

# projekt i logik

Maja Hanne Tønnesen, Rune Christoffer Kildetoft Andresen  
& Niels Peter Meyn Milthers

26. juni 2006

## Indhold

|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| <b>1 Introduction</b>  | <b>1</b>  |
| <b>2 Proof of Prop 3.2</b>   | <b>2</b>  |
| <b>3 Proof of Prop 3.4</b>   | <b>5</b>  |
| <b>4 Numerals</b>  | <b>7</b>  |
| <b>5 Lemma Prop 3.7</b>  | <b>8</b>  |
| 5.1 Definitions of $\neg\forall_{\text{obj}}z: \neg\neg\neg z = 0 \Rightarrow z + \bar{x} = \bar{y}$ . . . . . | 8         |
| 5.2 proof of Prop 3.7 . . . . .  | 10        |
| <b>6 Lemma Prop 3.10</b>   | <b>10</b> |
| <b>7 Lemma Prop 3.11</b>   | <b>11</b> |
| <b>A Helping lemmas</b>  | <b>11</b> |

## Resumé

## 1 Introduction

In this paper we will prove the propositions regarding S starting with proposition Prop 3.2i in mendelson [Men97]. Continuing to Prop 3.4 defining numerals needed to prove Prop 3.5 . then we will define rules regarding  $\neg\forall_{\text{obj}}z: \neg\neg\neg z = 0 \Rightarrow z + \bar{x} = \bar{y}$  needed to prove Prop 3.7 . after that we will define  $\neg\forall_{\text{obj}}z: \neg\bar{y} = \bar{x} \cdot z$  needed to prove Prop 3.10 , and finally we will prove Prop 3.11.

## 2 Proof of Prop 3.2

A modified version of Mendelsons system S (Peano arithmetic) [Men97] may be formulated thus:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [S \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} x] \quad [MP \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: a \Rightarrow b \vdash a \vdash b] [MP \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}] \\
 & [Gen \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall x: \forall a: a \vdash \forall_{\text{obj}x} a] [Ded \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}] \vdash \lambda x. \text{Ded}_0([\underline{a}], [\underline{b}]) \vdash a \vdash b [Ded \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}] \\
 & [S2 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: a = b \vdash a' = b'] [S2 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}] \\
 & [S3 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall a: -0 = a'] [S3 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}] [S4 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: a' = b' \vdash a = b] [S4 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}] \\
 & [S5 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall a: a + 0 = a] [S5 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}] [S6 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: a + b' = a + b] [S6 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}] \\
 & [S7 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall a: a \cdot 0 = 0] [S7 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}] [S8 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: a \cdot b' = a \cdot b + a] [S8 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}] \\
 & [Neg \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: \neg b \Rightarrow \neg a \vdash \neg b \Rightarrow a \vdash b] [Neg \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}] \\
 & [S1 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: \forall c: a = b \vdash a = c \vdash b = c] [S1 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}] \\
 & [S9 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall x: \forall a: \forall b: \forall c: \langle [b] \equiv^0 [a] \mid [x] := [0] \rangle \vdash \langle [c] \equiv^0 [a] \mid [x] := [x'] \rangle \vdash b \vdash a \Rightarrow c \vdash a] [S9 \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \text{Rule tactic}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Mendelson [Men97] defines a set of lemma's

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [\text{Prop 3.2a} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall a: a = a] \\
 & [\text{Prop 3.2b} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: a = b \vdash b = a] \\
 & [\text{Prop 3.2c} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: \forall c: a = b \vdash b = c \vdash a = c] \\
 & [\text{Prop 3.2d} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: \forall c: a = c \vdash b = c \vdash a = b] \\
 & [\text{Prop 3.2e} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: \forall c: a = b \vdash a + c = b + c] \\
 & [\text{Prop 3.2f} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall a: a = 0 + a] \\
 & [\text{Prop 3.2g} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: a' + b = a + b'] \\
 & [\text{Prop 3.2h} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: a + b = b + a]
 \end{aligned}$$









