

Udvidelse af S-reglerne

Maja Hanne Tønnesen, Rune Christoffer Kildetoft Andresen
& Niels Peter Meyn Milthers

28. juni 2006

Indhold

1	Introduktion	2
2	Konklusion	2
3	S-reglerne	2
4	Udsagn 3.2	4
4.1	3.2j	5
4.2	3.2k	5
4.3	3.2l	6
4.4	3.2m	6
4.5	3.2n	7
4.6	3.2o	7
5	Udsagn 3.4	8
5.1	3.4a	8
5.2	3.4b	8
5.3	3.4c	9
5.4	3.4d	9
6	Udsagn 3.5	10
6.1	Definitioner i forbindelse med \wedge og \vee	11
6.1.1	Introduktion af \wedge	11
6.1.2	Elimination af $\wedge 1$	11
6.1.3	Elimination af $\wedge 2$	11
6.1.4	Introduktion af $\vee 1$	12
6.1.5	Introduktion af $\vee 2$	12
6.1.6	Elimination af \vee	12
6.2	Andre hjælpesætninger	12
6.2.1	$\mathcal{A} \neq \mathcal{B}$	12
6.2.2	$\exists x$	12
6.3	3.5a	13

6.4	3.5b	13
6.5	3.5c	13
6.6	3.5d	13
6.7	3.5e	14
6.8	3.5f	15
6.9	3.5g	16
6.10	3.5h	17
7	Udsagn 3.7	18
7.1	Definitioner af $\neg\forall_{\text{obj}}z: \neg\neg\neg z = 0 \Rightarrow \neg z + \bar{x} = \bar{y}$	18
A	Hjælpe lemmaer	18

1 Introduktion

Dette skriftlige projekt er lavet i forbindelse med Logik-kurset 2006¹. Vores mål i denne opgave var at bevise en delmængde af udsagnene² vedrørende læresætningerne³ fra S-systemet, som er beskrevet i Mendelson [Men97] i kapitel 3.1. For lethedens skyld er alle nummereringer de samme som i Mendelson.

Mere præcist går opgaven ud på at bevise 3.2(j-o), bevise 3.4, bevise 3.5, tilføje aksiomet $x < y \Leftrightarrow \exists z : z \neq 0 \wedge z + x = y$, bevise 3.7, tilføje aksiomer, der definerer $\neg\forall_{\text{obj}}z: \neg\bar{y} = \bar{x} \cdot z$, bevise 3.10 og bevise 3.11.

I afsnittene 3 til 7 er beviserne for de påviste lemmaer gennemgået. Alle trivielle hjælpelemmaer er bevist i appendix A.

2 Konklusion

Vi har ikke løst opgaven til fulde, men har dog formået at påvise 3.2j - 3.2o, 3.4, 3.5a -g. På grund af problemer med definitionen af reglen svarende til "existensial rule" side 77 i mendelson, har vi som beskrevet i afsnit 6 ikke været i stand til at kunne bevise lemmaerne fra 3.5h og frem.

Vi har dog som beskrevet i afsnit 6.10 og 6.2.2 gennemgået hvordan beviserne for 3.5h og "existensial rule" ville have set ud, hvis problemet ikke var opstået. Vi har endvidere kort gennemgået de definitioner, som ville være nødvendige for at kunne påvise Lemma 3.7

3 S-reglerne

S-systemet er en første ordens teori, som er udviklet ud fra Peanos postulater og ved hjælp mængdelære. Det skulle være passende at bruge til at bevise

¹Kursus 061004/202 Logik

²Eng.: proposition

³Eng.: theorem

basis-resultaterne for tal-teori. Aksiomerne for S er følgende.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [S \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} x] \quad [MP \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: a \Rightarrow b \vdash a \vdash b] \\
 & \quad \text{b)} [MP \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}] \\
 & [\text{Gen} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall x: \forall a: a \vdash \forall_{\text{obj}x} a] [\text{Ded} \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}] \vdash \lambda x. \text{Ded}_0([a], [b]) \vdash \\
 & \quad a \vdash b] [\text{Ded} \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}] \\
 & \quad [S2 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: a = b \vdash a' = b'] [S2 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \\
 & \quad \text{Rule tactic}] \\
 & [S3 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall a: -0 = a'] [S3 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}] [S4 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: a' = b' \vdash a = b] [S4 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \\
 & \quad \text{Rule tactic}] \\
 & [S5 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall a: a + 0 = a] [S5 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}] [S6 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: a + b' = a + b] [S6 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \\
 & \quad \text{Rule tactic}] \\
 & [S7 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall a: a \cdot 0 = 0] [S7 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}] [S8 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: a \cdot b' = \\
 & \quad a \cdot b + a] [S8 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}] \\
 & [S1 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: \forall c: a = b \vdash a = c \vdash b = c] [S1 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Endvidere er reglen $S9$, som er grundlæggende for matematisk induktion, også en del af S -teorien. Denne regel kan på almindeligt sprog udtrykkes som:

Hvis en egenskab holder for 0 og egenskaben holder for efterfølgeren x' til et naturligt tal x , som egenskaben gælder for, så vil egenskaben gælde for alle naturlige tal.

I pyk er $S9$ defineret som:

$$[S9 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall x: \forall a: \forall b: \forall c: \langle [b] \equiv^0 [a] \mid [x] := [0] \rangle \vdash \langle [c] \equiv^0 [a] \mid [x] := [x'] \rangle \vdash b \vdash a \Rightarrow c \vdash a] [S9 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$$

Reglen for bevis ved modsætninger er den sidste grundlæggende regel, der skal bruges i beviserne. Reglen kan på almindeligt sprog udtrykkes som følgende:

Hvis man ud fra en antagelse A kan påvise at en egenskab B gælder, og at man (når antagelsen stadig gælder) kan vise at den modsatte egenskab $\neg B$ også gælder, så må det modsatte af antagelsen gælde, dvs. $\neg A$.

I pyk er reglen defineret som:

$$[\text{Neg} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: \neg b \Rightarrow \neg a \vdash \neg b \Rightarrow a \vdash b] [\text{Neg} \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]$$

4 Udsagn 3.2

Dette udsagn indeholder de grundlæggende regneregler for tal, som opfører sig som de naturlige tal. Hjælpesætningerne eller lemmaerne er generelt set en direkte konsekvens af aksiomerne.

