

Udvidelse af S-reglerne

Maja Hanne Tønnesen, Rune Christoffer Kildetoft Andresen
& Niels Peter Meyn Milthers

30. juni 2006

Indhold

1	Introduktion	2
2	Konklusion	2
3	S-reglerne	3
4	Udsagn 3.2	4
4.1	3.2j	5
4.2	3.2k	6
4.3	3.2l	7
4.4	3.2m	8
4.5	3.2n	9
4.6	3.2o	10
5	Udsagn 3.4	10
5.1	3.4a	10
5.2	3.4b	12
5.3	3.4c	12
5.4	3.4d	13
6	Udsagn 3.5	14
6.1	Definitioner i forbindelse med \wedge og \vee	15
6.1.1	Introduktion af \wedge	15
6.1.2	Elimination af \wedge 1	16
6.1.3	Elimination af \wedge 2	16
6.1.4	Introduktion af \vee 1	16
6.1.5	Introduktion af \vee 2	17
6.1.6	Elimination af \vee	17
6.2	Andre hjælpesætninger	17
6.2.1	$\mathcal{A} \neq \mathcal{B}$	17
6.2.2	$\exists x$	17
6.3	3.5a	18

6.4	3.5b	18
6.5	3.5c	19
6.6	3.5d	19
6.7	3.5e	20
6.8	3.5f	22
6.9	3.5g	23
6.10	3.5h	26
7	Udsagn 3.7	27
7.1	Definitioner af $x < y$	27
A	Hjælpelemmaer	28
A.1	Liste over hjælpelemmaer	28
A.2	Beviser for hjælpelemmaer	28

1 Introduktion

Dette skriftlige projekt er lavet i forbindelse med Logik-kurset 2006¹.

Vores mål i denne opgave var at bruge Logiweb² til at bevise en delmængde af udsagnene³ vedrørende læresætningerne⁴ fra S-systemet, som er beskrevet i Mendelson [Men97] i kapitel 3.1. For lethedens skyld er alle nummereringer de samme som i Mendelson.

Mere præcist går opgaven ud på at bevise 3.2(j-o), bevise 3.4, bevise 3.5, tilføje aksiomet $x < y \Leftrightarrow \exists z : z \neq 0 \wedge z + x = y$, bevise 3.7, tilføje aksiomer, der definerer $x \mid y$, bevise 3.10 og bevise 3.11.

I afsnittene 3 til 7 er beviserne for de påviste lemmaer gennemgået. Alle trivielle hjælpelemmaer er bevist i appendix A.

2 Konklusion

Vi har ikke løst opgaven til fulde, men har dog formået at bevise 3.2j-o, 3.4, 3.5a-g. På grund af problemer med definitionen af reglen svarende til “existensial rule” side 77 i Mendelson, har vi som beskrevet i afsnit 6 ikke været i stand til at kunne bevise lemmaerne fra 3.5h og frem.

Vi har dog som beskrevet i afsnit 6.10 og 6.2.2 gennemgået hvordan beviserne for hhv. 3.5h og “existensial rule” ville have set ud, hvis problemet ikke var opstået.

Vi har endvidere kort gennemgået de definitioner, som ville være nødvendige for at kunne påvise Lemma 3.7

¹Kursus 061004/202 Logik

²<http://logiweb.eu>

³Eng.: proposition

⁴Eng.: theorem

4 Udsagn 3.2

Dette udsagn indeholder de grundlæggende regneregler for tal, som opfører sig som de naturlige tal. Hjælpesætningerne eller lemmaerne er generelt set en direkte konsekvens af aksiomerne.

Alle lemmaerne i dette afsnit kan udledes ud fra de tidligere nævnte og som det er bevist i både check [Gru06] og Mendelson er der for ethvert udtryk $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$ følgende velformulerede sætninger⁵ i systemet S:

[S lemma Prop 3.2a: $\Pi \mathcal{A}: \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}$]

[S lemma Prop 3.2b: $\Pi \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}: \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \vdash \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}$]

[S lemma Prop 3.2c: $\Pi \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}: \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \vdash \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{C} \vdash \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{C}$]

[S lemma Prop 3.2d: $\Pi \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}: \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{C} \vdash \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{C} \vdash \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$]

[S lemma Prop 3.2e: $\Pi \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}: \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \vdash \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{C}$]

[S lemma Prop 3.2f: $\Pi \mathcal{A}: \mathcal{A} = 0 + \mathcal{A}$]

[S lemma Prop 3.2g: $\Pi \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}: \mathcal{A}' + \mathcal{B} = (\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B})'$]

[S lemma Prop 3.2h: $\Pi \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}: \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{A}$]

Da 3.2i ikke er bevist i check, (men er i Mendelson), har vi valgt for øvelsens skyld at indskrive beviset:

[S lemma Prop 3.2i: $\Pi \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}: \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \vdash \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{B}$]

S **proof of** Prop 3.2i:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	$\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$;
L02:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L03:	Arbitrary \gg	$\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$;
L04:	Premise \gg	$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$;
L05:	Prop 3.2e \triangleright L04 \gg	$\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{C}$;
L06:	Prop 3.2h \gg	$\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{A}$;
L07:	Prop 3.2h \gg	$\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{B}$;
L08:	S1 \triangleright L05 \triangleright L06 \gg	$\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{A}$;
L09:	Prop 3.2b \triangleright L08 \gg	$\mathcal{C} + \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{C}$;
L10:	Prop 3.2c \triangleright L09 \triangleright L07 \gg	$\mathcal{C} + \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{B}$;
L11:	Block \gg	End	;
L12:	Ded \triangleright L11 \gg	$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \vdash \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{B}$	□

De følgende lemmaer er opskrevet, men ikke bevist i Mendelson. Disse er blandt dem som vi ønsker at bevise.

⁵Eng.: Wellformed formulas

[S lemma Prop 3.2j: $\Pi A, B, C: (A + B) + C = A + (B + C)$]

[S lemma Prop 3.2k: $\Pi A, B, C: A = B \vdash A \cdot C = B \cdot C$]

[S lemma Prop 3.2l: $\Pi A: 0 \cdot A = 0$]

[S lemma Prop 3.2m: $\Pi A, B: A' \cdot B = A \cdot B + B$]

[S lemma Prop 3.2n: $\Pi A, B: A \cdot B = B \cdot A$]

[S lemma Prop 3.2o: $\Pi A, B, C: A = B \vdash C \cdot A = C \cdot B$]

Her efter følger beviserne.

4.1 3.2j

Vi vil bevise 3.2j ved induktion efter z i $B(z): (x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$

Basistilfældet er:

[S lemma Prop 3.2j₁: $\Pi A, B: (A + B) + 0 = A + (B + 0)$]

S proof of Prop 3.2j₁:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	A, B	;
L02:	S5 \gg	$(A + B) + 0 = A + B$;
L03:	S5 \gg	$B + 0 = B$;
L04:	Prop 3.2i \triangleright L03 \gg	$A + (B + 0) = A + B$;
L05:	Prop 3.2d \triangleright L02 \triangleright L04 \gg	$(A + B) + 0 = A + (B + 0)$	□

Det induktive trin er:

[S lemma Prop 3.2j₂: $\Pi A, B, C: (A + B) + C = A + (B + C) \Rightarrow (A + B) + C' = A + (B + C')$]

S proof of Prop 3.2j₂:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	A, B, C	;
L02:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L03:	Arbitrary \gg	A, B, C	;
L04:	Premise \gg	$(A + B) + C = A + (B + C)$;
L05:	S6 \gg	$(A + B) + C' = ((A + B) + C)'$;
L06:	S2 \triangleright L04 \gg	$((A + B) + C)' = (A + (B + C))'$;
L07:	Prop 3.2c \triangleright L05 \triangleright L06 \gg	$(A + B) + C' = (A + (B + C))'$;
L08:	S6 \gg	$B + C' = (B + C)'$;
L09:	Prop 3.2i \triangleright L08 \gg	$A + (B + C') = A + (B + C)'$;
L10:	S6 \gg	$A + (B + C)' = (A + (B + C))'$;
L11:	Prop 3.2c \triangleright L09 \triangleright L10 \gg	$A + (B + C') = (A + (B + C))'$;
L12:	Prop 3.2d \triangleright L07 \triangleright L11 \gg	$(A + B) + C' = A + (B + C)'$;
L13:	Block \gg	End	;
L14:	Ded \triangleright L13 \gg	$(A + B) + C = A + (B + C) \Rightarrow$ $(A + B) + C' = A + (B + C)'$	□

[S lemma Prop 3.2j: $\Pi A, B, C: (A + B) + C = A + (B + C)$]

S proof of Prop 3.2j:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	A, B, C	;
L02:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L03:	Prop 3.2j ₁ \gg	$(x + y) + 0 = x + (y + 0)$;
L04:	Prop 3.2j ₂ \gg	$(x + y) + z = x + (y + z) \Rightarrow$ $(x + y) + z' = x + (y + z')$;
L05:	S9 @ z \triangleright L03 \triangleright L04 \gg	$(x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$;
L06:	Block \gg	End	;
L07:	Ded \triangleright L06 \gg	$(A + B) + C = A + (B + C)$	□

4.2 3.2k

Vi vil bevise 3.2k ved induktion over z i $B(z) : x = y \Rightarrow x \cdot z = y \cdot z$

Basistilfældet er:

[S lemma Prop 3.2k₁: $\Pi A, B: A = B \Rightarrow A \cdot 0 = B \cdot 0$]

S proof of Prop 3.2k₁:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	A, B	;
L02:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L03:	Arbitrary \gg	A, B	;
L04:	Premise \gg	$A = B$;
L05:	S7 \gg	$A \cdot 0 = 0$;
L06:	S7 \gg	$B \cdot 0 = 0$;
L07:	Prop 3.2a \gg	$0 = 0$;
L08:	Prop 3.2b \triangleright L06 \gg	$0 = B \cdot 0$;
L09:	Prop 3.2c \triangleright L05 \triangleright L08 \gg	$A \cdot 0 = B \cdot 0$;
L10:	Block \gg	End	;
L11:	Ded \triangleright L10 \gg	$A = B \Rightarrow A \cdot 0 = B \cdot 0$	□

Det induktive trin:

[S lemma Prop 3.2k₂: $\Pi A, B, C: (A = B \Rightarrow A \cdot C = B \cdot C) \Rightarrow (A = B \Rightarrow A \cdot C' = B \cdot C')$]