[Prop 3.4c<sub>2</sub>  $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$   $\lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: \forall c: \forall a: \forall b: \forall c: a \cdot b \cdot c = a \cdot b \cdot c \vdash S8 \gg a \cdot b \cdot c' = a \cdot b \cdot c + a \cdot b; \text{Prop } 3.2e \triangleright a \cdot b \cdot c = a \cdot b \cdot c \gg a \cdot b \cdot c + a \cdot b = a \cdot b \cdot c + a \cdot b; \text{Prop } 3.2c \triangleright a \cdot b \cdot c' = a \cdot b \cdot c + a \cdot b \triangleright a \cdot b \cdot c + a \cdot b = a \cdot b \cdot c + a \cdot b \gg a \cdot b \cdot c' = a \cdot b \cdot c + a \cdot b; \text{Prop } 3.4a \gg a \cdot b \cdot c + b = a \cdot b \cdot c + a \cdot b; \text{Prop } 3.2d \triangleright a \cdot b \cdot c' = a \cdot b \cdot c + a \cdot b \triangleright a \cdot b \cdot c + b = a \cdot b \cdot c + a \cdot b \gg a \cdot b \cdot c' = a \cdot b \cdot c + b; S8 \gg b \cdot c' = b \cdot c + b; \text{Prop } 3.2o \triangleright b \cdot c' = b \cdot c + b \gg a \cdot b \cdot c' = a \cdot b \cdot c + b; \text{Prop } 3.2d \triangleright a \cdot b \cdot c' = a \cdot b \cdot c + b \triangleright a \cdot b \cdot c' = a \cdot b \cdot c + b \gg a \cdot b \cdot c' = a \cdot b \cdot c'; \text{Ded} \triangleright \forall a: \forall b: \forall c: a \cdot b \cdot c = a \cdot b \cdot c \vdash a \cdot b \cdot c' = a \cdot b \cdot c' \gg a \cdot b \cdot c = a \cdot b \cdot c \Rightarrow a \cdot b \cdot c' = a \cdot b \cdot c']$ , p<sub>0</sub>, c)]

[Prop 3.4c  $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$   $\lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: \forall c: \text{Prop } 3.4c_1 \gg \bar{x} \cdot \bar{y} \cdot 0 = \bar{x} \cdot \bar{y} \cdot 0; \text{Prop } 3.4c_2 \gg \bar{x} \cdot \bar{y} \cdot \bar{z} = \bar{x} \cdot \bar{y} \cdot \bar{z} \Rightarrow \bar{x} \cdot \bar{y} \cdot \bar{z}' = \bar{x} \cdot \bar{y} \cdot \bar{z}'; S9 @ \bar{z} \triangleright \bar{x} \cdot \bar{y} \cdot 0 = \bar{x} \cdot \bar{y} \cdot 0 \triangleright \bar{x} \cdot \bar{y} \cdot \bar{z} = \bar{x} \cdot \bar{y} \cdot \bar{z} \Rightarrow \bar{x} \cdot \bar{y} \cdot \bar{z}' = \bar{x} \cdot \bar{y} \cdot \bar{z}' \gg \bar{x} \cdot \bar{y} \cdot \bar{z} = \bar{x} \cdot \bar{y} \cdot \bar{z}; \text{Ded} \triangleright \bar{x} \cdot \bar{y} \cdot \bar{z} = \bar{x} \cdot \bar{y} \cdot \bar{z} \gg a \cdot b \cdot c = a \cdot b \cdot c], p_0, c)]$

[Prop 3.4d<sub>1</sub>  $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$   $S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: a + 0 = b + 0 \Rightarrow a = b]$

[Prop 3.4d<sub>1</sub>  $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$   $\lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: \forall a: \forall b: a + 0 = b + 0 \vdash S5 \gg a + 0 = a; S5 \gg b + 0 = b; S1 \triangleright a + 0 = a \triangleright a + 0 = b + 0 \gg a = b + 0; \text{Prop } 3.2c \triangleright a = b + 0 \triangleright b + 0 = b \gg a = b; \text{Ded} \triangleright \forall a: \forall b: a + 0 = b + 0 \vdash a = b \gg a + 0 = b + 0 \Rightarrow a = b], p_0, c)]$

[Prop 3.4d<sub>2</sub>  $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$   $S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: \forall c: a + c = b + c \Rightarrow a = b \Rightarrow a + c' = b + c' \Rightarrow a = b]$