Alle lemmaerne i dette afsnit kan udledes ud fra de tidligere nævnte og som det er bevist i både check [Gru06] og Mendelson er der for ethvert udtryk \underline{a} , \underline{b} , \underline{c} følgende velformulerede sætninger⁴ i systemet S:

$$[\text{Prop 3.2a} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \underline{a} = \underline{a}]$$

$$[\text{Prop 3.2b} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} = \underline{b} \vdash \underline{b} = \underline{a}]$$

$$[\text{Prop 3.2c} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \underline{a} = \underline{b} \vdash \underline{b} = \underline{c} \vdash \underline{a} = \underline{c}]$$

$$[\text{Prop 3.2d} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \underline{a} = \underline{c} \vdash \underline{b} = \underline{c} \vdash \underline{a} = \underline{b}]$$

$$[\text{Prop 3.2e} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \underline{a} = \underline{b} \vdash \underline{a} + \underline{c} = \underline{b} + \underline{c}]$$

$$[\text{Prop 3.2f} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \underline{a} = 0 + \underline{a}]$$

$$[\text{Prop 3.2g} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a}' + \underline{b} = \underline{a} + \underline{b}']$$

$$[\text{Prop 3.2h} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} + \underline{b} = \underline{b} + \underline{a}]$$

Da 3.2i ikke er bevist i check, (men er i Mendelson), har vi valgt for øvelsens skyld at indskrive beviset:

$$[\text{Prop 3.2i} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \underline{a} = \underline{b} \vdash \underline{c} + \underline{a} = \underline{c} + \underline{b}]$$

$$[\text{Prop 3.2i} \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \lambda c. \lambda x. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \underline{a} = \underline{b} \vdash \text{Prop 3.2e} \triangleright \underline{a} = \underline{b} \gg \underline{a} + \underline{c} = \underline{b} + \underline{c}; \text{Prop 3.2h} \gg \underline{a} + \underline{c} = \underline{c} + \underline{a}; \text{Prop 3.2h} \gg \underline{b} + \underline{c} = \underline{c} + \underline{b}; S1 \triangleright \underline{a} + \underline{c} = \underline{b} + \underline{c} \triangleright \underline{a} + \underline{c} = \underline{c} + \underline{a} \gg \underline{b} + \underline{c} = \underline{c} + \underline{a}; \text{Prop 3.2b} \triangleright \underline{b} + \underline{c} = \underline{c} + \underline{a} \gg \underline{c} + \underline{a} = \underline{b} + \underline{c}; \text{Prop 3.2c} \triangleright \underline{c} + \underline{a} = \underline{b} + \underline{c} \triangleright \underline{b} + \underline{c} = \underline{c} + \underline{b} \gg \underline{c} + \underline{a} = \underline{c} + \underline{b}; \text{Ded} \triangleright \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \underline{a} = \underline{b} \vdash \underline{c} + \underline{a} = \underline{c} + \underline{b} \gg \underline{a} = \underline{b} \vdash \underline{c} + \underline{a} = \underline{c} + \underline{b}], p_0, c)]$$

De følgende lemmaer er opskrevet, men ikke bevist i Mendelson. Disse er blandt dem som vi ønsker at bevise.

$$[\text{Prop 3.2j} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \underline{a} + \underline{b} + \underline{c} = \underline{a} + \underline{b} + \underline{c}]$$

$$[\text{Prop 3.2k} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \underline{a} = \underline{b} \vdash \underline{a} \cdot \underline{c} = \underline{b} \cdot \underline{c}]$$

$$[\text{Prop 3.2l} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: 0 \cdot \underline{a} = 0]$$

$$[\text{Prop 3.2m} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a}' \cdot \underline{b} = \underline{a} \cdot \underline{b} + \underline{b}]$$

⁴Eng.: Wellformed formulas

$\underline{b} \cdot \underline{c} + \underline{a} = \underline{b} \cdot \underline{c} + \underline{b}$; Prop 3.2c $\triangleright \underline{a} \cdot \underline{c} + \underline{a} = \underline{b} \cdot \underline{c} + \underline{a} \triangleright \underline{b} \cdot \underline{c} + \underline{a} = \underline{b} \cdot \underline{c} + \underline{b} \gg \underline{a} \cdot \underline{c} + \underline{a} = \underline{b} \cdot \underline{c} + \underline{b}$; Prop 3.2c $\triangleright \underline{a} \cdot \underline{c}' = \underline{a} \cdot \underline{c} + \underline{a} \triangleright \underline{a} \cdot \underline{c} + \underline{a} = \underline{b} \cdot \underline{c} + \underline{b} \gg \underline{a} \cdot \underline{c}' = \underline{b} \cdot \underline{c} + \underline{b}$; Prop 3.2d $\triangleright \underline{a} \cdot \underline{c}' = \underline{b} \cdot \underline{c} + \underline{b} \triangleright \underline{b} \cdot \underline{c}' = \underline{b} \cdot \underline{c} + \underline{b} \gg \underline{a} \cdot \underline{c}' = \underline{b} \cdot \underline{c}'$; Ded $\triangleright \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \underline{a} = \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \cdot \underline{c} = \underline{b} \cdot \underline{c} \vdash \underline{a} = \underline{b} \vdash \underline{a} \cdot \underline{c}' = \underline{b} \cdot \underline{c}' \gg \underline{a} = \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \cdot \underline{c} = \underline{b} \cdot \underline{c} \Rightarrow \underline{a} = \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \cdot \underline{c}' = \underline{b} \cdot \underline{c}'$], $p_0, c)$]

[Prop 3.2k $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \underline{a} = \underline{b} \vdash \underline{a} \cdot \underline{c} = \underline{b} \cdot \underline{c}$]

[Prop 3.2k $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \underline{a} = \underline{b} \vdash \text{Prop 3.2k}_1 \gg \bar{x} = \bar{y} \Rightarrow \bar{x} \cdot 0 = \bar{y} \cdot 0$; Prop 3.2k₂ $\gg \bar{x} = \bar{y} \Rightarrow \bar{x} \cdot \bar{z} = \bar{y} \cdot \bar{z} \Rightarrow \bar{x} = \bar{y} \Rightarrow \bar{x} \cdot \bar{z}' = \bar{y} \cdot \bar{z}'$; S9 @ $\bar{z} \triangleright \bar{x} = \bar{y} \Rightarrow \bar{x} \cdot 0 = \bar{y} \cdot 0 \triangleright \bar{x} = \bar{y} \Rightarrow \bar{x} \cdot \bar{z} = \bar{y} \cdot \bar{z} \Rightarrow \bar{x} = \bar{y} \Rightarrow \bar{x} \cdot \bar{z}' = \bar{y} \cdot \bar{z}' \gg \bar{x} = \bar{y} \Rightarrow \bar{x} \cdot \bar{z} = \bar{y} \cdot \bar{z}$; Ded $\triangleright \bar{x} = \bar{y} \Rightarrow \bar{x} \cdot \bar{z} = \bar{y} \cdot \bar{z} \gg \underline{a} = \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \cdot \underline{c} = \underline{b} \cdot \underline{c}$; MP $\triangleright \underline{a} = \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \cdot \underline{c} = \underline{b} \cdot \underline{c} \triangleright \underline{a} = \underline{b} \gg \underline{a} \cdot \underline{c} = \underline{b} \cdot \underline{c}$], $p_0, c)$]