S proof of Prop 3.2k₂:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	A, B, C	;
L02:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L03:	Arbitrary \gg	A, B, C	;
L04:	Premise \gg	$A = B \Rightarrow A \cdot C = B \cdot C$;
L05:	Premise \gg	$A = B$;
L06:	L04 \triangleright L05 \gg	$A \cdot C = B \cdot C$;
L07:	S8 \gg	$A \cdot C' = A \cdot C + A$;
L08:	S8 \gg	$B \cdot C' = B \cdot C + B$;
L09:	Prop 3.2e \triangleright L06 \gg	$(A \cdot C) + A = (B \cdot C) + A$;
L10:	Prop 3.2i \triangleright L05 \gg	$(B \cdot C) + A = (B \cdot C) + B$;

L11:	Prop 3.2c \triangleright L09 \triangleright L10 \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{B}$;
L12:	Prop 3.2c \triangleright L07 \triangleright L11 \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{B}$;
L13:	Prop 3.2d \triangleright L12 \triangleright L08 \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{C}'$;
L14:	Block \gg	End	;
L15:	Ded \triangleright L14 \gg	$(\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{C}) \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{C}')$	□

[S lemma Prop 3.2k: $\Pi \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} : \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \vdash \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{C}$]

S proof of Prop 3.2k:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	$\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$;
L02:	Premise \gg	$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$;
L03:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L04:	Prop 3.2k ₁ \gg	$x = y \Rightarrow x \cdot 0 = y \cdot 0$;
L05:	Prop 3.2k ₂ \gg	$(x = y \Rightarrow x \cdot z = y \cdot z) \Rightarrow (x = y \Rightarrow x \cdot z' = y \cdot z')$;
L06:	S9@z \triangleright L04 \triangleright L05 \gg	$x = y \Rightarrow x \cdot z = y \cdot z$;
L07:	Block \gg	End	;
L08:	Ded \triangleright L07 \gg	$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{C}$;
L09:	L08 \triangleright L02 \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{C}$	□

4.3 3.21

Vi vil bevise 3.21 ved induktion over x i $B(x) : 0 \cdot x = 0$

Bemærk at basistilfældet blot er S7.

Det induktive trin:

[S lemma Prop 3.2l₂: $\Pi \mathcal{A} : 0 \cdot \mathcal{A} = 0 \Rightarrow 0 \cdot \mathcal{A}' = 0$]

S proof of Prop 3.2l₂:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}	;
L02:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L03:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}	;
L04:	Premise \gg	$0 \cdot \mathcal{A} = 0$;
L05:	S8 \gg	$0 \cdot \mathcal{A}' = 0 \cdot \mathcal{A} + 0$;
L06:	S5 \gg	$0 \cdot \mathcal{A} + 0 = 0 \cdot \mathcal{A}$;
L07:	Prop 3.2c \triangleright L05 \triangleright L06 \gg	$0 \cdot \mathcal{A}' = 0 \cdot \mathcal{A}$;
L08:	Prop 3.2c \triangleright L07 \triangleright L04 \gg	$0 \cdot \mathcal{A}' = 0$;
L09:	Block \gg	End	;
L10:	Ded \triangleright L09 \gg	$0 \cdot \mathcal{A} = 0 \Rightarrow 0 \cdot \mathcal{A}' = 0$	□

[S lemma Prop 3.2l: $\Pi \mathcal{A} : 0 \cdot \mathcal{A} = 0$]

S proof of Prop 3.2l:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}	;
L02:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L03:	S7 \gg	$0 \cdot 0 = 0$;
L04:	Prop 3.2l ₂ \gg	$0 \cdot x = 0 \Rightarrow 0 \cdot x' = 0$;

L05:	$S9 @ x \triangleright L03 \triangleright L04 \gg$	$0 \cdot x = 0$;
L06:	Block \gg	End	;
L07:	Ded $\triangleright L06 \gg$	$0 \cdot \mathcal{A} = 0$	□

4.4 3.2m

Vi vil bevise 3.2m ved induktion over y i $B(y) : x' \cdot y = x \cdot y + x$
 Basistilfældet:

[S lemma Prop 3.2m₁ : $\Pi \mathcal{A} : \mathcal{A}' \cdot 0 = \mathcal{A} \cdot 0 + 0$]

S proof of Prop 3.2m₁ :

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}	;
L02:	S7 \gg	$\mathcal{A}' \cdot 0 = 0$;
L03:	Prop 3.2f \gg	$0 = 0 + 0$;
L04:	S7 \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot 0 = 0$;
L05:	Prop 3.2b $\triangleright L04 \gg$	$0 = \mathcal{A} \cdot 0$;
L06:	Prop 3.2e $\triangleright L05 \gg$	$0 + 0 = \mathcal{A} \cdot 0 + 0$;
L07:	Prop 3.2c $\triangleright L03 \triangleright L06 \gg$	$0 = \mathcal{A} \cdot 0 + 0$;
L08:	Prop 3.2c $\triangleright L02 \triangleright L07 \gg$	$\mathcal{A}' \cdot 0 = \mathcal{A} \cdot 0 + 0$;

Det induktive trin:

[S lemma Prop 3.2m₂ : $\Pi \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} : \mathcal{A}' \cdot \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \mathcal{A}' \cdot \mathcal{B}' = \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B}' + \mathcal{B}'$]

S proof of Prop 3.2m₂ :

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}	;
L02:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L03:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}	;
L04:	Premise \gg	$\mathcal{A}' \cdot \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{B}$;
L05:	S8 \gg	$\mathcal{A}' \cdot \mathcal{B}' = \mathcal{A}' \cdot \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{A}'$;
L06:	Prop 3.2e $\triangleright L04 \gg$	$(\mathcal{A}' \cdot \mathcal{B}) + \mathcal{A}' = (\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{B}) + \mathcal{A}'$;
L07:	S6 \gg	$\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{A}' = (\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{A})'$;
L08:	Prop 3.2g \gg	$\mathcal{B}' + \mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{A})'$;
L09:	Prop 3.2d $\triangleright L07 \triangleright L08 \gg$	$\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{B}' + \mathcal{A}$;
L10:	Prop 3.2h \gg	$\mathcal{B}' + \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}'$;
L11:	Prop 3.2c $\triangleright L09 \triangleright L10 \gg$	$\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}'$;
L12:	Prop 3.2i $\triangleright L11 \gg$	$\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} + (\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{A}') = \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} + (\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}')$;
L13:	Prop 3.2j \gg	$(\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{A}) + \mathcal{B}' = \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} + (\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}')$;
L14:	Prop 3.2d $\triangleright L12 \triangleright L13 \gg$	$\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} + (\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{A}') = (\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{A}) + \mathcal{B}'$;
L15:	S8 \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B}' = \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{A}$;
L16:	Prop 3.2e $\triangleright L15 \gg$	$\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B}' + \mathcal{B}' = (\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{A}) + \mathcal{B}'$;
L17:	Prop 3.2d $\triangleright L14 \triangleright L16 \gg$	$\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} + (\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{A}') = \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B}' + \mathcal{B}'$;
L18:	Prop 3.2j \gg	$(\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{B}) + \mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} + (\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{A}')$;

L19:	Prop 3.2c \triangleright L06 \triangleright L18 \gg	$(\mathcal{A}' \cdot \mathcal{B}) + \mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} + (\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{A}')$;
L20:	Prop 3.2c \triangleright L19 \triangleright L17 \gg	$(\mathcal{A}' \cdot \mathcal{B}) + \mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B}' + \mathcal{B}'$;
L21:	Prop 3.2c \triangleright L05 \triangleright L20 \gg	$\mathcal{A}' \cdot \mathcal{B}' = \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B}' + \mathcal{B}'$;
L22:	Block \gg	End	;
L23:	Ded \triangleright L22 \gg	$\mathcal{A}' \cdot \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \mathcal{A}' \cdot \mathcal{B}' = \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B}' + \mathcal{B}'$	□

[S lemma Prop 3.2m: $\Pi \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}: \mathcal{A}' \cdot \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{B}$]

S proof of Prop 3.2m:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}	;
L02:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L03:	Prop 3.2m ₁ \gg	$x' \cdot 0 = x \cdot 0 + 0$;
L04:	Prop 3.2m ₂ \gg	$(x' \cdot y = x \cdot y + y) \Rightarrow (x' \cdot y' = x \cdot y' + y')$;
L05:	S9@y \triangleright L03 \triangleright L04 \gg	$x' \cdot y = x \cdot y + y$;
L06:	Block \gg	End	;
L07:	Ded \triangleright L06 \gg	$\mathcal{A}' \cdot \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{B}$	□

4.5 3.2n

Vi vil bevise 3.2n ved induktion over y i $B(y) : x \cdot y = y \cdot x$
Basistilfældet:

[S lemma Prop 3.2n₁: $\Pi \mathcal{A}: \mathcal{A} \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot \mathcal{A}$]

S proof of Prop 3.2n₁:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}	;
L02:	S7 \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot 0 = 0$;
L03:	Prop 3.2l \gg	$0 \cdot \mathcal{A} = 0$;
L04:	Prop 3.2d \triangleright L02 \triangleright L03 \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot \mathcal{A}$	□

Det induktive trin:

[S lemma Prop 3.2n₂: $\Pi \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}: \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B}' = \mathcal{B}' \cdot \mathcal{A}$]

S proof of Prop 3.2n₂:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}	;
L02:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L03:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}	;
L04:	Premise \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{A}$;
L05:	S8 \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B}' = \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{A}$;
L06:	Prop 3.2e \triangleright L04 \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}$;
L07:	Prop 3.2m \gg	$\mathcal{B}' \cdot \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}$;
L08:	Prop 3.2b \triangleright L07 \gg	$\mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}' \cdot \mathcal{A}$;
L09:	Prop 3.2c \triangleright L06 \triangleright L08 \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}' \cdot \mathcal{A}$;
L10:	Prop 3.2c \triangleright L05 \triangleright L09 \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B}' = \mathcal{B}' \cdot \mathcal{A}$;
L11:	Block \gg	End	;
L12:	Ded \triangleright L11 \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B}' = \mathcal{B}' \cdot \mathcal{A}$	□

[S lemma Prop 3.2n: $\Pi A, B: A \cdot B = B \cdot A$]

S proof of Prop 3.2n:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	A, B	;
L02:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L03:	Prop 3.2n ₁ \gg	$x \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot x$;
L04:	Prop 3.2n ₂ \gg	$(x \cdot y = y \cdot x) \Rightarrow (x \cdot y' = y' \cdot x)$;
L05:	S9 @ y \triangleright L03 \triangleright L04 \gg	$x \cdot y = y \cdot x$;
L06:	Block \gg	End	;
L07:	Ded \triangleright L06 \gg	$A \cdot B = B \cdot A$	□

4.6 3.2o

[S lemma Prop 3.2o: $\Pi A, B, C: A = B \vdash C \cdot A = C \cdot B$]

S proof of Prop 3.2o:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	A, B, C	;
L02:	Premise \gg	$A = B$;
L03:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L04:	Arbitrary \gg	A, B, C	;
L05:	Premise \gg	$A = B$;
L06:	Prop 3.2k \triangleright L05 \gg	$A \cdot C = B \cdot C$;
L07:	Prop 3.2n \gg	$A \cdot C = C \cdot A$;
L08:	Prop 3.2n \gg	$B \cdot C = C \cdot B$;
L09:	Prop 3.2c \triangleright L06 \triangleright L08 \gg	$A \cdot C = C \cdot B$;
L10:	S1 \triangleright L07 \triangleright L09 \gg	$C \cdot A = C \cdot B$;
L11:	Block \gg	End	;
L12:	Ded \triangleright L11 \gg	$A = B \Rightarrow C \cdot A = C \cdot B$;
L13:	L12 $\underline{\triangleright}$ L02 \gg	$C \cdot A = C \cdot B$	□

5 Udsagn 3.4

De følgende udsagn er en udvidelse af egenskaberne ved addition og multiplikation.

