{Y}\#\mathcal{G}$	;
L03:	$pc1 \gg$	$\mathcal{G}\Rightarrow\mathcal{Y}\Rightarrow\mathcal{G}$	;
L04:	pcia $\triangleright$ L02 $\triangleright$ L03 $\gg$	$\mathcal{G}\Rightarroworall\mathcal{Y}.\left(\mathcal{Y}\Rightarrow\mathcal{G} ight)$	

# 6 A nontrivial sequent

Lemma 6.1 [pred calc lemma nontriv $\theta$ :  $\Pi \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}$ :  $(\mathcal{P} \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \vdash \mathcal{Q} \Rightarrow \mathcal{P} \vdash \mathcal{P} \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \vdash \mathcal{P}$ ]

pred c	alc <b>proof of</b> nontriv0:		
L01:	Arbitrary ≫	$\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q}$	;
L02:	$\text{Premise} \gg$	$(\mathcal{P}\Rightarrow\mathcal{Q})\Rightarrow\mathcal{Q}$	;
L03:	Premise ≫	$\mathcal{Q}\Rightarrow\mathcal{P}$	;
L04:	Premise >>	$\mathcal{P}\Rightarrow\mathcal{Q}$	;
L05:	pcmp $\triangleright$ L04 $\triangleright$ L02 $\gg$	Q	;
L06:	$pcmp \rhd L05 \rhd L03 \gg$	$\mathcal{P}$	
	na 6.2 [pred calc lemma nontrivi	$f: \Pi \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}: \mathcal{P} \vdash \neg \mathcal{P} \vdash \mathcal{Q}]$	
	d calc <b>proof of</b> nontriv1:		
L01:	Arbitrary ≫	$\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q}$	;
L02:	Premise ≫	$\mathcal{P}$	;
L03:	Premise >>	$\neg \mathcal{P}$	;
L04:	andintro $\triangleright$ L02 $\triangleright$ L03 $\gg$	$\mathcal{P} \wedge \neg \mathcal{P}$	; □
L05:	bottomelim $\triangleright$ L04 $\gg$	Q	
	na 6.3 [ $pred\ calc\ lemma\ nontriv^2$ $p(\mathcal{P}\Rightarrow\mathcal{Q})]$	$\mathcal{Q}: \Pi \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}: \neg (\mathcal{P} \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q}) \vdash \neg \mathcal{P} \vdash (\mathcal{Q})$	$\mathcal{P} \Rightarrow$
pre	d calc <b>proof of</b> nontriv2:		
L01:	Arbitrary ≫	$\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q}$	;
L02:	Premise >>	$\neg(\mathcal{P}\Rightarrow\mathcal{Q})$	;
L03:	Premise $\gg$	$\neg \mathcal{P}$	;
L04:	$\mathrm{Block} \gg$	Begin	;
L05:	Arbitrary $\gg$	$\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q}$	;
L06:	Premise ≫	$\mathcal{P}$	;
L07:	nontriv1 $\triangleright$ L06 $\triangleright$ L03 $\gg$	$\mathcal{Q}$	;
L08:	Block ≫	End	;
L09:	pcdeduction ⊳ L08 ≫	$\mathcal{P} \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$	;
L10:	andintro $\triangleright$ L09 $\triangleright$ L02 $\gg$	$(\mathcal{P} \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q}) \land \neg (\mathcal{P} \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q})$	
Lemn	na 6.4 [pred calc lemma nontrive	$\beta \colon \Pi \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q} \colon \neg (\mathcal{P} \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q}) \vdash \mathcal{P}]$	
pre	d calc <b>proof of</b> nontriv3:		
L01:	Arbitrary $\gg$	$\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q}$	;
L02:	Premise >>	$ eg(\mathcal{P}\Rightarrow\mathcal{Q})$	;
L03:	Block ≫	Begin	;
L04:	Arbitrary ≫	$\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q}$	;
L05:	Premise >>	$\neg \mathcal{P}$	;
L06:	nontriv2 $\triangleright$ L02 $\triangleright$ L05 $\gg$	$(\mathcal{P} \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q}) \land \neg (\mathcal{P} \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q})$	;
L07:	Block >>	End $(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{P}} \setminus (\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{P}} \setminus \mathcal{O})) \wedge (\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{P}} \setminus \mathcal{O})$	;
L08:	pcdeduction $\triangleright$ L07 $\gg$	$\neg \mathcal{P} \Rightarrow (\mathcal{P} \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q}) \land \neg (\mathcal{P} \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q})$	;
L09:	lemnotintro $\triangleright$ L08 $\gg$ notnotelim $\triangleright$ L09 $\gg$	eg alpha $ eg$	; □
L10:	nothotenin > 103 >>	r	
Lemma 6.5 [pred calc lemma nontriv4: $\Pi \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}: (\mathcal{P} \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \vdash \mathcal{Q} \Rightarrow \mathcal{P} \vdash \mathcal{P}$ ]			