4.3 3.2l

[Prop 3.2l₂ $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: 0 \cdot \underline{a} = 0 \Rightarrow 0 \cdot \underline{a}' = 0]$

[Prop 3.2l₂ $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{a}: 0 \cdot \underline{a} = 0 \vdash S8 \gg 0 \cdot \underline{a}' = 0 \cdot \underline{a} + 0$; S5 $\gg 0 \cdot \underline{a} + 0 = 0 \cdot \underline{a}$; Prop 3.2c $\triangleright 0 \cdot \underline{a}' = 0 \cdot \underline{a} + 0 \triangleright 0 \cdot \underline{a} + 0 = 0 \cdot \underline{a} \gg 0 \cdot \underline{a}' = 0 \cdot \underline{a}$; Prop 3.2c $\triangleright 0 \cdot \underline{a}' = 0 \cdot \underline{a} \triangleright 0 \cdot \underline{a} = 0 \gg 0 \cdot \underline{a}' = 0$; Ded $\triangleright \forall \underline{a}: 0 \cdot \underline{a} = 0 \vdash 0 \cdot \underline{a}' = 0 \gg 0 \cdot \underline{a} = 0 \Rightarrow 0 \cdot \underline{a}' = 0$], $p_0, c)$]

[Prop 3.2l $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: 0 \cdot \underline{a} = 0]$

[Prop 3.2l $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: S7 \gg 0 \cdot 0 = 0$; Prop 3.2l₂ $\gg 0 \cdot \bar{x} = 0 \Rightarrow 0 \cdot \bar{x}' = 0$; S9 @ $\bar{x} \triangleright 0 \cdot 0 = 0 \triangleright 0 \cdot \bar{x} = 0 \Rightarrow 0 \cdot \bar{x}' = 0 \gg 0 \cdot \bar{x} = 0 \gg 0 \cdot \underline{a} = 0$], $p_0, c)$]

4.4 3.2m

[Prop 3.2m₁ $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \underline{a}' \cdot 0 = \underline{a} \cdot 0 + 0]$

[Prop 3.2m₁ $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: S7 \gg \underline{a}' \cdot 0 = 0$; Prop 3.2f $\gg 0 = 0 + 0$; S7 $\gg \underline{a} \cdot 0 = 0$; Prop 3.2b $\triangleright \underline{a} \cdot 0 = 0 \gg 0 = \underline{a} \cdot 0$; Prop 3.2e $\triangleright 0 = \underline{a} \cdot 0 \gg 0 + 0 = \underline{a} \cdot 0 + 0$; Prop 3.2c $\triangleright 0 = 0 + 0 \triangleright 0 + 0 = \underline{a} \cdot 0 + 0 \gg 0 = \underline{a} \cdot 0 + 0$; Prop 3.2c $\triangleright \underline{a}' \cdot 0 = 0 \triangleright 0 = \underline{a} \cdot 0 + 0 \gg \underline{a}' \cdot 0 = \underline{a} \cdot 0 + 0$], $p_0, c)$]

[Prop 3.2m₂ $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a}' \cdot \underline{b} = \underline{a} \cdot \underline{b} + \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a}' \cdot \underline{b}' = \underline{a} \cdot \underline{b}' + \underline{b}'$]

[Prop 3.2m₂ $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a}' \cdot \underline{b} = \underline{a} \cdot \underline{b} + \underline{b} \vdash S8 \gg \underline{a}' \cdot \underline{b}' = \underline{a}' \cdot \underline{b} + \underline{a}'$; Prop 3.2e $\triangleright \underline{a}' \cdot \underline{b} = \underline{a} \cdot \underline{b} + \underline{b} \gg \underline{a}' \cdot \underline{b} + \underline{a}' = \underline{a} \cdot \underline{b} + \underline{b} + \underline{a}'$; S6 $\gg \underline{b} + \underline{a}' = \underline{b} + \underline{a}'$; Prop 3.2g $\gg \underline{b}' + \underline{a} = \underline{b} + \underline{a}'$; Prop 3.2d $\triangleright \underline{b} + \underline{a}' = \underline{b} + \underline{a}' \triangleright \underline{b}' + \underline{a} = \underline{b} + \underline{a}' \gg \underline{b} + \underline{a}' = \underline{b}' + \underline{a}$; Prop 3.2h $\gg \underline{b}' + \underline{a} = \underline{a} + \underline{b}'$; Prop 3.2c $\triangleright \underline{b} + \underline{a}' = \underline{b}' + \underline{a} \triangleright \underline{b}' + \underline{a} = \underline{a} + \underline{b}' \gg \underline{b} + \underline{a}' = \underline{a} + \underline{b}'$; Prop 3.2i $\triangleright \underline{b} + \underline{a}' = \underline{a} + \underline{b}' \gg \underline{a} \cdot \underline{b} + \underline{b} + \underline{a}' = \underline{a} \cdot \underline{b} + \underline{a} + \underline{b}'$; Prop 3.2j $\gg \underline{a} \cdot \underline{b} + \underline{a} + \underline{b}' = \underline{a} \cdot \underline{b} + \underline{a} + \underline{b}'$; Prop 3.2d $\triangleright \underline{a} \cdot \underline{b} + \underline{b} + \underline{a}' = \underline{a} \cdot \underline{b} + \underline{a} + \underline{b}' \triangleright \underline{a} \cdot \underline{b} + \underline{a} + \underline{b}' = \underline{a} \cdot \underline{b} + \underline{a} + \underline{b}' \gg \underline{a} \cdot \underline{b} + \underline{b} + \underline{a}' = \underline{a} \cdot \underline{b} + \underline{a} + \underline{b}'$; S8 $\gg \underline{a} \cdot \underline{b}' =$