[Prop 3.4d<sub>2</sub>  $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$   $\lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: \forall c: \forall a: \forall b: \forall c: a + c = b + c \Rightarrow a = b \vdash a + c' = b + c' \vdash S6 \gg a + c' = a + c'; S6 \gg b + c' = b + c'; S1 \triangleright a + c' = a + c' \triangleright a + c' = b + c' \gg a + c' = b + c'; \text{Prop } 3.2c \triangleright a + c' = b + c' \triangleright b + c' = b + c' \gg a + c' = b + c'; S4 \triangleright a + c' = b + c' \gg a + c = b + c; \text{MP} \triangleright a + c = b + c \Rightarrow a = b \triangleright a + c = b + c \gg a = b; \text{Ded} \triangleright \forall a: \forall b: \forall c: a + c = b + c \Rightarrow a = b \vdash a + c' = b + c' \vdash a = b \gg a + c = b + c \Rightarrow a = b \Rightarrow a + c' = b + c' \Rightarrow a = b], p_0, c)]$

[Prop 3.4d  $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$   $\lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: \forall c: \text{Prop } 3.4d_1 \gg \bar{x} + 0 = \bar{y} + 0 \Rightarrow \bar{x} = \bar{y}; \text{Prop } 3.4d_2 \gg \bar{x} + \bar{z} = \bar{y} + \bar{z} \Rightarrow \bar{x} = \bar{y} \Rightarrow \bar{x} + \bar{z}' = \bar{y} + \bar{z}' \Rightarrow \bar{x} = \bar{y}; S9 @ \bar{z} \triangleright \bar{x} + 0 = \bar{y} + 0 \Rightarrow \bar{x} = \bar{y} \triangleright \bar{x} + \bar{z} = \bar{y} + \bar{z} \Rightarrow \bar{x} = \bar{y} \Rightarrow \bar{x} + \bar{z}' = \bar{y} + \bar{z}' \Rightarrow \bar{x} = \bar{y} \gg \bar{x} + \bar{z} = \bar{y} + \bar{z} \Rightarrow \bar{x} = \bar{y}; \text{Ded} \triangleright \bar{x} + \bar{z} = \bar{y} + \bar{z} \Rightarrow \bar{x} = \bar{y} \gg a + c = b + c \Rightarrow a = b], p_0, c)]$

## 4 Numerals

We will for the remainder of the project need to construct some numerals, the numerals are the names for 0, 0', 0'' ... we will define them as follows 0, 0', 0'' ... With these definitions we will now continue to prove Prop 3.5 that states different things about numerals.

[Prop 3.5a  $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$   $S \vdash \forall a: a + 0' = a']$

[Prop 3.5b  $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$   $S \vdash \forall a: a \cdot 0' = a]$

[Prop 3.5c  $\xrightarrow{\text{stmt}}$   $S \vdash \forall a: a \cdot 0'' = a + a]$

$$[\text{Prop 3.5d} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} + \underline{b} = 0 \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a} = 0 \Rightarrow \underline{b} = 0]$$

$$[\text{Prop 3.5e} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \neg \underline{a} = 0 \Rightarrow \underline{b} \cdot \underline{a} = 0 \Rightarrow \underline{b} = 0]$$

$$[\text{Prop 3.5f} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} + \underline{b} = 0' \Rightarrow \neg \neg \underline{a} = 0 \Rightarrow \underline{b} = 0' \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a} = 0' \Rightarrow \underline{b} = 0]$$

$$[\text{Prop 3.5g} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} \cdot \underline{b} = 0' \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a} = 0' \Rightarrow \underline{b} = 0']$$

$$[\text{Prop 3.5h} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \neg \underline{a} = 0 \Rightarrow \neg \forall_{\text{obj}} \underline{b}: \neg \underline{a} = \underline{b}']$$

$$[\text{Prop 3.5i} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \neg \underline{c} = 0 \Rightarrow \underline{a} \cdot \underline{c} = \underline{b} \cdot \underline{c} \Rightarrow \underline{a} = \underline{b}]$$

$$[\text{Prop 3.5j} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \neg \underline{a} = 0 \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a} = 0' \Rightarrow \neg \forall_{\text{obj}} \underline{b}: \neg \underline{a} = \underline{b}'']$$