## pred calc **proof of** nontriv4:

pred care <b>proof of</b> nontriv4:			
L01	: Arbitrary $\gg$	$\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q}$	;
L02	: Premise ≫	$(\mathcal{P}\Rightarrow\mathcal{Q})\Rightarrow\mathcal{Q}$	;
L03	: Premise ≫	$\mathcal{Q}\Rightarrow\mathcal{P}$	;
L04	: Block ≫	Begin	;
L05	: Arbitrary $\gg$	$\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q}$	;
L06	: $Premise \gg$	$\mathcal{P}\Rightarrow\mathcal{Q}$	;
L07	: nontriv0 $\triangleright$ L02 $\triangleright$ L03 $\triangleright$ L06 $\gg$	${\cal P}$	;
L08	: Block ≫	End	;
L09	: $pcdeduction > L08 \gg$	$\mathcal{Q} \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \vdash \mathcal{P}$	;
L10	: Block ≫	Begin	;
L11	: Arbitrary $\gg$	$\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q}$	;
L12	: $Premise \gg$	$ eg(\mathcal{P}\Rightarrow\mathcal{Q})$	;
L13	: nontriv3 $\triangleright$ L12 $\gg$	${\cal P}$	;
L14	: Block ≫	End	;
L15	: $pcdeduction > L14 \gg$	$\neg(\mathcal{P}\Rightarrow\mathcal{Q})\vdash\mathcal{P}$	;

# A Pyk definitions

orelim  $\triangleright$  L09  $\triangleright$  L15  $\gg$ 

$([L_o \stackrel{pyk}{\rightarrow} "ell aa"]$
$[L_o \stackrel{pyk}{\rightarrow} \text{``ell ab''}]$
$[L_o \overset{pyk}{\rightarrow} "ell \ ac"]$
$[L_o \overset{pyk}{\rightarrow} \text{``ell ad''}]$
$[L_o \stackrel{pyk}{\rightarrow} "ell ae"]$
$[L_o \stackrel{pyk}{\rightarrow} "ell af"]$
$[L_o \overset{pyk}{\rightarrow} "ell \ ag"]$
$[L_o \overset{pyk}{\rightarrow} \text{``ell ah''}]$
$[L_o \stackrel{pyk}{\rightarrow} "ell \ ai"]$
$[L_o \stackrel{pyk}{\rightarrow} "ell \ aj"]$
$[L_o \overset{pyk}{\rightarrow} "ell \ ak"]$
$[L_o \overset{pyk}{\rightarrow} \text{``ell al''}]$
$[L_o \stackrel{pyk}{\rightarrow} "ell am"]$
$[L_o \overset{pyk}{\rightarrow} \text{``ell an''}]$
$[L_o \stackrel{pyk}{\rightarrow} \text{"ell ao"}]$
$[L_o \stackrel{pyk}{\rightarrow} \text{"ell ap"}]$
$[L_o \overset{pyk}{\rightarrow} "ell \ aq"]$
$[L_o \overset{pyk}{\rightarrow} "ell \ ar"]$
$[L_o \overset{pyk}{\rightarrow} \text{``ell as''}]$