$\underline{a} + \underline{c}' \triangleright \underline{a} + \underline{c}' = \underline{b} + \underline{c}' \gg \underline{a} + \underline{c}' = \underline{b} + \underline{c}'$; Prop 3.2c $\triangleright \underline{a} + \underline{c}' = \underline{b} + \underline{c}' \triangleright \underline{b} + \underline{c}' = \underline{b} + \underline{c}' \gg \underline{a} + \underline{c}' = \underline{b} + \underline{c}'$; S4 $\triangleright \underline{a} + \underline{c}' = \underline{b} + \underline{c}' \gg \underline{a} + \underline{c} = \underline{b} + \underline{c}$; MP $\triangleright \underline{a} + \underline{c} = \underline{b} + \underline{c} \Rightarrow \underline{a} = \underline{b} \triangleright \underline{a} + \underline{c} = \underline{b} + \underline{c} \gg \underline{a} = \underline{b}$; Ded $\triangleright \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \underline{a} + \underline{c} = \underline{b} + \underline{c} \Rightarrow \underline{a} = \underline{b} \vdash \underline{a} + \underline{c}' = \underline{b} + \underline{c}' \vdash \underline{a} = \underline{b} \gg \underline{a} + \underline{c} = \underline{b} + \underline{c} \Rightarrow \underline{a} = \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} + \underline{c}' = \underline{b} + \underline{c}' \Rightarrow \underline{a} = \underline{b}]$, $p_0, c)$

[Prop 3.4d $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ S $\vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \underline{a} + \underline{c} = \underline{b} + \underline{c} \Rightarrow \underline{a} = \underline{b}$]

[Prop 3.4d $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$ $\lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \text{Prop 3.4d}_1 \gg \bar{x} + 0 = \bar{y} + 0 \Rightarrow \bar{x} = \bar{y}; \text{Prop 3.4d}_2 \gg \bar{x} + \bar{z} = \bar{y} + \bar{z} \Rightarrow \bar{x} = \bar{y} \Rightarrow \bar{x} + \bar{z}' = \bar{y} + \bar{z}' \Rightarrow \bar{x} = \bar{y}; \text{S9} @ \bar{z} \triangleright \bar{x} + 0 = \bar{y} + 0 \Rightarrow \bar{x} = \bar{y} \triangleright \bar{x} + \bar{z} = \bar{y} + \bar{z} \Rightarrow \bar{x} = \bar{y} \Rightarrow \bar{x} + \bar{z}' = \bar{y} + \bar{z}' \Rightarrow \bar{x} = \bar{y} \gg \bar{x} + \bar{z} = \bar{y} + \bar{z} \Rightarrow \bar{x} = \bar{y}; \text{Ded} \triangleright \bar{x} + \bar{z} = \bar{y} + \bar{z} \Rightarrow \bar{x} = \bar{y} \gg \underline{a} + \underline{c} = \underline{b} + \underline{c} \Rightarrow \underline{a} = \underline{b}]$, $p_0, c)$

6 Udsagn 3.5

I denne del har vi kun kunne løse indtil h, da denne og de efterfølgende kræver reglen “existensial rule”. Dog er der i afsnit 6.2.2 gennemgået hvilket problem der forhindrer os i at bevise ”existencial rule”, og hvordan vi ville have bevist reglen, hvis problemet ikke var opstået. Endvidere er der i 6.2.2 gennemgået hvordan vi ville have løst 3.5h.

I de følgende udsagn indgår numeraler opfører sig som de naturlige tal og er defineret i forhold til 0 på følgende måde:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} 0 & = & \bar{0} \\ 0' & = & \bar{1} \\ 0'' & = & \bar{2} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0^{n*'} & = & \bar{n} \end{array}$$

Dvs. hvis $\bar{0}$ er et numeral, og hvis \bar{n} er et numeral, så er \bar{n}' også.

[Prop 3.5a $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ S $\vdash \forall \underline{a}: \underline{a} + 0' = \underline{a}'$]

[Prop 3.5b $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ S $\vdash \forall \underline{a}: \underline{a} \cdot 0' = \underline{a}$]

[Prop 3.5c $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ S $\vdash \forall \underline{a}: \underline{a} \cdot 0'' = \underline{a} + \underline{a}$]

[Prop 3.5d $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ S $\vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} + \underline{b} = 0 \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a} = 0 \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b} = 0$]

[Prop 3.5e $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ S $\vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \neg \underline{b} = 0 \Rightarrow \underline{a} \cdot \underline{b} = 0 \Rightarrow \underline{a} = 0$]

[Prop 3.5f $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ S $\vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} + \underline{b} = 0' \Rightarrow \neg \neg \underline{a} = 0 \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b} = 0' \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a} = 0' \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b} = 0$]

[Prop 3.5g $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ S $\vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} \cdot \underline{b} = 0' \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a} = 0' \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b} = 0'$]

[Prop 3.5h $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ $S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \neg \underline{a} = 0 \Rightarrow \neg \forall_{\text{obj}} \underline{b}: \neg \underline{a} = \underline{b}'$]

[Prop 3.5i $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ $S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \neg \underline{c} = 0 \Rightarrow \underline{a} \cdot \underline{c} = \underline{b} \cdot \underline{c} \Rightarrow \underline{a} = \underline{b}$]

[Prop 3.5j $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ $S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \neg \underline{a} = 0 \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a} = 0' \Rightarrow \neg \forall_{\text{obj}} \underline{b}: \neg \underline{a} = \underline{b}''$]

Efterfølgende vil vi gennemgå de nødvendige hjælpesætninger og definitioner for at kunne bevise 3.5a-3.5g.

6.1 Definitioner i forbindelse med \wedge og \vee

Fra 3.5d bruges \wedge og \vee , som begge er makrodefinerede udtryk.

$[x \wedge y \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \text{lt.}\lambda\text{s.}\lambda\text{c.}\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4(\text{t}, \text{s}, \text{c}, [[x \wedge y \ddot{=} \neg(x \Rightarrow \neg y)])])$

$[x \vee y \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \text{lt.}\lambda\text{s.}\lambda\text{c.}\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4(\text{t}, \text{s}, \text{c}, [[x \vee y \ddot{=} (\neg x) \Rightarrow y]])$

Endvidere er det klart at reglerne for introduktion og eliminering af \wedge og \vee dermed også skal bruges. Alle disse er bevist i de følgende afsnit.