[S lemma Prop 3.4a: $\Pi A, B, C: A \cdot (B + C) = (A \cdot B) + (A \cdot C)$]

[S lemma Prop 3.4b: $\Pi A, B, C: (B + C) \cdot A = (B \cdot A) + (C \cdot A)$]

[S lemma Prop 3.4c: $\Pi A, B, C: (A \cdot B) \cdot C = A \cdot (B \cdot C)$]

[S lemma Prop 3.4d: $\Pi A, B, C: A + C = B + C \Rightarrow A = B$]

5.1 3.4a

Vi vil bevise 3.4a ved induktion over z i $B(z) : x \cdot (y + z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$
Basistilfældet:

[S lemma Prop 3.4a₁: $\Pi A, B: A \cdot (B + 0) = A \cdot B + A \cdot 0$]

S proof of Prop 3.4a₁:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	A, B	;
L02:	S5 \gg	$(B + 0) = (B)$;
L03:	Prop 3.2o \triangleright L02 \gg	$A \cdot (B + 0) = A \cdot (B)$;
L04:	S5 \gg	$A \cdot B + 0 = A \cdot B$;
L05:	Prop 3.2d \triangleright L03 \triangleright L04 \gg	$A \cdot (B + 0) = A \cdot B + 0$;
L06:	S7 \gg	$A \cdot 0 = 0$;
L07:	Prop 3.2i \triangleright L06 \gg	$A \cdot B + A \cdot 0 = A \cdot B + 0$;
L08:	Prop 3.2d \triangleright L05 \triangleright L07 \gg	$A \cdot (B + 0) = A \cdot B + A \cdot 0$	□

Det induktive trin:

[S lemma Prop 3.4a₂: $\Pi A, B, C: A \cdot (B + C) = A \cdot B + A \cdot C \Rightarrow A \cdot (B + C') = A \cdot B + A \cdot C'$]

S proof of Prop 3.4a₂:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	A, B, C	;
L02:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L03:	Arbitrary \gg	A, B, C	;
L04:	Premise \gg	$A \cdot (B + C) = A \cdot B + A \cdot C$;
L05:	S6 \gg	$B + C' = (B + C)'$;
L06:	Prop 3.2o \triangleright L05 \gg	$A \cdot (B + C') = A \cdot (B + C)'$;
L07:	S8 \gg	$A \cdot (B + C)' = A \cdot (B + C) + A$;
L08:	Prop 3.2e \triangleright L04 \gg	$(A \cdot (B + C)) + A = (A \cdot B + A \cdot C) + A$;
L09:	Prop 3.2j \gg	$(A \cdot B + A \cdot C) + A = A \cdot B + (A \cdot C + A)$;
L10:	Prop 3.2c \triangleright L08 \triangleright L09 \gg	$(A \cdot (B + C)) + A = A \cdot B + (A \cdot C + A)$;
L11:	S8 \gg	$A \cdot C' = A \cdot C + A$;
L12:	Prop 3.2i \triangleright L11 \gg	$A \cdot B + A \cdot C' = A \cdot B + (A \cdot C + A)$;
L13:	Prop 3.2d \triangleright L10 \triangleright L12 \gg	$A \cdot (B + C) + A = A \cdot B + A \cdot C'$;
L14:	Prop 3.2c \triangleright L07 \triangleright L13 \gg	$A \cdot (B + C)' = A \cdot B + A \cdot C'$;
L15:	Prop 3.2c \triangleright L06 \triangleright L14 \gg	$A \cdot (B + C') = A \cdot B + A \cdot C'$;
L16:	Block \gg	End	;
L17:	Ded \triangleright L16 \gg	$(A \cdot (B + C) = A \cdot B + A \cdot C) \Rightarrow A \cdot (B + C') = A \cdot B + A \cdot C'$	□

[S lemma Prop 3.4a: $\Pi A, B, C: A \cdot (B + C) = (A \cdot B) + (A \cdot C)$]

S proof of Prop 3.4a:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	A, B, C	;
L02:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L03:	Prop 3.4a ₁ \gg	$x \cdot (y + 0) = x \cdot y + x \cdot 0$;
L04:	Prop 3.4a ₂ \gg	$(x \cdot (y + z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z) \Rightarrow (x \cdot (y + z') = x \cdot y + x \cdot z')$;

L05:	S9 @ z ▷ L03 ▷ L04 ≫	$x \cdot (y + z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$;
L06:	Block ≫	End	;
L07:	Ded ▷ L06 ≫	$\mathcal{A} \cdot (\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{C}$	□

5.2 3.4b

[S lemma Prop 3.4b: $\Pi \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}: (\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{C}) \cdot \mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{A}) + (\mathcal{C} \cdot \mathcal{A})$]

S proof of Prop 3.4b:

L01:	Arbitrary ≫	$\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$;
L02:	Prop 3.4a ≫	$\mathcal{A} \cdot (\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{C}$;
L03:	Prop 3.2n ≫	$\mathcal{A} \cdot (\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{C}) = (\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{C}) \cdot \mathcal{A}$;
L04:	Prop 3.2n ≫	$\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{A}$;
L05:	Prop 3.2n ≫	$\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C} \cdot \mathcal{A}$;
L06:	S1 ▷ L03 ▷ L02 ≫	$(\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{C}) \cdot \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{C}$;
L07:	Prop 3.2e ▷ L04 ≫	$\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{C}$;
L08:	Prop 3.2i ▷ L05 ≫	$\mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{C} \cdot \mathcal{A}$;
L09:	Prop 3.2c ▷ L07 ▷ L08 ≫	$\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{C} \cdot \mathcal{A}$;
L10:	Prop 3.2c ▷ L06 ▷ L09 ≫	$(\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{C}) \cdot \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{C} \cdot \mathcal{A}$	□

5.3 3.4c

Vi vil bevise 3.4c ved induktion over z i $B(z): (x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$

Basistilfældet:

[S lemma Prop 3.4c₁: $\Pi \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}: (\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B}) \cdot 0 = \mathcal{A} \cdot (\mathcal{B} \cdot 0)$]

S proof of Prop 3.4c₁:

L01:	Arbitrary ≫	\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}	;
L02:	S7 ≫	$(\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B}) \cdot 0 = 0$;
L03:	S7 ≫	$\mathcal{B} \cdot 0 = 0$;
L04:	Prop 3.2o ▷ L03 ≫	$\mathcal{A} \cdot (\mathcal{B} \cdot 0) = \mathcal{A} \cdot 0$;
L05:	S7 ≫	$\mathcal{A} \cdot 0 = 0$;
L06:	Prop 3.2c ▷ L04 ▷ L05 ≫	$\mathcal{A} \cdot (\mathcal{B} \cdot 0) = 0$;
L07:	Prop 3.2d ▷ L02 ▷ L06 ≫	$(\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B}) \cdot 0 = \mathcal{A} \cdot (\mathcal{B} \cdot 0)$	□

Det induktive trin:

[S lemma Prop 3.4c₂: $\Pi \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}: (\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B}) \cdot \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A} \cdot (\mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{C}) \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B}) \cdot \mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{A} \cdot (\mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{C}')$]

S proof of Prop 3.4c₂:

L01:	Arbitrary ≫	$\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$;
L02:	Block ≫	Begin	;
L03:	Arbitrary ≫	$\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$;
L04:	Premise ≫	$(\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B}) \cdot \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A} \cdot (\mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{C})$;
L05:	S8 ≫	$(\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B}) \cdot \mathcal{C}' = (\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B}) \cdot \mathcal{C} + (\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B})$;
L06:	Prop 3.2e ▷ L04 ≫	$((\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B}) \cdot \mathcal{C}) + (\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B}) = (\mathcal{A} \cdot (\mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{C})) + (\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B})$;

L07:	Prop 3.2c \triangleright L05 \triangleright L06 \gg	$(\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B}) \cdot \mathcal{C}' = (\mathcal{A} \cdot (\mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{C})) + (\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B})$;
L08:	Prop 3.4a \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot ((\mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{C}) + \mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{A} \cdot (\mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{C}) + \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B}$;
L09:	Prop 3.2d \triangleright L07 \triangleright L08 \gg	$(\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B}) \cdot \mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{A} \cdot ((\mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{C}) + \mathcal{B})$;
L10:	S8 \gg	$\mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{B}$;
L11:	Prop 3.2o \triangleright L10 \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot (\mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{C}') = \mathcal{A} \cdot (\mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{B})$;
L12:	Prop 3.2d \triangleright L09 \triangleright L11 \gg	$(\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B}) \cdot \mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{A} \cdot (\mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{C}')$;
L13:	Block \gg	End	;
L14:	Ded \triangleright L13 \gg	$(\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B}) \cdot \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A} \cdot (\mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{C}) \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B}) \cdot \mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{A} \cdot (\mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{C}')$	□

[S lemma Prop 3.4c: $\Pi \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}: (\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B}) \cdot \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A} \cdot (\mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{C})$]

S proof of Prop 3.4c:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	$\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$;
L02:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L03:	Prop 3.4c ₁ \gg	$(x \cdot y) \cdot 0 = x \cdot (y \cdot 0)$;
L04:	Prop 3.4c ₂ \gg	$(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z) \Rightarrow (x \cdot y) \cdot z' = x \cdot (y \cdot z')$;
L05:	S9@z \triangleright L03 \triangleright L04 \gg	$(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$;
L06:	Block \gg	End	;
L07:	Ded \triangleright L06 \gg	$(\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B}) \cdot \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A} \cdot (\mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{C})$	□