L16:

```
[\operatorname{pred\ calc} \stackrel{\operatorname{pyk}}{\to} \operatorname{"pred\ calc"}]
[pc1 \stackrel{pyk}{\rightarrow} "pc1"]
[pc2 \stackrel{pyk}{\rightarrow} "pc2"]
[pc3 \stackrel{pyk}{\rightarrow} "pc3"]
[pc4 \stackrel{pyk}{\rightarrow} "pc4"]
[\text{pc5} \stackrel{\text{pyk}}{\rightarrow} \text{"pc5"}]
[pc6 \stackrel{pyk}{\rightarrow} "pc6"]
[\mathrm{pc7} \overset{\mathrm{pyk}}{\rightarrow} \mathrm{"pc7"}]
[pc8 \stackrel{pyk}{\rightarrow} "pc8"]
[pc9 \stackrel{pyk}{\rightarrow} "pc9"]
[\text{pc10} \stackrel{\text{pyk}}{\rightarrow} \text{"pc10"}]
[pc11 \stackrel{pyk}{\rightarrow} "pc11"]
[pc12 \stackrel{pyk}{\rightarrow} "pc12"]
[\text{pcmp} \stackrel{\text{pyk}}{\rightarrow} \text{"pcmp"}]
[pcunsound \xrightarrow{pyk} "pcunsound"]
[\operatorname{pcded} \stackrel{\operatorname{pyk}}{\to} \operatorname{"pcded"}]
[pcia <sup>pyk</sup> "pcia"]
[\text{pcie} \stackrel{\text{pyk}}{\rightarrow} \text{"pcie"}]
[pcdeduction \xrightarrow{pyk} "pcdeduction"]
[\text{trivia} \stackrel{\text{pyk}}{\rightarrow} \text{"trivia"}]
[\text{trivia2} \stackrel{\text{pyk}}{\rightarrow} \text{"trivia2"}]
[\text{iatest} \overset{\text{pyk}}{\rightarrow} \text{"iatest"}]
[\text{andintro} \stackrel{\text{pyk}}{\rightarrow} \text{"andintro"}]
[andelim1 \stackrel{\text{pyk}}{\rightarrow} "andelim1"]
[\text{andelim2} \stackrel{\text{pyk}}{\rightarrow} \text{``andelim2''}]
[orintro1 \overset{pyk}{\rightarrow} "orintro1"]
[\operatorname{orintro2} \stackrel{\operatorname{pyk}}{\rightarrow} \operatorname{"orintro2"}]
[\text{orelim} \stackrel{\text{pyk}}{\rightarrow} \text{"orelim"}]
[\text{notintro} \stackrel{\text{pyk}}{\rightarrow} \text{"notintro"}]
[notnotintro \overset{pyk}{\rightarrow} "notnotintro"]
[notnotelim \stackrel{pyk}{\rightarrow} "notnotelim"]
[\mathrm{mt} \stackrel{\mathrm{pyk}}{\rightarrow} \mathrm{"mt"}]
[\operatorname{pbc} \stackrel{\operatorname{pyk}}{\to} \operatorname{"pbc"}]
[\text{repeat} \stackrel{\text{pyk}}{\rightarrow} \text{"repeat"}]
[\operatorname{lem} \stackrel{\operatorname{pyk}}{\to} \operatorname{"lem"}]
```

```
[forallintro \xrightarrow{pyk} "forallintro"]
[forallelim \xrightarrow{pyk} "forallelim"]
[existsintro \overset{pyk}{\rightarrow} "existsintro"]
[\text{existselim} \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"existselim"}]
[bottomelim \xrightarrow{pyk} "bottomelim"]
[\operatorname{lemnotintro} \stackrel{\operatorname{pyk}}{\rightarrow} \operatorname{"lemnotintro"}]
[\text{nontriv0} \stackrel{\text{pyk}}{\rightarrow} \text{"nontriv0"}]
[\text{nontriv1} \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} "\text{nontriv1}"]
[\text{nontriv2} \stackrel{\text{pyk}}{\rightarrow} \text{"nontriv2"}]
[\text{nontriv3} \stackrel{\text{pyk}}{\rightarrow} \text{"nontriv3"}]
[\text{nontriv4} \stackrel{\text{pyk}}{\rightarrow} \text{"nontriv4"}]
[\text{nontriv5} \stackrel{\text{pyk}}{\rightarrow} \text{"nontriv5"}]
[\text{nontriv6} \stackrel{\text{pyk}}{\rightarrow} \text{"nontriv6"}]
[* \equiv * \stackrel{\text{pyk}}{\rightarrow} "" \text{ setequiv }""]
[* = * \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} "" \text{ setequals ""}]
[\neg * \overset{pyk}{\rightarrow} "lnot ""]
[* \land * \stackrel{\text{pyk}}{\rightarrow} "" \text{ land } ""]
[* \lor * \stackrel{\text{pyk}}{\rightarrow} "" \text{ lor } ""]
[\forall *. (*) \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"forall " dot " end forall"}]
[\exists *.(*) \xrightarrow{\text{pyk}} \text{"exists " dot " end exists"}]
[* \in * \stackrel{\text{pyk}}{\rightarrow} "" \text{ setin } ""]
[problem two \xrightarrow{pyk} "problem two"]
```