6.1.1 Introduktion af \wedge

[Con $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ $S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} \wedge \underline{b} \vdash \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b}$]

[Con $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$ $\lambda\text{c.}\lambda\text{x.}\mathcal{P}([S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} \wedge \underline{b} \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b} \vdash \text{Repetition} \triangleright \underline{a} \gg \underline{a}; \text{MP} \triangleright \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b} \triangleright \underline{a} \gg \neg \underline{b}; \text{Ded} \triangleright \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b} \vdash \neg \underline{b} \gg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b}; \text{Lem1.11b} \gg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \neg \underline{b}; \text{MP} \triangleright \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \neg \underline{b} \triangleright \underline{b} \gg \neg \neg \underline{b}; \text{MT} \triangleright \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b} \triangleright \neg \underline{b} \gg \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b}]$, p_0, c)]

6.1.2 Elimination af \wedge 1

[Con1 $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ $S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b} \vdash \underline{a}$]

[Con1 $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$ $\lambda\text{c.}\lambda\text{x.}\mathcal{P}([S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b} \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \neg \underline{a} \vdash \text{A1}' \gg \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a}; \text{MP} \triangleright \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a} \triangleright \neg \underline{a} \gg \neg \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a}; \text{Lem1.11d} \gg \neg \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b}; \text{MP} \triangleright \neg \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b} \triangleright \neg \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a} \gg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b}; \text{Ded} \triangleright \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \neg \underline{a} \vdash \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b} \gg \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b}; \text{MT} \triangleright \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b} \triangleright \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b} \gg \neg \neg \underline{a}; \text{Lem1.11a} \gg \neg \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{a}; \text{MP} \triangleright \neg \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \triangleright \neg \neg \underline{a} \gg \underline{a}]$, p_0, c)]

6.1.3 Elimination af \wedge 2

[Con2 $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ $S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b} \vdash \underline{b}$]

[Con2 $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$ $\lambda\text{c.}\lambda\text{x.}\mathcal{P}([S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b} \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \neg \underline{b} \vdash \text{A1}' \gg \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b}; \text{MP} \triangleright \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b} \triangleright \neg \underline{b} \gg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b}; \text{Ded} \triangleright \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \neg \underline{b} \vdash \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b} \gg \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b}; \text{MT} \triangleright \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b} \triangleright \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b} \gg \neg \neg \underline{b}; \text{Lem1.11a} \gg \neg \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{b}; \text{MP} \triangleright \neg \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \triangleright \neg \neg \underline{b} \gg \underline{b}]$, p_0, c)]

6.1.4 Introduktion af \forall 1

[Dis1 $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ $S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} \vdash \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b}$]

[Dis1 $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$ $\lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} \vdash \text{Lem1.11c} \gg \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b}; \text{Lem1.11b} \gg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a}; \text{MP} \triangleright \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a} \triangleright \underline{a} \gg \neg \underline{a}; \text{MP} \triangleright \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \triangleright \neg \underline{a} \gg \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b}], p_0, c)$]

6.1.5 Introduktion af \forall 2

[Dis2 $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ $S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{b} \vdash \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b}$]

[Dis2 $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$ $\lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{b} \vdash A1' \gg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b}; \text{MP} \triangleright \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \triangleright \underline{b} \gg \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b}], p_0, c)$]

6.1.6 Elimination af \forall

Da vi ikke har haft brug for at fjerne \forall , har vi ikke bevist denne regel.

6.2 Andre hjælpesætninger

Vi har til beviset af 3.5a-3.5g haft brug for nogle af reglerne fra [Gru06], dog med **imply** istedet for **infer**. Sådanne regler er navngivet med det <oprindelige navn>’ og beviserne for disse kan ses i Appendix A.

6.2.1 $\mathcal{A} \neq \mathcal{B}$

En ny hjælperegul er **regel H3**, som skal bruges i forbindelse med $\mathcal{A} \neq \mathcal{B}$ for at kunne konkludere sammenhænge i mellem $(x = y) \wedge (x \neq 3) \Rightarrow (y \neq 3)$.

[H3 $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ $S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \vdash \underline{a} \vdash \neg \underline{c} \vdash \neg \underline{b}$]

[H3 $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$ $\lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \vdash \underline{a} \vdash \neg \underline{c} \vdash \text{MP} \triangleright \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \triangleright \underline{a} \gg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{c}; \text{MT} \triangleright \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \triangleright \neg \underline{c} \gg \neg \underline{b}], p_0, c)$]

6.2.2 $\exists x$

Selv om vi ikke har nået at implementere fra opgave 3.5h, hvor der gøres brug af \exists , har vi alligevel makrodefineret kvantoren som følger.

[$\exists x: y \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4(t, s, c, [[\exists x: y \doteq \neg(\forall x: \neg y)])]$]

Vores problem har været, at vi ikke har kunne udtrykke “ $\mathcal{B}(x, t)$, hvor t kan indsættes i stedet for x ’erne uden at blive bundet til en alkvantor”, i pyk.

Beviset for hjælpesætningen, der indfører eksistenskvantoren, ville dog have været opbygget nogenlunde som følger.

Følgende tautologi,

$$(\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \neg \mathcal{B}) \Rightarrow (\mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \neg \mathcal{A})$$

antages vist nogenlunde som Modus Tollens, dog med variation.
Ved axiom A4' kan vi få instansen:

$$(\forall x)\neg\mathcal{A}(x, t) \Rightarrow \neg A(t, t)$$

Idet man kan få følgende instans af tautologien:

$$((\forall x)\neg\mathcal{A}(x, t) \Rightarrow \neg A(t, t)) \Rightarrow (A(t, t) \Rightarrow \neg(\forall x)\neg\mathcal{A}(x, t))$$

kan man vha. MP på instansen og axiomet få:

$$(A(t, t) \Rightarrow \neg(\forall x)\neg\mathcal{A}(x, t))$$

og pga. af makrodefinitionen er denne nu vist.
Herefter vises Lemmaerne 3.5a-3.5g

6.3 3.5a

$$[\text{Prop 3.5a} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} \text{S} \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \underline{a} + 0' = \underline{a}']$$

$$[\text{Prop 3.5a} \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([\text{S} \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \text{S6} \gg \underline{a} + 0' = \underline{a} + 0'; \text{S5} \gg \underline{a} + 0 = \underline{a}; \text{S2} \triangleright \underline{a} + 0 = \underline{a} \gg \underline{a} + 0' = \underline{a}'; \text{Prop 3.2c} \triangleright \underline{a} + 0' = \underline{a} + 0' \triangleright \underline{a} + 0' = \underline{a}' \gg \underline{a} + 0' = \underline{a}'; \text{Prop 3.2a} \gg \underline{a} + 0' = \underline{a} + 0'; \text{S1} \triangleright \underline{a} + 0' = \underline{a} + 0' \triangleright \underline{a} + 0' = \underline{a}' \gg \underline{a} + 0' = \underline{a}'], p_0, c)]$$