$$[\text{Prop 3.5a} \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([\text{S} \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \text{S6} \gg \underline{a} + 0' = \underline{a} + 0'; \text{S5} \gg \underline{a} + 0 = \underline{a}; \text{S2} \triangleright \underline{a} + 0 = \underline{a} \gg \underline{a} + 0' = \underline{a}'; \text{Prop 3.2c} \triangleright \underline{a} + 0' = \underline{a} + 0' \triangleright \underline{a} + 0' = \underline{a}' \gg \underline{a} + 0' = \underline{a}'; \text{Prop 3.2a} \gg \underline{a} + 0' = \underline{a} + 0'; \text{S1} \triangleright \underline{a} + 0' = \underline{a} + 0' \triangleright \underline{a} + 0' = \underline{a}' \gg \underline{a} + 0' = \underline{a}'], p_0, c)]$$

$$[\text{Prop 3.5b} \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([\text{S} \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \text{S8} \gg \underline{a} \cdot 0' = \underline{a} \cdot 0 + \underline{a}; \text{S7} \gg \underline{a} \cdot 0 = 0; \text{Prop 3.2e} \triangleright \underline{a} \cdot 0 = 0 \gg \underline{a} \cdot 0 + \underline{a} = 0 + \underline{a}; \text{Prop 3.2c} \triangleright \underline{a} \cdot 0' = \underline{a} \cdot 0 + \underline{a} \triangleright \underline{a} \cdot 0 + \underline{a} = 0 + \underline{a} \gg \underline{a} \cdot 0' = 0 + \underline{a}; \text{Prop 3.2f} \gg \underline{a} = 0 + \underline{a}; \text{Prop 3.2b} \triangleright \underline{a} = 0 + \underline{a} \gg 0 + \underline{a} = \underline{a}; \text{Prop 3.2c} \triangleright \underline{a} \cdot 0' = 0 + \underline{a} \triangleright 0 + \underline{a} = \underline{a} \gg \underline{a} \cdot 0' = \underline{a}; \text{Prop 3.2a} \gg \underline{a} \cdot 0' = \underline{a} \cdot 0'; \text{S1} \triangleright \underline{a} \cdot 0' = \underline{a} \cdot 0' \triangleright \underline{a} \cdot 0' = \underline{a} \gg \underline{a} \cdot 0' = \underline{a}'], p_0, c)]$$

$$[\text{Prop 3.5c} \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} \lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([\text{S} \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \text{S8} \gg \underline{a} \cdot 0'' = \underline{a} \cdot 0' + \underline{a}; \text{Prop 3.5b} \gg \underline{a} \cdot 0' = \underline{a}; \text{Prop 3.2e} \triangleright \underline{a} \cdot 0' = \underline{a} \gg \underline{a} \cdot 0' + \underline{a} = \underline{a} + \underline{a}; \text{Prop 3.2c} \triangleright \underline{a} \cdot 0'' = \underline{a} \cdot 0' + \underline{a} \triangleright \underline{a} \cdot 0' + \underline{a} = \underline{a} + \underline{a} \gg \underline{a} \cdot 0'' = \underline{a} + \underline{a}; \text{Prop 3.2a} \gg \underline{a} \cdot 0'' = \underline{a} \cdot 0''; \text{S1} \triangleright \underline{a} \cdot 0'' = \underline{a} \cdot 0'' \triangleright \underline{a} \cdot 0'' = \underline{a} + \underline{a} \gg \underline{a} \cdot 0'' = \underline{a} + \underline{a}'], p_0, c)]$$

$$[\text{Prop 3.5d}_1 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \underline{a} + 0 \Rightarrow \underline{a} = 0 \wedge 0 = 0]$$

$$[\text{Prop 3.5d}_2 \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} + \underline{b} = 0 \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a} = 0 \Rightarrow \underline{b} = 0 \Rightarrow \underline{a} + \underline{b}' = 0 \Rightarrow \neg \underline{a} = 0 \Rightarrow \underline{b}' = 0]$$

## 5 Lemma Prop 3.7

### 5.1 Definitions of $\neg \forall_{\text{obj}} z: \neg \neg \neg z = 0 \Rightarrow z + \bar{x} = \bar{y}$

in the following we need some definitions, we will macro define them as:

$$[x < y \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4(t, s, c, [[x < y \doteq \exists z: (z \neq 0 \wedge z + x = y)])])$$

$$[x \leq y \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4(t, s, c, [[x \leq y \doteq x < y \vee x = y]])]$$

$$[x > y \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4(t, s, c, [[x > y \doteq y < x]])]$$

$$[x \geq y \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4(t, s, c, [[x \geq y \doteq y \leq x]])]$$