# B Tex definitions

- $[\neg x \stackrel{\text{tex}}{=} " \setminus \text{neg } #1."]$
- $[x \land y \stackrel{\text{tex}}{=} \text{"#1. } \text{\wedge } \text{#2."}]$
- $[x \lor y \stackrel{\text{tex}}{=} \text{"#1. } \forall ee \text{ #2."}]$
- $[x \Rightarrow y \stackrel{\text{tex}}{=} \text{"#1. } \text{Rightarrow } \text{\#2."}]$
- [ $\exists y. (b) \stackrel{\text{tex}}{=}$  "\exists #1. . \left(#2.\right)"]
- $[y \in b \stackrel{\text{tex}}{=} \text{"#1. } \text{\lin #2."}]$

- $[y = b \stackrel{\text{tex}}{=} "#1. = #2."]$

# C Extra proof line numbers

```
[L_o \stackrel{\text{tex}}{=} "
\if \relax \csname \lgwprooflinep\endcsname L_o \else
\if \relax \csname \lgwello\endcsname
\global \advance \lgwproofline by 1
\xdef \lgwello {L\ifnum \lgwproofline <10 0\fi \number \lgwproofline }
\fi \lgwello \fi "]
   [L_0 \stackrel{\text{tex}}{=} "
\if \relax \csname \lgwprooflinep\endcsname L_o \else
\if \relax \csname lgwello\endcsname
\global \advance \lgwproofline by 1
\xdef \lgwello {L\ifnum \lgwproofline <10 0\fi \number \lgwproofline }
\fi \lgwello \fi "]
   [L_o \stackrel{\text{tex}}{=} "
\if \relax \csname lgwprooflinep\endcsname L_o \else
\if \relax \csname lgwello\endcsname
\global \advance \lgwproofline by 1
\fi \lgwello \fi "]
   [L_0 \stackrel{\text{tex}}{=} "
\if \relax \csname \lgwprooflinep\endcsname L_o \else
\if \relax \csname \lgwello\endcsname
\global \advance \lgwproofline by 1
\fi \lgwello \fi "]
   [L_0 \stackrel{\text{tex}}{=} "
\if \relax \csname \lgwprooflinep\endcsname L_o \else
\if \relax \csname \lgwello\endcsname
\global \advance \lgwproofline by 1
\xdef \lgwello {L\ifnum \lgwproofline <10 0\fi \number \lgwproofline }
\fi \lgwello \fi "]
   [L_0 \stackrel{\text{tex}}{=} "
\if \relax \csname \lgwprooflinep\endcsname L_o \else
\if \relax \csname \lgwello\endcsname
\global \advance \lgwproofline by 1
\xdef \lgwello {L\ifnum \lgwproofline <10 0\fi \number \lgwproofline }
\fi \lgwello \fi "]
   L_0 \stackrel{\text{tex}}{=} "
\if \relax \csname \lgwprooflinep\endcsname L_o \else
```

```
\if \relax \csname lgwello\endcsname
\global \advance \lgwproofline by 1
\fi \lgwello \fi "]
   [L_0 \stackrel{\text{tex}}{=} "
\if \relax \csname \lgwprooflinep\endcsname L_o \else
\if \relax \csname lgwello\endcsname
\global \advance \lgwproofline by 1
\xdef \lgwello {L\ifnum \lgwproofline <10 0\fi \number \lgwproofline }
\fi \lgwello \fi "]
   [L_0 \stackrel{\text{tex}}{=} "
\if \relax \csname \lgwprooflinep\endcsname L_o \else
\if \relax \csname \lgwello\endcsname
\global \advance \lgwproofline by 1
\xdef \lgwello {L\ifnum \lgwproofline <10 0\fi \number \lgwproofline }
\fi \lgwello \fi "]
   [L_0 \stackrel{\text{tex}}{=} "
\if \relax \csname lgwprooflinep\endcsname L_o \else
\if \relax \csname lgwello\endcsname
\global \advance \lgwproofline by 1
\xdef \lgwello {L\ifnum \lgwproofline <10 0\fi \number \lgwproofline }
\fi \lgwello \fi "]
   [L_0 \stackrel{\text{tex}}{=} "
\if \relax \csname lgwprooflinep\endcsname L_o \else
\if \relax \csname lgwello\endcsname
\global \advance \lgwproofline by 1
\fi \lgwello \fi "]
   [L_0 \stackrel{\text{tex}}{=} "
\if \relax \csname lgwprooflinep\endcsname L_o \else
\if \relax \csname lgwello\endcsname
\global \advance \lgwproofline by 1
\fi \lgwello \fi "]
   [L_0 \stackrel{\text{tex}}{=} "
\if \relax \csname lgwprooflinep\endcsname L_o \else
\if \relax \csname lgwello\endcsname
\global \advance \lgwproofline by 1
\xdef \lgwello {L\ifnum \lgwproofline <10 0\fi \number \lgwproofline }
\fi \lgwello \fi "]
   [L_o \stackrel{\text{tex}}{=} "
\if \relax \csname lgwprooflinep\endcsname L_o \else
\if \relax \csname lgwello\endcsname
```