6.4 3.5b

$$[\text{Prop 3.5b} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} \text{S} \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \underline{a} \cdot 0' = \underline{a}]$$

$$[\text{Prop 3.5b} \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([\text{S} \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \text{S8} \gg \underline{a} \cdot 0' = \underline{a} \cdot 0 + \underline{a}; \text{S7} \gg \underline{a} \cdot 0 = 0; \text{Prop 3.2e} \triangleright \underline{a} \cdot 0 = 0 \gg \underline{a} \cdot 0 + \underline{a} = 0 + \underline{a}; \text{Prop 3.2c} \triangleright \underline{a} \cdot 0' = \underline{a} \cdot 0 + \underline{a} \triangleright \underline{a} \cdot 0 + \underline{a} = 0 + \underline{a} \gg \underline{a} \cdot 0' = 0 + \underline{a}; \text{Prop 3.2f} \gg \underline{a} = 0 + \underline{a}; \text{Prop 3.2b} \triangleright \underline{a} = 0 + \underline{a} \gg 0 + \underline{a} = \underline{a}; \text{Prop 3.2c} \triangleright \underline{a} \cdot 0' = 0 + \underline{a} \triangleright 0 + \underline{a} = \underline{a} \gg \underline{a} \cdot 0' = \underline{a}; \text{Prop 3.2a} \gg \underline{a} \cdot 0' = \underline{a} \cdot 0'; \text{S1} \triangleright \underline{a} \cdot 0' = \underline{a} \cdot 0' \triangleright \underline{a} \cdot 0' = \underline{a} \gg \underline{a} \cdot 0' = \underline{a}], p_0, c)]$$

6.5 3.5c

$$[\text{Prop 3.5c} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} \text{S} \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \underline{a} \cdot 0'' = \underline{a} + \underline{a}]$$

$$[\text{Prop 3.5c} \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([\text{S} \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \text{S8} \gg \underline{a} \cdot 0'' = \underline{a} \cdot 0' + \underline{a}; \text{Prop 3.5b} \gg \underline{a} \cdot 0' = \underline{a}; \text{Prop 3.2e} \triangleright \underline{a} \cdot 0' = \underline{a} \gg \underline{a} \cdot 0' + \underline{a} = \underline{a} + \underline{a}; \text{Prop 3.2c} \triangleright \underline{a} \cdot 0'' = \underline{a} \cdot 0' + \underline{a} \triangleright \underline{a} \cdot 0' + \underline{a} = \underline{a} + \underline{a} \gg \underline{a} \cdot 0'' = \underline{a} + \underline{a}; \text{Prop 3.2a} \gg \underline{a} \cdot 0'' = \underline{a} \cdot 0''; \text{S1} \triangleright \underline{a} \cdot 0'' = \underline{a} \cdot 0'' \triangleright \underline{a} \cdot 0'' = \underline{a} + \underline{a} \gg \underline{a} \cdot 0'' = \underline{a} + \underline{a}], p_0, c)]$$

6.6 3.5d

$$[\text{Prop 3.5d}_1 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} \text{S} \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \underline{a} + 0 = 0 \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a} = 0 \Rightarrow \neg 0 = 0]$$

[Prop 3.5g₄ $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$ $\lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}(\lceil S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: \forall a: \forall b: \underline{a} \cdot \underline{b} = 0' \Rightarrow \neg a = 0' \Rightarrow \neg b = 0' \vdash \underline{a} \cdot \underline{b}' = 0' \vdash S8 \gg \underline{a} \cdot \underline{b}' = \underline{a} \cdot \underline{b} + \underline{a}; S1 \triangleright \underline{a} \cdot \underline{b}' = \underline{a} \cdot \underline{b} + \underline{a} \triangleright \underline{a} \cdot \underline{b}' = 0' \gg \underline{a} \cdot \underline{b} + \underline{a} = 0'$; Prop 3.5f $\gg \underline{a} \cdot \underline{b} + \underline{a} = 0' \Rightarrow \neg \neg a \cdot \underline{b} = 0 \Rightarrow \neg a = 0' \Rightarrow \neg a \cdot \underline{b} = 0' \Rightarrow \neg a = 0$; MP $\triangleright \underline{a} \cdot \underline{b} + \underline{a} = 0' \Rightarrow \neg \neg a \cdot \underline{b} = 0 \Rightarrow \neg a = 0' \Rightarrow \neg a \cdot \underline{b} = 0' \Rightarrow \neg a = 0 \triangleright \underline{a} \cdot \underline{b} + \underline{a} = 0' \gg \neg \neg a \cdot \underline{b} = 0 \Rightarrow \neg a = 0' \Rightarrow \neg a \cdot \underline{b} = 0' \Rightarrow \neg a = 0$; Prop 3.5g₂ $\gg \neg a \cdot \underline{b} = 0 \Rightarrow \neg a = 0' \Rightarrow \neg a = 0' \Rightarrow \neg b' = 0'$; Prop 3.5g₃ $\triangleright \underline{a} \cdot \underline{b} = 0' \Rightarrow \neg a = 0' \Rightarrow \neg b = 0'$ $\gg \neg a \cdot \underline{b} = 0' \Rightarrow \neg a = 0 \Rightarrow \neg a = 0' \Rightarrow \neg b' = 0'$; H11 $\triangleright \neg \neg a \cdot \underline{b} = 0 \Rightarrow \neg a = 0' \Rightarrow \neg a \cdot \underline{b} = 0' \Rightarrow \neg a = 0 \triangleright \neg a \cdot \underline{b} = 0 \Rightarrow \neg a = 0' \Rightarrow \neg a = 0' \Rightarrow \neg b' = 0' \triangleright \neg a \cdot \underline{b} = 0' \Rightarrow \neg a = 0 \Rightarrow \neg a = 0' \Rightarrow \neg b' = 0' \gg \neg a = 0' \Rightarrow \neg b' = 0'$; Ded $\triangleright \forall a: \forall b: \underline{a} \cdot \underline{b} = 0' \Rightarrow \neg a = 0' \Rightarrow \neg b = 0' \vdash \underline{a} \cdot \underline{b}' = 0' \vdash \neg a = 0' \Rightarrow \neg b' = 0' \gg \underline{a} \cdot \underline{b} = 0' \Rightarrow \neg a = 0' \Rightarrow \neg b = 0' \Rightarrow \underline{a} \cdot \underline{b}' = 0' \Rightarrow \neg a = 0' \Rightarrow \neg b' = 0'$], p₀, c)]

[Prop 3.5g $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ $S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: \underline{a} \cdot \underline{b} = 0' \Rightarrow \neg a = 0' \Rightarrow \neg b = 0'$]