5.4 3.4d

Vi vil bevise 3.4d ved induktion over z i $B(z): x + z = y + z \Rightarrow x = y$
 Basistilfældet er:

[S lemma Prop 3.4d₁: $\Pi \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}: \mathcal{A} + 0 = \mathcal{B} + 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$]

S proof of Prop 3.4d₁:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}	;
L02:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L03:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}	;
L04:	Premise \gg	$\mathcal{A} + 0 = \mathcal{B} + 0$;
L05:	S5 \gg	$\mathcal{A} + 0 = \mathcal{A}$;
L06:	S5 \gg	$\mathcal{B} + 0 = \mathcal{B}$;
L07:	S1 \triangleright L05 \triangleright L04 \gg	$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} + 0$;
L08:	Prop 3.2c \triangleright L07 \triangleright L06 \gg	$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$;
L09:	Block \gg	End	;
L10:	Ded \triangleright L09 \gg	$\mathcal{A} + 0 = \mathcal{B} + 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$	□

Det induktive trin:

[S lemma Prop 3.4d₂: $\Pi \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}: (\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{C}' \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$]

S proof of Prop 3.4d₂:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	$\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$;
------	-----------------	---	---

L02:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L03:	Arbitrary \gg	$\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$;
L04:	Premise \gg	$\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$;
L05:	Premise \gg	$\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{C}'$;
L06:	S6 \gg	$\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{C}' = (\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{C})'$;
L07:	S6 \gg	$\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{C}' = (\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{C})'$;
L08:	S1 \triangleright L06 \triangleright L05 \gg	$(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{C})' = \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{C}'$;
L09:	Prop 3.2c \triangleright L08 \triangleright L07 \gg	$(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{C})' = (\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{C})'$;
L10:	S4 \triangleright L09 \gg	$(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{C}) = (\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{C})$;
L11:	L04 \triangleright L10 \gg	$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$;
L12:	Block \gg	End	;
L13:	Ded \triangleright L12 \gg	$(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}) \Rightarrow$ $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{C}' \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$	□

[S lemma Prop 3.4d: $\Pi \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} : \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$]

S proof of Prop 3.4d:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	$\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$;
L02:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L03:	Prop 3.4d ₁ \gg	$x + 0 = y + 0 \Rightarrow x = y$;
L04:	Prop 3.4d ₂ \gg	$(x + z = y + z \Rightarrow x = y) \Rightarrow$;
		$x + z' = y + z' \Rightarrow x = y$;
L05:	S9@z \triangleright L03 \triangleright L04 \gg	$x + z = y + z \Rightarrow x = y$;
L06:	Block \gg	End	;
L07:	Ded \triangleright L06 \gg	$\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$	□

6 Udsagn 3.5

I denne del har vi kun kunne løse indtil h, da denne og de efterfølgende kræver reglen “existensial rule”. Dog er der i afsnit 6.2.2 gennemgået hvilket problem, der forhindrer os i at bevise ”existencial rule”, og hvordan vi ville have bevist reglen, hvis problemet ikke var opstået. Endvidere er der i 6.10 gennemgået hvordan vi ville have løst 3.5h.

I de følgende udsagn indgår numeraler, der opfører sig som de naturlige tal og er defineret i forhold til 0 på følgende måde:

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= \bar{0} \\
 0' &= \bar{1} \\
 0'' &= \bar{2} \\
 \vdots &\quad \quad \quad \vdots \\
 0^{n*'} &= \bar{n}
 \end{aligned}$$

Dvs. hvis $\bar{0}$ er et numeral, og hvis \bar{n} er et numeral, så er \bar{n}' også.

I afsnit 6.3 til 6.10 er beviserne for følgende lemmaer (indtil h).

[S lemma Prop 3.5a: $\Pi A: \mathcal{A} + \bar{1} = \mathcal{A}'$]

[S lemma Prop 3.5b: $\Pi A: \mathcal{A} \cdot \bar{1} = \mathcal{A}$]

[S lemma Prop 3.5c: $\Pi A: \mathcal{A} \cdot \bar{2} = \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}$]

[S lemma Prop 3.5d: $\Pi A, B: \mathcal{A} + B = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} = 0 \wedge B = 0$]

[S lemma Prop 3.5e: $\Pi A, B: B \neq 0 \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} \cdot B = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} = 0)$]

[S lemma Prop 3.5f: $\Pi A, B: \mathcal{A} + B = \bar{1} \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} = 0 \wedge B = \bar{1}) \vee (\mathcal{A} = \bar{1} \wedge B = 0)$]

[S lemma Prop 3.5g: $\Pi A, B: \mathcal{A} \cdot B = \bar{1} \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} = \bar{1} \wedge B = \bar{1})$]

[S lemma Prop 3.5h: $\Pi A: \mathcal{A} \neq 0 \Rightarrow \exists B: \mathcal{A} = B'$]

[S lemma Prop 3.5i: $\Pi A, B, C: C \neq 0 \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} \cdot C = B \cdot C \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} = B)$]

[S lemma Prop 3.5j: $\Pi A: \mathcal{A} \neq 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} \neq \bar{1} \Rightarrow \exists B: \mathcal{A} = B''$]

Efterfølgende vil vi gennemgå de nødvendige hjælpesætninger og definitioner for at kunne bevise 3.5a-3.5g.

6.1 Definitioner i forbindelse med \wedge og \vee

Fra 3.5d bruges \wedge og \vee , som begge er makrodefinerede udtryk:

$[x \wedge y \doteq \neg(x \Rightarrow \neg y)]$

$[x \vee y \doteq (\neg x) \Rightarrow y]$

Endvidere er det klart at reglerne for introduktion og eliminering af hhv. \wedge og \vee dermed også skal bruges. Alle disse er bevist i de følgende afsnit.

6.1.1 Introduktion af \wedge

[S lemma Con: $\Pi A, B: \mathcal{A} \vdash B \vdash \mathcal{A} \wedge B$]

S proof of Con:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	A, B	;
L02:	Premise \gg	A	;
L03:	Premise \gg	B	;
L04:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L05:	Arbitrary \gg	A, B	;
L06:	Premise \gg	$A \Rightarrow \neg B$;
L07:	Repetition \triangleright L02 \gg	A	;
L08:	L06 \supseteq L07 \gg	$\neg B$;
L09:	Block \gg	End	;
L10:	Ded \triangleright L09 \gg	$(A \Rightarrow \neg B) \Rightarrow \neg B$;
L11:	Lem1.11b \gg	$B \Rightarrow \neg \neg B$;
L12:	L11 \supseteq L03 \gg	$\neg \neg B$;
L13:	MT \triangleright L10 \triangleright L12 \gg	$\neg(A \Rightarrow \neg B)$	□

6.1.2 Elimination af \wedge 1

[S lemma Con1: $\Pi A, B: \mathcal{A} \wedge B \vdash \mathcal{A}$]

S proof of Con1:

L01: Arbitrary \gg	A, B	;
L02: Premise \gg	$\neg(\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \neg B)$;
L03: Block \gg	Begin	;
L04: Arbitrary \gg	A, B	;
L05: Premise \gg	$\neg A$;
L06: A1' \gg	$\neg A \Rightarrow \neg\neg B \Rightarrow \neg A$;
L07: L06 \supseteq L05 \gg	$\neg\neg B \Rightarrow \neg A$;
L08: Lem1.11d \gg	$(\neg\neg B \Rightarrow \neg A) \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \neg B$;
L09: L08 \supseteq L07 \gg	$\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \neg B$;
L10: Block \gg	End	;
L11: Ded \triangleright L10 \gg	$(\neg A) \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \neg B)$;
L12: MT \triangleright L11 \triangleright L02 \gg	$\neg\neg A$;
L13: Lem1.11a \gg	$\neg\neg A \Rightarrow A$;
L14: L13 \supseteq L12 \gg	A	□

6.1.3 Elimination af \wedge 2

[S lemma Con2: $\Pi A, B: \mathcal{A} \wedge B \vdash B$]

S proof of Con2:

L01: Arbitrary \gg	A, B	;
L02: Premise \gg	$\neg(\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \neg B)$;
L03: Block \gg	Begin	;
L04: Arbitrary \gg	A, B	;
L05: Premise \gg	$\neg B$;
L06: A1' \gg	$\neg B \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \neg B$;
L07: L06 \supseteq L05 \gg	$\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \neg B$;
L08: Block \gg	End	;
L09: Ded \triangleright L08 \gg	$\neg B \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \neg B$;
L10: MT \triangleright L09 \triangleright L02 \gg	$\neg\neg B$;
L11: Lem1.11a \gg	$\neg\neg B \Rightarrow B$;
L12: L11 \supseteq L10 \gg	B	□

6.1.4 Introduktion af \vee 1

[S lemma Dis1: $\Pi A, B: \mathcal{A} \vdash \mathcal{A} \vee B$]

S proof of Dis1:

L01: Arbitrary \gg	A, B	;
L02: Premise \gg	A	;
L03: Lem1.11c \gg	$\neg\neg A \Rightarrow (\neg A \Rightarrow B)$;
L04: Lem1.11b \gg	$A \Rightarrow \neg\neg A$;

L05:	$L04 \supseteq L02 \gg$	$\neg\neg\mathcal{A}$;
L06:	$L03 \supseteq L05 \gg$	$\neg\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \mathcal{B}$	□

6.1.5 Introduktion af \vee 2

[S lemma Dis2: $\Pi\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}: \mathcal{B} \vdash \mathcal{A} \vee \mathcal{B}$]

S proof of Dis2:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}	;
L02:	Premise \gg	\mathcal{B}	;
L03:	$\mathcal{A}' \gg$	$\mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \neg\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \mathcal{B}$;
L04:	$L03 \supseteq L02 \gg$	$\neg\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \mathcal{B}$	□

6.1.6 Elimination af \vee

Da vi ikke har haft brug for at fjerne \vee , har vi ikke bevist denne regel.

6.2 Andre hjælpesætninger

Vi har til bevist af 3.5a-3.5g haft brug for nogle af reglerne fra [Gru06], dog med **imply** istedet for **infer**. Sådanne regler er navngivet med '<oprindelige navn>' og beviserne for disse kan ses i Appendix A.