$$[x \not< y \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4(t, s, c, [[x \not< y \doteq \neg(x < y)])])$$

$$[x \not\leq y \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4(t, s, c, [[x \not\leq y \doteq y \not< x]])]$$

$$[x | y \xrightarrow{\text{macro}} \lambda t. \lambda s. \lambda c. \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_4(t, s, c, [[x | y \doteq \exists z: y = x \cdot z]])]$$







$$[\text{Prop 3.10c} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \neg \forall_{\text{obj}z}: \neg 0 = \forall \underline{a}: \underline{a} \cdot z]$$

$$[\text{Prop 3.10d} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \neg \forall_{\text{obj}z}: \neg \underline{c} = \neg \forall_{\text{obj}z}: \neg \underline{c} \Rightarrow \underline{a} = \neg \forall_{\text{obj}z}: \neg \underline{b} \wedge \underline{b} = \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \underline{a} \cdot z \cdot z \cdot z]$$

$$[\text{Prop 3.10e} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \neg \forall_{\text{obj}z}: \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg \neg \forall_{\text{obj}z}: \neg \neg \neg z = 0 \Rightarrow z + \underline{a} = \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{a} = \underline{a} = \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \neg \neg \underline{a} = 0 \Rightarrow \underline{b} \cdot z]$$

$$[\text{Prop 3.10f} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \neg \forall_{\text{obj}z}: \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{a} = \underline{b} = \neg \forall_{\text{obj}z}: \neg \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{b} = \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} \cdot z \cdot z]$$

$$[\text{Prop 3.10g} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \neg \forall_{\text{obj}z}: \neg \underline{b} \cdot \underline{c} = \neg \forall_{\text{obj}z}: \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} = \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \underline{a} \cdot z \cdot z]$$

$$[\text{Prop 3.10h} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \neg \forall_{\text{obj}z}: \neg \underline{b} + \underline{c} = \neg \forall_{\text{obj}z}: \neg \underline{c} \Rightarrow \underline{a} = \neg \forall_{\text{obj}z}: \neg \underline{b} \wedge \underline{a} = \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \underline{a} \cdot z \cdot z \cdot z]$$

## 7 Lemma Prop 3.11

$$[\text{Prop 3.11} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \neg \underline{a} = 0 \Rightarrow \neg \forall_{\text{obj}z}: \forall_{\text{obj}d}: \neg \neg \underline{b} = \underline{a} \cdot \underline{c} + \underline{d} \Rightarrow \neg \forall_{\text{obj}z}: \neg \neg z = 0 \Rightarrow z + \underline{d} = \underline{a} \Rightarrow \forall_{\text{obj}e}: \forall_{\text{obj}f}: \neg \underline{b} = \underline{a} \cdot \underline{e} + \underline{f} \Rightarrow \neg \forall_{\text{obj}z}: \neg \neg z = 0 \Rightarrow z + \underline{f} = \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{c} = \underline{e} \Rightarrow \underline{d} = \underline{f}]$$

## A Helping lemmas

for some of our proofs we need to prove some lemmas concerning  $\wedge$  and  $\vee$  these will be proved here.

$$[\text{T0} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b}]$$

$$[\text{T1} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b}]$$

$$[\text{H0a} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \vdash \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \vdash \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{c}]$$

$$[\text{H0b} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \forall \underline{c}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \vdash \underline{b} \vdash \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{c}]$$

$$[\text{H1} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \neg \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{a}]$$

$$[\text{H2} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \neg \underline{a}]$$

$$[\text{Con1} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \vdash \underline{a}]$$

$$[\text{Con2} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \vdash \underline{b}]$$

$$[\text{Con} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} \vdash \underline{b} \vdash \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b}]$$

$$[\text{Dis1} \xrightarrow{\text{stmt}} S \vdash \forall \underline{a}: \forall \underline{b}: \underline{a} \vdash \neg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b}]$$

[Dis2  $\xrightarrow{\text{stnt}}$   $S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: \underline{b} \vdash \neg a \Rightarrow \underline{b}$ ]

[T0  $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$   $\lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: \forall a: \forall b: \underline{b} \vdash \forall a: \forall b: \underline{a} \vdash \text{Repetition} \triangleright \underline{b} \gg \underline{b}; \text{Ded} \triangleright \forall a: \forall b: \underline{a} \vdash \underline{b} \gg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b}; \text{Ded} \triangleright \forall a: \forall b: \underline{b} \vdash \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \gg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b}], p_0, c)$ ]