\global \advance \lgwproofline by 1

```
\xdef \lgwello {L\ifnum \lgwproofline <10 0\fi \number \lgwproofline }
\fi \lgwello \fi "]
   [L_0 \stackrel{\text{tex}}{=} "
\if \relax \csname lgwprooflinep\endcsname L_o \else
\if \relax \csname \lgwello\endcsname
\global \advance \lgwproofline by 1
\xdef \lgwello {L\ifnum \lgwproofline <10 0\fi \number \lgwproofline }
\fi \lgwello \fi "]
   [L_0 \stackrel{\text{tex}}{=} "
\if \relax \csname lgwprooflinep\endcsname L_o \else
\if \relax \csname \lgwello\endcsname
\global \advance \lgwproofline by 1
\xdef \lgwello {L\ifnum \lgwproofline <10 0\fi \number \lgwproofline }
\fi \lgwello \fi "]
   [L_0 \stackrel{\text{tex}}{=} "
\if \relax \csname lgwprooflinep\endcsname L_o \else
\if \relax \csname \lgwello\endcsname
\global \advance \lgwproofline by 1
\dot \left( L \right) = 100 
\fi \lgwello \fi "]
   [L_0 \stackrel{\text{tex}}{=} "
\if \relax \csname lgwprooflinep\endcsname L_o \else
\if \relax \csname \lgwello\endcsname
\global \advance \lgwproofline by 1
\fi \lgwello \fi "]
   [L_0 \stackrel{\text{tex}}{=} "
\if \relax \csname lgwprooflinep\endcsname L_o \else
\if \relax \csname \lgwello\endcsname
\global \advance \lgwproofline by 1
\xdef \lgwello {L\ifnum \lgwproofline <10 0\fi \number \lgwproofline }
```

# D Priority table

# Priority table

\fi \lgwello \fi "]