6.2.1 $\mathcal{A} \neq \mathcal{B}$

En ny hjælperegul er **regel H3**, som skal bruges i forbindelse med $\mathcal{A} \neq \mathcal{B}$ for at kunne konkludere sammenhænge i mellem $(x = y) \wedge (x \neq 3) \Rightarrow (y \neq 3)$.

[S lemma H3: $\Pi\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}: \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow (\mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}) \vdash \mathcal{A} \vdash \neg\mathcal{C} \vdash \neg\mathcal{B}$]

S proof of H3:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	$\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$;
L02:	Premise \gg	$\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow (\mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \mathcal{C})$;
L03:	Premise \gg	\mathcal{A}	;
L04:	Premise \gg	$\neg\mathcal{C}$;
L05:	$L02 \supseteq L03 \gg$	$\mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}$;
L06:	$MT \triangleright L05 \triangleright L04 \gg$	$\neg\mathcal{B}$	□

6.2.2 $\exists x$

Selv om vi ikke har nået at implementere fra opgave 3.5h, hvor der gøres brug af \exists , har vi alligevel makrodefineret kvantoren som følger.

$[\exists x: y \doteq \neg(\forall x: \neg y)]$

Vores problem har været, at vi ikke har kunne udtrykke " $\mathcal{B}(x, t)$, hvor t kan indsættes i stedet for x 'erne uden at blive bundet til en alkvantor", i pyk.

Beviset for hjælpesætningen, der indfører eksistenskvantoren, ville dog have været opbygget nogenlunde som følger.

Følgende tautologi,

$$(\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \neg \mathcal{B}) \Rightarrow (\mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \neg \mathcal{A})$$

antages vist nogenlunde som Modus Tollens, dog med variation.
Ved axiom $A4'$ kan vi få instansen:

$$(\forall x)\neg \mathcal{A}(x, t) \Rightarrow \neg \mathcal{A}(t, t)$$

Idet man kan få følgende instans af tautologien:

$$((\forall x)\neg \mathcal{A}(x, t) \Rightarrow \neg \mathcal{A}(t, t)) \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A}(t, t) \Rightarrow \neg(\forall x)\neg \mathcal{A}(x, t))$$

kan man vha. MP på tautologi-instansen og aksiom-instansen få:

$$(\mathcal{A}(t, t) \Rightarrow \neg(\forall x)\neg \mathcal{A}(x, t))$$

og pga. af makrodefinitionen er denne nu vist.

Herefter vises Lemmaerne 3.5a-3.5g

6.3 3.5a

Dette lemma beviser, at hvis man lægger $\bar{1}$ til et tal, får man efterfølgeren til tallet.

[S lemma Prop 3.5a: $\Pi \mathcal{A}: \mathcal{A} + \bar{1} = \mathcal{A}'$]

S proof of Prop 3.5a:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}	;
L02:	S6 \gg	$\mathcal{A} + 0' = (\mathcal{A} + 0)'$;
L03:	S5 \gg	$\mathcal{A} + 0 = \mathcal{A}$;
L04:	S2 \triangleright L03 \gg	$(\mathcal{A} + 0)' = \mathcal{A}'$;
L05:	Prop 3.2c \triangleright L02 \triangleright L04 \gg	$\mathcal{A} + 0' = \mathcal{A}'$;
L06:	Prop 3.2a \gg	$\mathcal{A} + 0' = \mathcal{A} + \bar{1}$;
L07:	S1 \triangleright L06 \triangleright L05 \gg	$\mathcal{A} + \bar{1} = \mathcal{A}'$	□

6.4 3.5b

Her beviser vi at $\bar{1}$ er neutralt element med hensyn til multiplikation.

[S lemma Prop 3.5b: $\Pi \mathcal{A}: \mathcal{A} \cdot \bar{1} = \mathcal{A}$]

S proof of Prop 3.5b:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}	;
L02:	S8 \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot 0' = \mathcal{A} \cdot 0 + \mathcal{A}$;
L03:	S7 \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot 0 = 0$;

L04:	Prop 3.2e \triangleright L03 \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot 0 + \mathcal{A} = 0 + \mathcal{A}$;
L05:	Prop 3.2c \triangleright L02 \triangleright L04 \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot 0' = 0 + \mathcal{A}$;
L06:	Prop 3.2f \gg	$\mathcal{A} = 0 + \mathcal{A}$;
L07:	Prop 3.2b \triangleright L06 \gg	$0 + \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}$;
L08:	Prop 3.2c \triangleright L05 \triangleright L07 \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot 0' = \mathcal{A}$;
L09:	Prop 3.2a \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot 0' = \mathcal{A} \cdot \bar{1}$;
L10:	S1 \triangleright L09 \triangleright L08 \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot \bar{1} = \mathcal{A}$	□

6.5 3.5c

[S lemma Prop 3.5c: $\Pi \mathcal{A}: \mathcal{A} \cdot \bar{2} = \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}$]

S proof of Prop 3.5c:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}	;
L02:	S8 \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot \bar{1}' = \mathcal{A} \cdot \bar{1} + \mathcal{A}$;
L03:	Prop 3.5b \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot \bar{1} = \mathcal{A}$;
L04:	Prop 3.2e \triangleright L03 \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot \bar{1} + \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}$;
L05:	Prop 3.2c \triangleright L02 \triangleright L04 \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot \bar{1}' = \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}$;
L06:	Prop 3.2a \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot \bar{1}' = \mathcal{A} \cdot \bar{2}$;
L07:	S1 \triangleright L06 \triangleright L05 \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot \bar{2} = \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}$	□

6.6 3.5d

Her beviser vi, at hvis en sum er 0, er begge addender i summen 0, da der ikke findes negative tal i systemet.

Beviset er ved induktion over y i $B(y): x + y \Rightarrow (x = 0) \wedge (y = 0)$

Basistilfældet:

[S lemma Prop 3.5d₁: $\Pi \mathcal{A}: \mathcal{A} + 0 = 0 \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} = 0) \wedge (0 = 0)$]

S proof of Prop 3.5d₁:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}	;
L02:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L03:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}	;
L04:	Premise \gg	$\mathcal{A} + 0 = 0$;
L05:	S5 \gg	$\mathcal{A} + 0 = \mathcal{A}$;
L06:	S1 \triangleright L05 \triangleright L04 \gg	$\mathcal{A} = 0$;
L07:	Prop 3.2a \gg	$0 = 0$;
L08:	Con \triangleright L06 \triangleright L07 \gg	$\mathcal{A} = 0 \wedge 0 = 0$;
L09:	Block \gg	End	;
L10:	Ded \triangleright L09 \gg	$\mathcal{A} + 0 = 0 \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} = 0) \wedge (0 = 0)$	□

Det induktive trin:

[S lemma Prop 3.5d₂: $\Pi \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}: (\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B} = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} = 0 \wedge \mathcal{B} = 0) \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}' = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} = 0 \wedge \mathcal{B}' = 0$]

S proof of Prop 3.5d₂:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}	;
L02:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L03:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}	;
L04:	Premise \gg	$\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B} = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} = 0 \wedge \mathcal{B} = 0$;
L05:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L06:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}	;
L07:	Premise \gg	$\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}' = 0$;
L08:	S3 \gg	$0 \neq (\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B})'$;
L09:	Prop3.2b' \gg	$(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B})' = 0 \Rightarrow 0 = (\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B})'$;
L10:	Lem1.11e \gg	$((\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B})' = 0 \Rightarrow 0 = (\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B})') \Rightarrow 0 \neq (\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B})' \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B})' \neq 0$;
L11:	L10 \supseteq L09 \gg	$0 \neq (\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B})' \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B})' \neq 0$;
L12:	L11 \supseteq L08 \gg	$(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B})' \neq 0$;
L13:	S6 \gg	$(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}') = (\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B})'$;
L14:	S1'' \gg	$\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}' = (\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B})' \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}' = 0 \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B})' = 0$;
L15:	H3 \supseteq L14 \supseteq L13 \supseteq L12 \gg	$\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}' \neq 0$;
L16:	Lem1.11c \gg	$\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}' \neq 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}' = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} = 0 \wedge \mathcal{B}' = 0$;
L17:	L16 \supseteq L15 \gg	$\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}' = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} = 0 \wedge \mathcal{B}' = 0$;
L18:	L17 \supseteq L07 \gg	$\mathcal{A} = 0 \wedge \mathcal{B}' = 0$;
L19:	Block \gg	End	;
L20:	Ded \supseteq L19 \gg	$\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}' = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} = 0 \wedge \mathcal{B}' = 0$;
L21:	Block \gg	End	;
L22:	Ded \supseteq L21 \gg	$(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B} = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} = 0 \wedge \mathcal{B} = 0) \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}' = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} = 0 \wedge \mathcal{B}' = 0$	□

[S lemma Prop 3.5d: $\Pi \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}: \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B} = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} = 0 \wedge \mathcal{B} = 0$]

S proof of Prop 3.5d:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}	;
L02:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L03:	Prop 3.5d ₁ \gg	$x + 0 = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0 \wedge 0 = 0$;
L04:	Prop 3.5d ₂ \gg	$(x + y = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0 \wedge y = 0) \Rightarrow x + y' = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0 \wedge y' = 0$;
L05:	S9@y \supseteq L03 \supseteq L04 \gg	$x + y = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0 \wedge y = 0$;
L06:	Block \gg	End	;
L07:	Ded \supseteq L06 \gg	$\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B} = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} = 0 \wedge \mathcal{B} = 0$	□

6.7 3.5e

Her beviser vi at hvis en faktor i et produkt ikke er 0 og produktet er 0, så er den anden faktor 0. Beviset er ved induktion over x i $Bx: x \neq 0 \Rightarrow (y \cdot x = 0 \Rightarrow y = 0)$.