[T1  $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$   $\lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: \forall a: \forall b: \neg a \vdash \forall a: \forall b: \underline{a} \vdash \text{Repetition} \triangleright \neg a \gg \neg a; T0 \gg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a}; \text{MP} \triangleright \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \triangleright \underline{a} \gg \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a}; T0 \gg \neg a \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg a; \text{MP} \triangleright \neg a \Rightarrow \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg a \triangleright \neg a \gg \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg a; \text{Neg} \triangleright \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \neg a \triangleright \neg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \gg \underline{b}; \text{Ded} \triangleright \forall a: \forall b: \underline{a} \vdash \underline{b} \gg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b}; \text{Ded} \triangleright \forall a: \forall b: \neg a \vdash \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \gg \neg a \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b}], p_0, c)$ ]

[H0a  $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$   $\lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: \forall c: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \vdash \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \vdash \forall a: \forall b: \forall c: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \vdash \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \vdash \underline{a} \vdash \text{MP} \triangleright \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \triangleright \underline{a} \gg \underline{b}; \text{MP} \triangleright \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \triangleright \underline{b} \gg \underline{c}; \text{Ded} \triangleright \forall a: \forall b: \forall c: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \vdash \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \vdash \underline{a} \vdash \underline{c} \gg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{c}; \text{MP} \triangleright \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \triangleright \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \gg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{c}; \text{MP} \triangleright \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \triangleright \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \gg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{c}], p_0, c)$ ]

[H0b  $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$   $\lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([S \vdash \forall a: \forall b: \forall c: \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \vdash \underline{b} \vdash A2' \gg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{c}; \text{MP} \triangleright \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \triangleright \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \gg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{c}; T0 \gg \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b}; \text{MP} \triangleright \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \triangleright \underline{b} \gg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b}; \text{MP} \triangleright \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{c} \triangleright \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{b} \gg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \underline{c}], p_0, c)$ ]

[H1  $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$   $\lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([S \vdash \forall a: \text{Neg} \gg \neg a \Rightarrow \neg \neg a \Rightarrow \neg a \Rightarrow \neg a \Rightarrow \underline{a}; \text{Repetition} \gg \neg a \Rightarrow \neg a; \text{H0b} \triangleright \neg a \Rightarrow \neg \neg a \Rightarrow \neg a \Rightarrow \neg a \Rightarrow \underline{a} \triangleright \neg a \Rightarrow \neg a \gg \neg a \Rightarrow \neg \neg a \Rightarrow \underline{a}; A1' \gg \neg \neg a \Rightarrow \neg a \Rightarrow \neg \neg a; \text{H0a} \triangleright \neg \neg a \Rightarrow \neg a \Rightarrow \neg \neg a \triangleright \neg a \Rightarrow \neg \neg a \Rightarrow \underline{a} \gg \neg \neg a \Rightarrow \underline{a}], p_0, c)$ ]

[H2  $\xrightarrow{\text{proof}}$   $\lambda c. \lambda x. \mathcal{P}([S \vdash \forall a: \text{Neg} \gg \neg \neg \neg a \Rightarrow \neg a \Rightarrow \neg \neg \neg a \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \neg a; \text{H1} \gg \neg \neg \neg a \Rightarrow \neg a; \text{MP} \triangleright \neg \neg \neg a \Rightarrow \neg a \Rightarrow \neg \neg \neg a \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \neg \neg a \triangleright \neg \neg \neg a \Rightarrow \neg a \gg \neg \neg \neg a \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \neg a; A1' \gg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \neg \neg a \Rightarrow \underline{a}; \text{H1} \triangleright \neg \neg \neg a \Rightarrow \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \neg a \triangleright \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \neg \neg a \Rightarrow \underline{a} \gg \underline{a} \Rightarrow \neg \neg a], p_0, c)$ ]

## Litteratur

- [Gru06] K. Grue. A logiweb base page. Technical report, Logiweb, 2006.  
<http://www.diku.dk/cgi-bin/cginetd/grue/relay/go/01693B66F0C393B695F3F15770898897A683141109C083AC838ADCA20806/2/>.
- [Men97] E. Mendelson. *Introduction to Mathematical Logic*. Chapman & Hall, 4. edition, 1997.