#### Preassociative

```
[problemtwo], [base], [bracket * end bracket], [big bracket * end bracket], [$ * $ ], [flush left [*]], [x], [y], [z], [[* \bowtie *]], [[* \stackrel{*}{\rightarrow} *]], [pyk], [tex], [name], [prio], [*], [T], [if(*,*,*)], [[* \stackrel{*}{\rightarrow} *]], [val], [claim], [\perp], [f(*)], [(*)^1], [F], [0], [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [a], [b], [c], [d], [e], [f], [g], [h], [i], [j], [k], [l], [m], [n], [o], [p], [q], [r], [s], [t], [u], [v], [w], [(*)^M], [If(*,*,*)], [array{*} * end array], [l], [c], [r], [empty], [(* | * := *)], [\mathcal{M}(*)], [\tilde{\mathcal{U}}(*)], [\mathcal{U}(*)], [\mathcal{U}(*)], [\mathcal{U}(*)], [\mathcal{U}(*)], [apply(*,*)], [apply(*,*)], [identifier(*)], [identifier_1(*,*)], [array-
```

```
plus(*,*), [array-remove(*,*,*)], [array-put(*,*,*,*)], [array-add(*,*,*,*,*)],
 [bit(*,*)], [bit_1(*,*)], [rack], ["vector"], ["bibliography"], ["dictionary"],
  ["body"], ["codex"], ["expansion"], ["code"], ["cache"], ["diagnose"], ["pyk"],
  ["tex"], ["texname"], ["value"], ["message"], ["macro"], ["definition"],
  ["unpack"], ["claim"], ["priority"], ["lambda"], ["apply"], ["true"], ["if"],
  ["quote"], ["proclaim"], ["define"], ["introduce"], ["hide"], ["pre"], ["post"],
  [\mathcal{E}(*,*,*)], [\mathcal{E}_2(*,*,*,*,*)], [\mathcal{E}_3(*,*,*,*)], [\mathcal{E}_4(*,*,*,*)], [\mathbf{lookup}(*,*,*)],
  [\mathbf{abstract}(*,*,*,*)],[[*]],[\mathcal{M}(*,*,*)],[\mathcal{M}_2(*,*,*,*)],[\mathcal{M}^*(*,*,*)],[\mathbf{macro}],
 [s_0], [\mathbf{zip}(*,*)], [\mathbf{assoc}_1(*,*,*)], [(*)^{\mathbf{p}}], [\mathbf{self}], [[* \stackrel{..}{=} *]], [[* \stackrel{..}{=} *]], [[* \stackrel{..}{=} *]],
  \begin{array}{l} [[*\stackrel{\mathrm{pyk}}{=}*]], [[*\stackrel{\mathrm{tex}}{=}*]], [[*\stackrel{\mathrm{name}}{=}*]], [\mathbf{Priority\ table}[*]], [\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_1], [\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_2(*)], [\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_3(*)], \\ [\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4(*,*,*,*)], [\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(*,*,*)], [\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}(*,*,*)], [\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_2(*,*,*)], [\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_3(*,*,*,*)], [\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^*(*,*,*)], \end{array} 
 [(*)], [(*)], [display(*)], [statement(*)], [[*]], [[*]], [aspect(*, *)],
 [\mathbf{aspect}(*,*,*)], [\langle * \rangle], [\mathbf{tuple}_1(*)], [\mathbf{tuple}_2(*)], [\mathrm{let}_2(*,*)], [\mathrm{let}_1(*,*)],
\begin{bmatrix} * \stackrel{\text{claim}}{=} * \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \text{checker} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \text{check}(*, *) \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \text{check}_2(*, *, *) \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \text{check}_3(*, *, *) \end{bmatrix}, \end{bmatrix}
 [\mathbf{check}^*(*,*)], [\mathbf{check}_2^*(*,*,*)], [[*]^-], [[*]^-], [[*]^\circ], [\mathrm{msg}], [[* \stackrel{\mathrm{msg}}{=} *]], [<\!\!\mathrm{stmt}>],
 [stmt], [[* \stackrel{stmt}{=} *]], [HeadNil'], [HeadPair'], [Transitivity'], [\bot], [Contra'], [T'_E],