Basistilfældet:

[S lemma Prop 3.5e₁: $\Pi A: 0 \neq 0 \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} \cdot 0 = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} = 0)$]

S proof of Prop 3.5e₁:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}	;
L02:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L03:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}	;
L04:	Premise \gg	$0 \neq 0$;
L05:	Prop 3.2a \gg	$0 = 0$;
L06:	Lem1.11c \gg	$\neg(0 = 0) \Rightarrow ((0 = 0) \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} \cdot$;
		$0 = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} = 0))$;
L07:	L06 \supseteq L04 \gg	$(0 = 0) \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} \cdot 0 = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} =$;
		$0)$;
L08:	L07 \supseteq L05 \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot 0 = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} = 0$;
L09:	Block \gg	End	;
L10:	Ded \triangleright L09 \gg	$0 \neq 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} \cdot 0 = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} = 0$	□

Det induktive trin:

[S lemma Prop 3.5e₂: $\Pi A, B: (B \neq 0 \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} \cdot B = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} = 0)) \Rightarrow B' \neq 0 \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} \cdot B' = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} = 0)$]

S proof of Prop 3.5e₂:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}, B	;
L02:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L03:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}, B	;
L04:	Premise \gg	$B \neq 0 \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} \cdot B = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} = 0)$;
L05:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L06:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}, B	;
L07:	Premise \gg	$B' \neq 0$;
L08:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L09:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}, B	;
L10:	Premise \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot B' = 0$;
L11:	S8 \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot B' = \mathcal{A} \cdot B + \mathcal{A}$;
L12:	S1 \triangleright L11 \triangleright L10 \gg	$(\mathcal{A} \cdot B) + \mathcal{A} = 0$;
L13:	Prop 3.5d \gg	$(\mathcal{A} \cdot B) + \mathcal{A} = 0 \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} \cdot B) =$;
		$0 \wedge \mathcal{A} = 0$;
L14:	L13 \supseteq L12 \gg	$(\mathcal{A} \cdot B) = 0 \wedge \mathcal{A} = 0$;
L15:	Con2 \triangleright L14 \gg	$\mathcal{A} = 0$;
L16:	Block \gg	End	;
L17:	Ded \triangleright L16 \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot B' = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} = 0$;
L18:	Block \gg	End	;
L19:	Ded \triangleright L18 \gg	$B' \neq 0 \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} \cdot B' = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} =$;
		$0)$;
L20:	Block \gg	End	;
L21:	Ded \triangleright L20 \gg	$(B \neq 0 \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} \cdot B = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} =$;
		$0)) \Rightarrow B' \neq 0 \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} \cdot B' = 0 \Rightarrow$;
		$\mathcal{A} = 0)$	□

[S lemma Prop 3.5e: $\Pi A, B: B \neq 0 \Rightarrow (A \cdot B = 0 \Rightarrow A = 0)$]

S proof of Prop 3.5e:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	A, B	;
L02:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L03:	Prop 3.5e ₁ \gg	$0 \neq 0 \Rightarrow (x \cdot 0 = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0)$;
L04:	Prop 3.5e ₂ \gg	$(y \neq 0 \Rightarrow (x \cdot y = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0)) \Rightarrow y' \neq 0 \Rightarrow (x \cdot y' = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0)$;
L05:	S9@y \triangleright L03 \triangleright L04 \gg	$y \neq 0 \Rightarrow (x \cdot y = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0)$;
L06:	Block \gg	End	;
L07:	Ded \triangleright L06 \gg	$B \neq 0 \Rightarrow (A \cdot B = 0 \Rightarrow A = 0)$	□

6.8 3.5f

Her beviser vi, at hvis en sum er $\bar{1}$, er netop 1 af addenderne $\bar{1}$ og den anden er $\bar{0}$. Bevist er ved induktion over y i $B(y) : x + y = 1 \Rightarrow (x = 0 \wedge y = 1) \vee (x = 1 \wedge y = 0)$

Basistilfældet.

[S lemma Prop 3.5f₁ : $\Pi A: A + 0 = \bar{1} \Rightarrow (A = 0 \wedge 0 = \bar{1}) \vee (A = \bar{1} \wedge 0 = 0)$]

S proof of Prop 3.5f₁ :

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	A	;
L02:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L03:	Arbitrary \gg	A	;
L04:	Premise \gg	$A + 0 = \bar{1}$;
L05:	S5 \gg	$A + 0 = A$;
L06:	S1 \triangleright L05 \triangleright L04 \gg	$A = \bar{1}$;
L07:	Prop 3.2a \gg	$0 = 0$;
L08:	Con \triangleright L06 \triangleright L07 \gg	$A = \bar{1} \wedge 0 = 0$;
L09:	Dis2 \triangleright L08 \gg	$(A = 0 \wedge 0 = \bar{1}) \vee (A = \bar{1} \wedge 0 = 0)$;
L10:	Block \gg	End	;
L11:	Ded \triangleright L10 \gg	$A + 0 = \bar{1} \Rightarrow (A = 0 \wedge 0 = \bar{1}) \vee (A = \bar{1} \wedge 0 = 0)$	□

Det induktive trin:

[S lemma Prop 3.5f₂ : $\Pi A, B: (A + B = \bar{1} \Rightarrow ((A = 0 \wedge B = \bar{1}) \vee (A = \bar{1} \wedge B = 0))) \Rightarrow (A + B' = \bar{1} \Rightarrow ((A = 0 \wedge B' = \bar{1}) \vee (A = \bar{1} \wedge B' = 0)))$]

S proof of Prop 3.5f₂ :

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	A, B	;
L02:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L03:	Arbitrary \gg	A, B	;
L04:	Premise \gg	$A + B = \bar{1} \Rightarrow ((A = 0 \wedge B = \bar{1}) \vee (A = \bar{1} \wedge B = 0))$;
L05:	Block \gg	Begin	;

L06:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}	;
L07:	Premise \gg	$\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}' = \bar{1}$;
L08:	S6 \gg	$\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}' = (\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B})'$;
L09:	S1 \triangleright L08 \triangleright L07 \gg	$(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B})' = \bar{1}$;
L10:	S4 \triangleright L09 \gg	$\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B} = 0$;
L11:	Prop 3.5d \gg	$\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B} = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} = 0 \wedge \mathcal{B} = 0$;
L12:	L11 \supseteq L10 \gg	$\mathcal{A} = 0 \wedge \mathcal{B} = 0$;
L13:	Con1 \triangleright L12 \gg	$\mathcal{A} = 0$;
L14:	Con2 \triangleright L12 \gg	$\mathcal{B} = 0$;
L15:	S2 \triangleright L14 \gg	$\mathcal{B}' = \bar{1}$;
L16:	Con \triangleright L13 \triangleright L15 \gg	$\mathcal{A} = 0 \wedge \mathcal{B}' = \bar{1}$;
L17:	Dis1 \triangleright L16 \gg	$(\mathcal{A} = 0 \wedge \mathcal{B}' = \bar{1}) \vee (\mathcal{A} = \bar{1} \wedge \mathcal{B}' = 0)$;
L18:	Block \gg	End	;
L19:	Ded \triangleright L18 \gg	$\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}' = \bar{1} \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} = 0 \wedge \mathcal{B}' = \bar{1}) \vee (\mathcal{A} = \bar{1} \wedge \mathcal{B}' = 0)$;
L20:	Block \gg	End	;
L21:	Ded \triangleright L20 \gg	$(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B} = \bar{1} \Rightarrow ((\mathcal{A} = 0 \wedge \mathcal{B} = \bar{1}) \vee (\mathcal{A} = \bar{1} \wedge \mathcal{B} = 0))) \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}' = \bar{1} \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} = 0 \wedge \mathcal{B}' = \bar{1}) \vee (\mathcal{A} = \bar{1} \wedge \mathcal{B}' = 0)$	□

[S lemma Prop 3.5f: $\Pi \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}: \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B} = \bar{1} \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} = 0 \wedge \mathcal{B} = \bar{1}) \vee (\mathcal{A} = \bar{1} \wedge \mathcal{B} = 0)$]

S **proof of** Prop 3.5f:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}	;
L02:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L03:	Prop 3.5f ₁ \gg	$x + 0 = \bar{1} \Rightarrow (x = 0 \wedge 0 = \bar{1}) \vee (x = \bar{1} \wedge 0 = 0)$;
L04:	Prop 3.5f ₂ \gg	$(x + y = \bar{1} \Rightarrow ((x = 0 \wedge y = \bar{1}) \vee (x = \bar{1} \wedge y = 0))) \Rightarrow x + y' = \bar{1} \Rightarrow (x = 0 \wedge y' = \bar{1}) \vee (x = \bar{1} \wedge y' = 0)$;
L05:	S9@y \triangleright L03 \triangleright L04 \gg	$x + y = \bar{1} \Rightarrow ((x = 0 \wedge y = \bar{1}) \vee (x = \bar{1} \wedge y = 0))$;
L06:	Block \gg	End	;
L07:	Ded \triangleright L06 \gg	$\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B} = \bar{1} \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} = 0 \wedge \mathcal{B} = \bar{1}) \vee (\mathcal{A} = \bar{1} \wedge \mathcal{B} = 0)$	□

6.9 3.5g

Her viser vi, at hvis et produkt er $\bar{1}$ er begge faktorer $\bar{1}$. Beviset er ved induktion over y i $B(y) : x \cdot y = \bar{1} \Rightarrow (x = \bar{1}) \wedge (y = \bar{1})$.

Basistilfældet:

[S lemma Prop 3.5g₁: $\Pi \mathcal{A}: \mathcal{A} \cdot 0 = \bar{1} \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} = \bar{1}) \wedge (0 = \bar{1})$]

S proof of Prop 3.5g₁ :

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}	;
L02:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L03:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}	;
L04:	Premise \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot 0 = \bar{1}$;
L05:	S7 \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot 0 = 0$;
L06:	S1 \triangleright L05 \triangleright L04 \gg	$0 = \bar{1}$;
L07:	S3 \gg	$0 \neq \bar{1}$;
L08:	Lem1.11c \gg	$\neg(0 = \bar{1}) \Rightarrow ((0 = \bar{1}) \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} = \bar{1} \wedge 0 = \bar{1}))$;
L09:	L08 \triangleright L07 \gg	$(0 = \bar{1}) \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} = \bar{1} \wedge 0 = \bar{1})$;
L10:	L09 \triangleright L06 \gg	$(\mathcal{A} = \bar{1} \wedge 0 = \bar{1})$;
L11:	Block \gg	End	;
L12:	Ded \triangleright L11 \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot 0 = \bar{1} \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} = \bar{1} \wedge 0 = \bar{1})$	□

[S lemma Prop 3.5g₂ : $\Pi \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} : (\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} = 0 \wedge \mathcal{A} = \bar{1}) \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} = \bar{1} \wedge \mathcal{B}' = \bar{1})$]

S proof of Prop 3.5g₂ :