[Prop 3.5g $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$ $\lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}(\lceil S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: \text{Prop 3.5g}_1 \gg \bar{x} \cdot 0 = 0' \Rightarrow \neg \bar{x} = 0' \Rightarrow \neg 0 = 0'$; Prop 3.5g₄ $\gg \bar{x} \cdot \bar{y} = 0' \Rightarrow \neg \bar{x} = 0' \Rightarrow \neg \bar{y} = 0' \Rightarrow \bar{x} \cdot \bar{y}' = 0' \Rightarrow \neg \bar{x} = 0' \Rightarrow \neg \bar{y}' = 0'$; S9 @ $\bar{y} \triangleright \bar{x} \cdot 0 = 0' \Rightarrow \neg \bar{x} = 0' \Rightarrow \neg 0 = 0' \triangleright \bar{x} \cdot \bar{y} = 0' \Rightarrow \neg \bar{x} = 0' \Rightarrow \neg \bar{y} = 0' \Rightarrow \bar{x} \cdot \bar{y}' = 0' \Rightarrow \neg \bar{x} = 0' \Rightarrow \neg \bar{y}' = 0' \gg \bar{x} \cdot \bar{y} = 0' \Rightarrow \neg \bar{x} = 0' \Rightarrow \neg \bar{y} = 0'$; Ded $\triangleright \bar{x} \cdot \bar{y} = 0' \Rightarrow \neg \bar{x} = 0' \Rightarrow \neg \bar{y} = 0' \gg \underline{a} \cdot \underline{b} = 0' \Rightarrow \neg a = 0' \Rightarrow \neg b = 0'$], p₀, c)]

6.10 3.5h

[Prop 3.5h $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ $S \vdash \forall a: \neg a = 0 \Rightarrow \neg \forall_{\text{obj}} b: \neg a = b'$]

Beviset for 3.5.h ville i psyk have set ud nogenlunde som følgende:

$$t \neq 0 \Rightarrow (\exists y)(t = y')$$

Part I

L01	<i>prem</i>	$0 \neq 0$
L02	<i>abbr.L01</i>	$\neg(0 = 0)$
L03	<i>3.2.a</i>	$0 = 0$
L04	<i>Lemma1.11.c</i>	$\neg(0 = 0) \Rightarrow ((0 = 0) \Rightarrow (\exists w)(0 = w'))$
L05	<i>MP, L02, L03</i>	$(\exists w)(0 = w')$
L06	<i>Ded, L05</i>	$(0 \neq 0) \Rightarrow (\exists w)(0 = w')$

Part II

L01 : <i>premise</i>	$(x \neq 0) \Rightarrow (\exists w)(x = w')$
L02 : $S3'$	$x' \neq 0$
L03 : <i>Taut.</i> : $\mathcal{A} \vee \neg \mathcal{A}$	$(x = 0) \vee \neg(x = 0)$
L04 : <i>prem.</i>	$(x = 0)$
L05 : $S2'$	$0' = x'$
L06 : $E4$	$(x = 0) \Rightarrow (\exists w)(x' = w')$
L07 : <i>prem</i>	$\neg(x = 0)$
L08 : $MP, L01, L07$	$(\exists w)(x = w')$
L09 : <i>rulec</i>	$x = b'$
L10 : $S2'$	$(\exists w)(x' = w')$
L11 : $E4$	$\neg(x = 0) \Rightarrow (\exists w)(x' = w')$
L12 <i>Lemma H11, L06.L11</i>	$(x' \neq 0) \Rightarrow (\exists w)(x' = w')$

Tilbage er blot at bruge sætning S9 for at fuldende induktionsbeviset.

7 Udsagn 3.7

Vi har kun lavet de indledende definitioner, da hele 3.7 kræver ”existential rule”.

7.1 Definitioner af $\neg \forall_{\text{obj}} z: \neg \neg \neg z = 0 \Rightarrow \neg z + \bar{x} = \bar{y}$

Vi har makrodefineret følgende definitioner:

$$[x < y \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4(t, s, c, [[x < y \doteq \exists z: (z \neq 0 \wedge z + x = y)])])$$

$$[x \leq y \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4(t, s, c, [[x \leq y \doteq x < y \vee x = y]])]$$

$$[x > y \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4(t, s, c, [[x > y \doteq y < x]])]$$

$$[x \geq y \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4(t, s, c, [[x \geq y \doteq y \leq x]])]$$

$$[x \not< y \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4(t, s, c, [[x \not< y \doteq \neg(x < y)])])]$$

$$[x \not> y \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4(t, s, c, [[x \not> y \doteq y \not< x]])]$$

$$[x | y \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4(t, s, c, [[x | y \doteq \exists z: y = x \cdot z]])]$$

Ved hjælp af disse definitioner og ”existential rule” ville 3.7 kunne bevises.

A Hjælpe lemmaer

Til flere af vores beviser har vi brug for nogle hjælpe-lemmaer, disse er bevist i det følgende afsnit

$$[\text{Lem1.11c} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b}]$$

$$[\text{Cor1.10a} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \vdash \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \vdash \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{c}]$$

$$[\text{Cor1.10b} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \vdash \underline{b} \vdash \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{c}]$$

$$[\text{Lem1.11a} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \neg \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{a}]$$

[Lem1.11a $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$ $\lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([S \vdash \forall a: \text{Neg}' \gg \neg a \Rightarrow \neg \neg a \Rightarrow \neg a \Rightarrow \neg a \Rightarrow a; \text{Repetition}' \gg \neg a \Rightarrow \neg a; \text{Cor1.10b} \triangleright \neg a \Rightarrow \neg \neg a \Rightarrow \neg a \Rightarrow \neg a \Rightarrow a \triangleright \neg a \Rightarrow \neg a \gg \neg a \Rightarrow \neg \neg a \Rightarrow a; A1' \gg \neg \neg a \Rightarrow \neg a \Rightarrow \neg \neg a; \text{Cor1.10a} \triangleright \neg \neg a \Rightarrow \neg a \Rightarrow \neg \neg a \triangleright \neg a \Rightarrow \neg \neg a \Rightarrow a \gg \neg \neg a \Rightarrow a]$, p_0, c)]

[Lem1.11b $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ $S \vdash \forall a: a \Rightarrow \neg \neg a$]

[Lem1.11b $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$ $\lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([S \vdash \forall a: \text{Neg}' \gg \neg \neg a \Rightarrow \neg a \Rightarrow \neg \neg a \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow \neg \neg a; \text{Lem1.11a} \gg \neg \neg a \Rightarrow \neg a; \text{MP} \triangleright \neg \neg a \Rightarrow \neg a \Rightarrow \neg \neg a \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow \neg \neg a \triangleright \neg \neg a \Rightarrow \neg a \gg \neg \neg a \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow \neg \neg a; A1' \gg a \Rightarrow \neg \neg a \Rightarrow a; \text{Cor1.10a} \triangleright a \Rightarrow \neg \neg a \Rightarrow a \triangleright \neg \neg a \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow \neg \neg a \gg a \Rightarrow \neg \neg a]$, p_0, c)]

[Prop3.2c' $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ $S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: \forall c: a = b \Rightarrow b = c \Rightarrow a = c$]