 [L_1], [\underline{*}], [\mathcal{A}], [\mathcal{B}], [\mathcal{C}], [\mathcal{D}], [\mathcal{E}], [\mathcal{F}], [\mathcal{G}], [\mathcal{H}], [\mathcal{I}], [\mathcal{J}], [\mathcal{K}], [\mathcal{L}], [\mathcal{M}], [\mathcal{N}], [\mathcal{O}], [\mathcal{P}], [\mathcal{Q}], [\mathcal{M}], [\mathcal{M}
 [\mathcal{R}], [\mathcal{S}], [\mathcal{T}], [\mathcal{U}], [\mathcal{V}], [\mathcal{W}], [\mathcal{X}], [\mathcal{Y}], [\mathcal{Z}], [\langle * \mid * := * \rangle], [\langle * * \mid * := * \rangle], [\emptyset], [Remainder],
 [(*)^{\mathbf{v}}], [intro(*, *, *, *)], [intro(*, *, *)], [error(*, *)], [error_2(*, *)], [proof(*, *, *)],
 [\text{proof}_2(*,*)], [\mathcal{S}(*,*)], [\mathcal{S}^{\text{I}}(*,*)], [\mathcal{S}^{\text{D}}(*,*)], [\mathcal{S}_1^{\text{D}}(*,*,*)], [\mathcal{S}_1^{\text{E}}(*,*,*)], [\mathcal{S
  [S^{+}(*,*)], [S^{+}_{1}(*,*,*)], [S^{-}(*,*)], [S^{-}(*,*,*)], [S^{*}(*,*,*)], [S^{*}(*,*,*)], [S^{*}_{1}(*,*,*,*)], [S^{*}_{1}(*
 [S_2^*(*,*,*,*,*)], [S_1^{@}(*,*)], [S_1^{[]}(*,*,*)], [S_1^{[]}(*,*,*)], [S_1^{[]}(*,*,*,*)], [S_1^{[]}(*,*,*)], [S_1^{[]}(*
 [S_1^{\forall}(*,*,*,*)], [S^{;}(*,*)], [S_1^{;}(*,*,*)], [S_2^{;}(*,*,*,*)], [T(*)], [claims(*,*,*)],
  [claims_2(*,*,*)], [<proof>], [proof], [[Lemma *: *]], [[Proof of *: *]],
 [[* lemma *: *]], [[* antilemma *: *]], [[* rule *: *]], [[* antirule *: *]],
  [verifier], [\mathcal{V}_1(*)], [\mathcal{V}_2(*,*)], [\mathcal{V}_3(*,*,*,*)], [\mathcal{V}_4(*,*)], [\mathcal{V}_5(*,*,*,*)], [\mathcal{V}_6(*,*,*,*)],
 [\mathcal{V}_7(*,*,*,*)], [\text{Cut}(*,*)], [\text{Head}_{\oplus}(*)], [\text{Tail}_{\oplus}(*)], [\text{rule}_1(*,*)], [\text{rule}(*,*)],
  [Rule tactic], [Plus(*, *)], [[Theory *]], [theory<sub>2</sub>(*, *)], [theory<sub>3</sub>(*, *)],
  [theory<sub>4</sub>(*, *, *)], [HeadNil"], [HeadPair"], [Transitivity"], [Contra"], [HeadNil],
  [HeadPair], [Transitivity], [Contra], [T<sub>E</sub>], [ragged right],
  [ragged right expansion], [parm(*, *, *)], [parm^*(*, *, *)], [inst(*, *)],
  [inst^*(*,*)], [occur(*,*,*)], [occur^*(*,*,*)], [unify(*=*,*)], [unify^*(*=*,*)],
  [unify_2(*=*,*)], [L_a], [L_b], [L_c], [L_d], [L_e], [L_f], [L_g], [L_h], [L_i], [L_i], [L_i], [L_l], [L_m],
  [L_n], [L_o], [L_p], [L_q], [L_r], [L_s], [L_t], [L_u], [L_v], [L_w], [L_x], [L_y], [L_z], [L_A], [L_B], [L_C],
  [L_D], [L_E], [L_F], [L_G], [L_H], [L_I], [L_J], [L_K], [L_L], [L_M], [L_N], [L_O], [L_P], [L_Q], [L_R],
 [L_S], [L_T], [L_U], [L_V], [L_W], [L_X], [L_Y], [L_Z], [L_?], [Reflexivity], [Reflexivity_1], \\
 [Commutativity], [Commutativity_1], [<tactic>], [tactic], [[* \stackrel{\text{tactic}}{=} *]], [\mathcal{P}(*, *, *)],
 [\mathcal{P}^*(*,*,*)], [p_0], [conclude_1(*,*)], [conclude_2(*,*,*)], [conclude_3(*,*,*,*)],
 [conclude_4(*,*)], [L_o], [L
 [L_o], [L_o], [L_o], [L_o], [L_o], [hootVisible(*)], 
 [s], [t], [u], [v], [w], [x], [y], [z], [(*\equiv * | * :=*)], [(*\equiv^0 * | * :=*)], [(*\equiv^1 * | * :=*)],
[\langle * \equiv^* * | * := * \rangle], [Ded(*, *)], [Ded_0(*, *)], [Ded_1(*, *, *)], [Ded_2(*, *, *)],
```

```
[\mathrm{Ded}_3(*,*,*,*,*)], [\mathrm{Ded}_4(*,*,*,*,*)], [\mathrm{Ded}_4^*(*,*,*,*)], [\mathrm{Ded}_5(*,*,*)], [\mathrm{Ded}_6(*,*,*,*)], [\mathrm{Ded}_6(*,*,*,*)], [\mathrm{Ded}_6(*,*,*,*)], [\mathrm{Ded}_6(*,*,*,*)], [\mathrm{Ded}_6(*,*,*,*)], [\mathrm{Ded}_6(*,*,*,*)], [\mathrm{Ded}_8(*,*,*)], [\mathrm{Ded}_8(*,*)], [\mathrm{SI}], [\mathrm{Neg}], [\mathrm{MP}], [\mathrm{Gen}], [\mathrm{Ded}], [\mathrm{SI}], [\mathrm{Prop 3.2d}], [\mathrm{Prop 3.2d}], [\mathrm{Prop 3.2e}], [\mathrm{
```