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}	;
L02:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L03:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}	;
L04:	Premise \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} = 0 \wedge \mathcal{A} = \bar{1}$;
L05:	Con2 \triangleright L04 \gg	$\mathcal{A} = \bar{1}$;
L06:	Con1 \triangleright L04 \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} = 0$;
L07:	S3 \gg	$0 \neq \bar{1}$;
L08:	H10 \triangleright L07 \gg	$\bar{1} \neq 0$;
L09:	S1'' \gg	$\mathcal{A} = \bar{1} \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} = 0 \Rightarrow \bar{1} = 0$;
L10:	H3 \triangleright L09 \triangleright L05 \triangleright L08 \gg	$\mathcal{A} \neq 0$;
L11:	Prop 3.2n \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{A}$;
L12:	S1 \triangleright L11 \triangleright L06 \gg	$\mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{A} = 0$;
L13:	Prop 3.5e \gg	$\mathcal{A} \neq 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{A} = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} = 0$;
L14:	L13 \triangleright L10 \gg	$\mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{A} = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} = 0$;
L15:	L14 \triangleright L12 \gg	$\mathcal{B} = 0$;
L16:	S2 \triangleright L15 \gg	$\mathcal{B}' = \bar{1}$;
L17:	Con \triangleright L05 \triangleright L16 \gg	$\mathcal{A} = \bar{1} \wedge \mathcal{B}' = \bar{1}$;
L18:	Block \gg	End	;
L19:	Ded \triangleright L18 \gg	$(\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} = 0 \wedge \mathcal{A} = \bar{1}) \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} = \bar{1} \wedge \mathcal{B}' = \bar{1})$	□

[S lemma Prop 3.5g₃ : $\Pi \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} : (\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} = \bar{1} \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} = \bar{1} \wedge \mathcal{B} = \bar{1})) \vdash (\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} = \bar{1} \wedge \mathcal{A} = 0) \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} = \bar{1} \wedge \mathcal{B}' = \bar{1})$]

S proof of Prop 3.5g₃ :

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}	;
L02:	Premise \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} = \bar{1} \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} = \bar{1} \wedge \mathcal{B} = \bar{1})$;
L03:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L04:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}	;

L05:	Premise \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} = \bar{1} \wedge \mathcal{A} = 0$;
L06:	Con1 \triangleright L05 \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} = \bar{1}$;
L07:	L02 \sqsupseteq L06 \gg	$(\mathcal{A} = \bar{1} \wedge \mathcal{B} = \bar{1})$;
L08:	Con1 \triangleright L07 \gg	$\mathcal{A} = \bar{1}$;
L09:	Con2 \triangleright L05 \gg	$\mathcal{A} = 0$;
L10:	S1 \triangleright L09 \triangleright L08 \gg	$0 = \bar{1}$;
L11:	S3 \gg	$0 \neq \bar{1}$;
L12:	Lem1.11c \gg	$0 \neq \bar{1} \Rightarrow ((0 = \bar{1}) \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} = \bar{1} \wedge \mathcal{B}' = \bar{1}))$;
L13:	L12 \sqsupseteq L11 \gg	$(0 = \bar{1}) \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} = \bar{1} \wedge \mathcal{B}' = \bar{1})$;
L14:	L13 \sqsupseteq L10 \gg	$(\mathcal{A} = \bar{1} \wedge \mathcal{B}' = \bar{1})$;
L15:	Block \gg	End	;
L16:	Ded \triangleright L15 \gg	$(\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} = \bar{1} \wedge \mathcal{A} = 0) \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} = \bar{1} \wedge \mathcal{B}' = \bar{1})$	□

Det inductive trin:

[S lemma Prop 3.5g₄: $\Pi \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}: (\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} = \bar{1} \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} = \bar{1} \wedge \mathcal{B} = \bar{1})) \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B}' = \bar{1} \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} = \bar{1} \wedge \mathcal{B}' = \bar{1}))$]

S proof of Prop 3.5g₄:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}	;
L02:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L03:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}	;
L04:	Premise \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} = \bar{1} \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} = \bar{1} \wedge \mathcal{B} = \bar{1})$;
L05:	Premise \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B}' = \bar{1}$;
L06:	S8 \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B}' = \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{A}$;
L07:	S1 \triangleright L06 \triangleright L05 \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{A} = \bar{1}$;
L08:	Prop 3.5f \gg	$(\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{A} = \bar{1}) \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} = 0 \wedge \mathcal{A} = \bar{1}) \vee (\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} = \bar{1} \wedge \mathcal{A} = 0)$;
L09:	L08 \sqsupseteq L07 \gg	$(\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} = 0 \wedge \mathcal{A} = \bar{1}) \vee (\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} = \bar{1} \wedge \mathcal{A} = 0)$;
L10:	Prop 3.5g ₂ \gg	$(\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} = 0 \wedge \mathcal{A} = \bar{1}) \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} = \bar{1} \wedge \mathcal{B}' = \bar{1})$;
L11:	Prop 3.5g ₃ \triangleright L04 \gg	$(\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} = \bar{1} \wedge \mathcal{A} = 0) \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} = \bar{1} \wedge \mathcal{B}' = \bar{1})$;
L12:	H11 \triangleright L09 \triangleright L10 \triangleright L11 \gg	$\mathcal{A} = \bar{1} \wedge \mathcal{B}' = \bar{1}$;
L13:	Block \gg	End	;
L14:	Ded \triangleright L13 \gg	$(\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} = \bar{1} \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} = \bar{1} \wedge \mathcal{B} = \bar{1})) \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B}' = \bar{1} \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} = \bar{1} \wedge \mathcal{B}' = \bar{1})$	□

[S lemma Prop 3.5g: $\Pi \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}: \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} = \bar{1} \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} = \bar{1} \wedge \mathcal{B} = \bar{1})$]

S proof of Prop 3.5g:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}	;
L02:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L03:	Prop 3.5g ₁ \gg	$x \cdot 0 = \bar{1} \Rightarrow (x = \bar{1} \wedge 0 = \bar{1})$;

L04:	Prop 3.5g ₄ \gg	$(x \cdot y = \bar{1} \Rightarrow (x = \bar{1} \wedge y = \bar{1})) \Rightarrow (x \cdot y' = \bar{1} \Rightarrow (x = \bar{1} \wedge y' = \bar{1}))$;
L05:	S9 @ $y \triangleright$ L03 \triangleright L04 \gg	$x \cdot y = \bar{1} \Rightarrow (x = \bar{1} \wedge y = \bar{1})$;
L06:	Block \gg	End	;
L07:	Ded \triangleright L06 \gg	$\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} = \bar{1} \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} = \bar{1} \wedge \mathcal{B} = \bar{1})$	□

6.10 3.5h

[S lemma Prop 3.5h: $\Pi \mathcal{A}: \mathcal{A} \neq 0 \Rightarrow \exists \mathcal{B}: \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}'$]

Beviset for 3.5.h ville i pyk have set ud nogenlunde som følgende:

$$t \neq 0 \Rightarrow (\exists y)(t = y')$$

Part I

L01	<i>premise</i>	$0 \neq 0$
L02	<i>abbr. L01</i>	$\neg(0 = 0)$
L03	3.2.a	$0 = 0$
L04	<i>Lemma 1.11.c</i>	$\neg(0 = 0) \Rightarrow ((0 = 0) \Rightarrow (\exists w)(0 = w'))$
L05	<i>MP, L02, L03</i>	$(\exists w)(0 = w')$
L06	<i>Ded, L05</i>	$(0 \neq 0) \Rightarrow (\exists w)(0 = w')$

Part II

L01 :	<i>premise</i>	$(x \neq 0) \Rightarrow (\exists w)(x = w')$
L02 :	<i>S3'</i>	$x' \neq 0$
L03 :	<i>Taut. : $\mathcal{A} \vee \neg \mathcal{A}$</i>	$(x = 0) \vee \neg(x = 0)$
L04 :	<i>premise</i>	$(x = 0)$
L05 :	<i>S2'</i>	$0' = x'$
L06 :	<i>E4</i>	$(x = 0) \Rightarrow (\exists w)(x' = w')$
L07 :	<i>premise</i>	$\neg(x = 0)$
L08 :	<i>MP, L01, L07</i>	$(\exists w)(x = w')$
L09 :	<i>rulec</i>	$x = b'$
L10 :	<i>S2'</i>	$(\exists w)(x' = w')$
L11 :	<i>E4</i>	$\neg(x = 0) \Rightarrow (\exists w)(x' = w')$
L12	<i>Lemma H11, L06.L11</i>	$(x' \neq 0) \Rightarrow (\exists w)(x' = w')$

Tilbage er blot at bruge sætning S9 for at fuldende induktionsbeviset.

7 Udsagn 3.7

Vi har kun lavet de indledende definitioner, da hele 3.7 kræver “existential rule”.

7.1 Definitioner af $x < y$

Vi har makrodefineret følgende definitioner:

$$[x < y \ddot{=} \exists z: (z \neq 0 \wedge z + x = y)]$$

$$[x \leq y \ddot{=} x < y \vee x = y]$$

$$[x > y \ddot{=} y < x]$$

$$[x \geq y \ddot{=} y \leq x]$$

$$[x \not< y \ddot{=} \neg(x < y)]$$

$$[x \not\leq y \ddot{=} y \not< x]$$

$$[x \mid y \ddot{=} \exists z: y = x \cdot z]$$

Ved hjælp af disse definitioner og “existential rule” ville 3.7 kunne bevises.

A Hjælpelemmaer

Til flere af vores beviser har vi brug for nogle hjælpelemmaer, disse er bevist i det følgende afsnit

A.1 Liste over hjælpelemmaer

[S lemma Lem1.11c: $\Pi A, B: \neg A \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow B)$]

[S lemma Cor1.10a: $\Pi A, B, C: (A \Rightarrow B) \vdash (B \Rightarrow C) \vdash A \Rightarrow C$]

[S lemma Cor1.10b: $\Pi A, B, C: A \Rightarrow (B \Rightarrow C) \vdash B \vdash A \Rightarrow C$]

[S lemma Lem1.11a: $\Pi A: \neg\neg A \Rightarrow A$]

[S lemma Lem1.11b: $\Pi A: A \Rightarrow \neg\neg A$]

[S lemma Prop3.2c': $\Pi A, B, C: A = B \Rightarrow B = C \Rightarrow A = C$]

[S lemma S1'': $\Pi A, B, C: A = B \Rightarrow A = C \Rightarrow B = C$]

[S lemma Neg': $\Pi A, B: (\neg B \Rightarrow \neg A) \Rightarrow (\neg B \Rightarrow A) \Rightarrow B$]

[S lemma Repetition': $\Pi A: A \Rightarrow A$]

[S lemma Lem1.11e: $\Pi A, B: (A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow (\neg B \Rightarrow \neg A)$]

[S lemma Lem1.11d: $\Pi A, B: (\neg B \Rightarrow \neg A) \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow B)$]

[S lemma Prop3.2b': $\Pi A, B: A = B \Rightarrow B = A$]

[S lemma H10: $\Pi A, B: B \neq A \vdash A \neq B$]