[Prop3.2c' $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$ $\lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: \forall c: \forall a: \forall b: \forall c: a = b \vdash \forall a: \forall b: \forall c: b = c \vdash \text{Prop 3.2c} \triangleright a = b \triangleright b = c \gg a = c; \text{Ded} \triangleright \forall a: \forall b: \forall c: b = c \vdash a = c \gg b = c \Rightarrow a = c; \text{Ded} \triangleright \forall a: \forall b: \forall c: a = b \vdash b = c \Rightarrow a = c \gg a = b \Rightarrow b = c \Rightarrow a = c]$, p_0, c)]

[S1'' $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ $S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: \forall c: a = b \Rightarrow a = c \Rightarrow b = c$]

[S1'' $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$ $\lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: \forall c: \forall a: \forall b: \forall c: a = b \vdash \forall a: \forall b: \forall c: a = c \vdash S1 \triangleright a = b \triangleright a = c \gg b = c; \text{Ded} \triangleright \forall a: \forall b: \forall c: a = c \vdash b = c \gg a = c \Rightarrow b = c; \text{Ded} \triangleright \forall a: \forall b: \forall c: a = b \vdash a = c \Rightarrow b = c \gg a = b \Rightarrow a = c \Rightarrow b = c]$, p_0, c)]

[Neg' $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ $S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: \neg b \Rightarrow \neg a \Rightarrow \neg b \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow b$]

[Neg' $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$ $\lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: \forall a: \forall b: \neg b \Rightarrow \neg a \vdash \forall a: \forall b: \neg b \Rightarrow a \vdash \text{Neg} \triangleright \neg b \Rightarrow \neg a \triangleright \neg b \Rightarrow a \gg b; \text{Ded} \triangleright \forall a: \forall b: \neg b \Rightarrow a \vdash b \gg \neg b \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow b; \text{Ded} \triangleright \forall a: \forall b: \neg b \Rightarrow \neg a \vdash \neg b \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow b \gg \neg b \Rightarrow \neg a \Rightarrow \neg b \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow b]$, p_0, c)]

[Repetition' $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ $S \vdash \forall a: a \Rightarrow a$]

[Repetition' $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$ $\lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([S \vdash \forall a: \forall a: a \vdash \text{Repetition} \triangleright a \gg a; \text{Ded} \triangleright \forall a: a \vdash a \gg a \Rightarrow a]$, p_0, c)]

[H10 $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ $S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: \neg b = a \vdash \neg a = b$]

[H10 $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$ $\lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: \neg b = a \vdash \text{Prop3.2b}' \gg a = b \Rightarrow b = a; \text{Lem1.11e} \gg a = b \Rightarrow b = a \Rightarrow \neg b = a \Rightarrow \neg a = b; \text{MP} \triangleright a = b \Rightarrow b = a \Rightarrow \neg b = a \Rightarrow \neg a = b \triangleright a = b \Rightarrow b = a \gg \neg b = a \Rightarrow \neg a = b; \text{MP} \triangleright \neg b = a \Rightarrow \neg a = b \triangleright \neg b = a \gg \neg a = b]$, p_0, c)]

[MT $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$ $S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: a \Rightarrow b \vdash \neg b \vdash \neg a$]

[MT $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$ $\lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: a \Rightarrow b \vdash \neg b \vdash \text{Lem1.11a} \gg \neg \neg a \Rightarrow a; \text{Cor1.10a} \triangleright \neg \neg a \Rightarrow a \triangleright a \Rightarrow b \gg \neg \neg a \Rightarrow b; \forall a: \forall b: \neg \neg a \Rightarrow b \vdash \neg b \vdash A1' \gg$

$\neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b}$; MP $\triangleright \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b} \triangleright \neg \underline{b} \gg \neg \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b}$; Neg $\triangleright \neg \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b} \triangleright \neg \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \gg \neg \underline{a}$; Ded $\triangleright \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \vdash \neg \underline{b} \vdash \neg \underline{a} \gg \neg \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a}$; MP $\triangleright \neg \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a} \triangleright \neg \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \gg \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a}$; MP $\triangleright \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a} \triangleright \neg \underline{b} \gg \neg \underline{a}$], p_0, c]

[Lem1.11e $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a}$]

[Lem1.11e $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \vdash \text{Lem1.11a} \gg \neg \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{a}$; Cor1.10a $\triangleright \neg \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \triangleright \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \gg \neg \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b}$; Lem1.11b $\gg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b}$; Cor1.10a $\triangleright \neg \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \triangleright \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \neg \underline{b} \gg \neg \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \neg \underline{b}$; Lem1.11d $\gg \neg \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a}$; MP $\triangleright \neg \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a} \triangleright \neg \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \neg \underline{b} \gg \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a}$; Ded $\triangleright \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \vdash \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a} \gg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a}$], p_0, c]

[Lem1.11d $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b}$]

[Lem1.11d $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a} \vdash \text{Neg}' \gg \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b}$; A1' $\gg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a}$; MP $\triangleright \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \triangleright \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a} \gg \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b}$; Cor1.10a $\triangleright \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \triangleright \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \gg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b}$; Ded $\triangleright \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a} \vdash \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \gg \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b}$], p_0, c]

[Prop3.2b' $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} = \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{b} = \underline{a}$]

[Prop3.2b' $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} = \underline{b} \vdash \text{Prop 3.2b} \triangleright \underline{a} = \underline{b} \gg \underline{b} = \underline{a}$; Ded $\triangleright \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} = \underline{b} \vdash \underline{b} = \underline{a} \gg \underline{a} = \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{b} = \underline{a}$], p_0, c]

[Lem1.11g $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \vdash \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \vdash \underline{b}$]

[Lem1.11g $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \vdash \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \vdash \text{Lem1.11e} \gg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a}$; MP $\triangleright \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a} \triangleright \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \gg \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a}$; Lem1.11e $\gg \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a}$; MP $\triangleright \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a} \triangleright \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \gg \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a}$; Neg $\triangleright \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a} \triangleright \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a} \gg \underline{b}$], p_0, c]

[H11 $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \vdash \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \vdash \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \vdash \underline{c}$]

[H11 $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \vdash \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \vdash \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \vdash \text{Cor1.10a} \triangleright \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \triangleright \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \gg \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{c}$; Lem1.11g $\triangleright \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \triangleright \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \gg \underline{c}$], p_0, c]

Litteratur

- [Gru06] K. Grue. A logiweb base page. Technical report, Logiweb, 2006.
<http://www.diku.dk/cgi-bin/cginetd/grue/relay/go/01693B66F0C393B695F3F15770898897A683141109C083AC838ADCA20806/2/>.
- [Men97] E. Mendelson. *Introduction to Mathematical Logic*. Chapman & Hall, 4. edition, 1997.