#### Preassociative

```
 \begin{split} &[*-\{*\}], [*/indexintro(*,*,*,*)], [*/intro(*,*,*)], [*/bothintro(*,*,*,*,*)], \\ &[*/nameintro(*,*,*,*)], [*'], [*[*]], [*[*\rightarrow*]], [*[*]], [*0], [*1], [0b], [*-color(*)], \\ &[*-color^*(*)], [*^H], [*^T], [*^U], [*^h], [*^t], [*^s], [*^c], [*^d], [*^a], [*^C], [*^M], [*^B], [*^I], [*^I], \\ &[*^d], [*^R], [*^0], [*^1], [*^2], [*^3], [*^4], [*^5], [*^6], [*^7], [*^8], [*^9], [*^E], [*^V], [*^C], [*^{C^*}], \\ &[*^{hide}]; \end{split}
```

#### Preassociative

```
 ["*"], [], (*)^{t}], [string(*) + *], [string(*) + + *], [ *], [*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [!*], [
```

#### Preassociative

#### Preassociative

[\*<sup>'</sup>];

#### Preassociative

[\* '\*],[\* '\*];

### Preassociative

 $[* \cdot *], [* \cdot_0 *];$ 

#### Preassociative

$$[*+*], [*+_0*], [*+_1*], [*-*], [*-_0*], [*-_1*];$$

#### Preassociative

$$[* \cup \{*\}], [* \cup *], [* \setminus \{*\}];$$

#### Postassociative

$$[* : *], [* : *], [* : *], [* +2* *], [* : *], [* +2* *];$$

#### Postassociative

[\*, \*];

#### Preassociative

```
[* \overset{\text{B}}{\approx} *], [* \overset{\text{D}}{\approx} *], [* \overset{\text{C}}{\approx} *], [* \overset{\text{P}}{\approx} *], [* * \approx *], [* = *], [* \overset{\text{+}}{\rightarrow} *], [* \overset{\text{t}}{=} *], [* \overset{\text{t}}{=} *], [* \overset{\text{r}}{=} *], [* \overset{\text{r
[* \in_{t} *], [* \subseteq_{T} *], [* \stackrel{T}{=} *], [* \stackrel{s}{=} *], [* \text{ free in } *], [* \text{ free in}^* *], [* \text{ free for } * \text{ in } *],
[* free for * * in *], [* \in_c *], [* < *], [* < *], [* \le '*], [* = *], [* \ne *], [* var],
[*\#^{0}*], [*\#^{1}*], [*\#^{*}*], [* \equiv *], [* = *];
Preassociative
[\neg *], [\neg *];
Preassociative
[* \land *], [* \ddot{\land} *], [* \tilde{\land} *], [* \land_{c} *], [* \land *];
Preassociative
[* \lor *], [* || *], [* \ddot{\lor} *], [* \lor *];
Preassociative
[\exists *: *], [\forall *: *], [\forall_{obi} *: *], [\forall *. (*)], [\exists *. (*)];
Postassociative
[* \stackrel{.}{\Rightarrow} *], [* \Rightarrow *], [* \Leftrightarrow *];
Postassociative
[*:*], [*spy*], [*!*];
Preassociative
Preassociative
[\lambda * .*], [\Lambda * .*], [\Lambda *], [\text{if } * \text{ then } * \text{ else } *], [\text{let } * = * \text{ in } *], [\text{let } * = * \text{ in } *];
Preassociative
[*#*];
Preassociative
[*^{I}], [*^{\triangleright}], [*^{V}], [*^{+}], [*^{-}], [*^{*}];
Preassociative
[* @ *], [* \triangleright *], [* \triangleright *], [* \triangleright *], [* \triangleright *];
Postassociative
[* \vdash *], [* \vdash *], [* i.e. *];
Preassociative
[\forall *: *], [\Pi *: *];
Postassociative
[* \oplus *];
Postassociative
[*;*];
Preassociative
[* proves *];
Preassociative
[* proof of *: *], [Line *: * \gg *; *], [Last line * \gg * \square],
```

[Line \*: Premise  $\gg$  \*; \*], [Line \*: Side-condition  $\gg$  \*; \*], [Arbitrary  $\gg$  \*; \*],

 $[Local \gg * = *; *], [Begin *; * : End; *], [Last block line * \gg *;],$ 

[Arbitrary  $\gg *; *];$ 

## Postassociative

[\* | \*];

Postassociative

```
[*\ ,*],[*[\ *\ ]*];
Preassociative
[*\&*],[\rightarrow];
Preassociative
[*\backslash *],[*\ linebreak[4]\ *],[*\backslash *];
Preassociative
[*\in *];End table
```

# References

 $\left[\text{LiCS}\right]$  Logic in Computer Science - Modelling and Reasoning about Systems, Second Edition - 2004.

By Michael Huth & Mark Ryan

ISBN: 0-521-54310-X