[S lemma H11: $\Pi A, B, C: A \vee B \vdash A \Rightarrow C \vdash B \Rightarrow C \vdash C$]

[S lemma Lem1.11g: $\Pi A, B: (A \Rightarrow B) \vdash (\neg A \Rightarrow B) \vdash B$]

[S lemma MT: $\Pi A, B: (A \Rightarrow B) \vdash \neg B \vdash \neg A$]

A.2 Beviser for hjælpelemmaer

[S lemma Lem1.11c: $\Pi A, B: \neg A \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow B)$]

S proof of Lem1.11c:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	A, B	;
L02:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L03:	Arbitrary \gg	A, B	;
L04:	Premise \gg	$\neg A$;
L05:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L06:	Arbitrary \gg	A, B	;
L07:	Premise \gg	A	;

L08:	Repetition \triangleright L04 \gg	$\neg A$;
L09:	A1' \gg	$A \Rightarrow (\neg B \Rightarrow A)$;
L10:	L09 \triangleright L07 \gg	$\neg B \Rightarrow A$;
L11:	A1' \gg	$\neg A \Rightarrow (\neg B \Rightarrow \neg A)$;
L12:	L11 \triangleright L08 \gg	$\neg B \Rightarrow \neg A$;
L13:	Neg \triangleright L12 \triangleright L10 \gg	B	;
L14:	Block \gg	End	;
L15:	Ded \triangleright L14 \gg	$A \Rightarrow B$;
L16:	Block \gg	End	;
L17:	Ded \triangleright L16 \gg	$\neg A \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow B)$	□

[S lemma Cor1.10a: $\Pi A, B, C: (A \Rightarrow B) \vdash (B \Rightarrow C) \vdash A \Rightarrow C$]

S proof of Cor1.10a:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	A, B, C	;
L02:	Premise \gg	$A \Rightarrow B$;
L03:	Premise \gg	$B \Rightarrow C$;
L04:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L05:	Arbitrary \gg	A, B, C	;
L06:	Premise \gg	$A \Rightarrow B$;
L07:	Premise \gg	$B \Rightarrow C$;
L08:	Premise \gg	A	;
L09:	L06 \triangleright L08 \gg	B	;
L10:	L07 \triangleright L09 \gg	C	;
L11:	Block \gg	End	;
L12:	Ded \triangleright L11 \gg	$(A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow (B \Rightarrow C) \Rightarrow A \Rightarrow C$;
L13:	L12 \triangleright L02 \gg	$(B \Rightarrow C) \Rightarrow A \Rightarrow C$;
L14:	L13 \triangleright L03 \gg	$A \Rightarrow C$	□

[S lemma Cor1.10b: $\Pi A, B, C: A \Rightarrow (B \Rightarrow C) \vdash B \vdash A \Rightarrow C$]

S proof of Cor1.10b:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	A, B, C	;
L02:	Premise \gg	$A \Rightarrow B \Rightarrow C$;
L03:	Premise \gg	B	;
L04:	A2' \gg	$(A \Rightarrow B \Rightarrow C) \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow$ $A \Rightarrow C$;
L05:	L04 \triangleright L02 \gg	$(A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow A \Rightarrow C$;
L06:	A1' \gg	$B \Rightarrow A \Rightarrow B$;
L07:	L06 \triangleright L03 \gg	$A \Rightarrow B$;
L08:	L05 \triangleright L07 \gg	$A \Rightarrow C$	□

[S lemma Lem1.11a: $\Pi A: \neg\neg A \Rightarrow A$]

S proof of Lem1.11a:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	A	;
L02:	Neg' \gg	$(\neg(A) \Rightarrow \neg(\neg A)) \Rightarrow ((\neg(A) \Rightarrow$ $(\neg A)) \Rightarrow A)$;

L03:	Repetition' \gg	$\neg A \Rightarrow \neg A$;
L04:	Cor1.10b \triangleright L02 \triangleright L03 \gg	$(\neg A \Rightarrow \neg(\neg A)) \Rightarrow A$;
L05:	A1' \gg	$\neg(\neg A) \Rightarrow (\neg A \Rightarrow \neg(\neg A))$;
L06:	Cor1.10a \triangleright L05 \triangleright L04 \gg	$\neg\neg A \Rightarrow A$	□

[S lemma Lem1.11b: $\Pi A: A \Rightarrow \neg\neg A$]

S proof of Lem1.11b:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	A	;
L02:	Neg' \gg	$(\neg\neg\neg A \Rightarrow \neg A) \Rightarrow ((\neg\neg\neg A \Rightarrow A) \Rightarrow \neg\neg A)$;
L03:	Lem1.11a \gg	$\neg\neg(\neg A) \Rightarrow (\neg A)$;
L04:	L02 \triangleright L03 \gg	$(\neg\neg\neg A \Rightarrow A) \Rightarrow \neg\neg A$;
L05:	A1' \gg	$A \Rightarrow (\neg\neg\neg A \Rightarrow A)$;
L06:	Cor1.10a \triangleright L05 \triangleright L04 \gg	$A \Rightarrow \neg\neg A$	□

[S lemma Prop3.2c': $\Pi A, B, C: A = B \Rightarrow B = C \Rightarrow A = C$]

S proof of Prop3.2c':

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	A, B, C	;
L02:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L03:	Arbitrary \gg	A, B, C	;
L04:	Premise \gg	$A = B$;
L05:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L06:	Arbitrary \gg	A, B, C	;
L07:	Premise \gg	$B = C$;
L08:	Prop 3.2c \triangleright L04 \triangleright L07 \gg	$A = C$;
L09:	Block \gg	End	;
L10:	Ded \triangleright L09 \gg	$B = C \Rightarrow A = C$;
L11:	Block \gg	End	;
L12:	Ded \triangleright L11 \gg	$A = B \Rightarrow B = C \Rightarrow A = C$	□

[S lemma S1'': $\Pi A, B, C: A = B \Rightarrow A = C \Rightarrow B = C$]

S proof of S1'':

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	A, B, C	;
L02:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L03:	Arbitrary \gg	A, B, C	;
L04:	Premise \gg	$A = B$;
L05:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L06:	Arbitrary \gg	A, B, C	;
L07:	Premise \gg	$A = C$;
L08:	S1 \triangleright L04 \triangleright L07 \gg	$B = C$;
L09:	Block \gg	End	;
L10:	Ded \triangleright L09 \gg	$A = C \Rightarrow B = C$;
L11:	Block \gg	End	;
L12:	Ded \triangleright L11 \gg	$A = B \Rightarrow A = C \Rightarrow B = C$	□

[S lemma Neg': $\Pi A, B: (\neg B \Rightarrow \neg A) \Rightarrow (\neg B \Rightarrow A) \Rightarrow B$]

S proof of Neg':

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	A, B	;
L02:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L03:	Arbitrary \gg	A, B	;
L04:	Premise \gg	$\neg B \Rightarrow \neg A$;
L05:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L06:	Arbitrary \gg	A, B	;
L07:	Premise \gg	$\neg B \Rightarrow A$;
L08:	Neg \triangleright L04 \triangleright L07 \gg	B	;
L09:	Block \gg	End	;
L10:	Ded \triangleright L09 \gg	$(\neg B \Rightarrow A) \Rightarrow B$;
L11:	Block \gg	End	;
L12:	Ded \triangleright L11 \gg	$(\neg B \Rightarrow \neg A) \Rightarrow (\neg B \Rightarrow A) \Rightarrow B$	□

[S lemma Repetition': $\Pi A: A \Rightarrow A$]

S proof of Repetition':

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	A	;
L02:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L03:	Arbitrary \gg	A	;
L04:	Premise \gg	A	;
L05:	Repetition \triangleright L04 \gg	A	;
L06:	Block \gg	End	;
L07:	Ded \triangleright L06 \gg	$A \Rightarrow A$	□

[S lemma H10: $\Pi A, B: B \neq A \vdash A \neq B$]

S proof of H10:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	A, B	;
L02:	Premise \gg	$B \neq A$;
L03:	Prop3.2b' \gg	$A = B \Rightarrow B = A$;
L04:	Lem1.11e \gg	$(A = B \Rightarrow B = A) \Rightarrow B \neq A \Rightarrow$ $A \neq B$;
L05:	L04 \triangleright L03 \gg	$B \neq A \Rightarrow A \neq B$;
L06:	L05 \triangleright L02 \gg	$A \neq B$	□

[S lemma MT: $\Pi A, B: (A \Rightarrow B) \vdash \neg B \vdash \neg A$]

S proof of MT:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	A, B	;
L02:	Premise \gg	$A \Rightarrow B$;
L03:	Premise \gg	$\neg B$;
L04:	Lem1.11a \gg	$\neg \neg A \Rightarrow A$;
L05:	Cor1.10a \triangleright L04 \triangleright L02 \gg	$\neg \neg A \Rightarrow B$;
L06:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L07:	Arbitrary \gg	A, B	;

L08:	Premise \gg	$\neg\neg\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \mathcal{B}$;
L09:	Premise \gg	$\neg\mathcal{B}$;
L10:	$A1'$ \gg	$\neg\mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \neg\neg\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \neg\mathcal{B}$;
L11:	$L10 \supseteq L09 \gg$	$\neg\neg\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \neg\mathcal{B}$;
L12:	Neg $\triangleright L11 \triangleright L08 \gg$	$\neg\mathcal{A}$;
L13:	Block \gg	End	;
L14:	Ded $\triangleright L13 \gg$	$(\neg\neg\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \mathcal{B}) \Rightarrow \neg\mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \neg\mathcal{A}$;
L15:	$L14 \supseteq L05 \gg$	$\neg\mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \neg\mathcal{A}$;
L16:	$L15 \supseteq L03 \gg$	$\neg\mathcal{A}$	□

[S lemma Lem1.11e: $\Pi\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}: (\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \mathcal{B}) \Rightarrow (\neg\mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \neg\mathcal{A})$]

S proof of Lem1.11e:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}	;
L02:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L03:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}	;
L04:	Premise \gg	$\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \mathcal{B}$;
L05:	Lem1.11a \gg	$\neg\neg\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \mathcal{A}$;
L06:	Cor1.10a $\triangleright L05 \triangleright L04 \gg$	$\neg\neg\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \mathcal{B}$;
L07:	Lem1.11b \gg	$\mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \neg\neg\mathcal{B}$;
L08:	Cor1.10a $\triangleright L06 \triangleright L07 \gg$	$\neg\neg\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \neg\neg\mathcal{B}$;
L09:	Lem1.11d \gg	$(\neg\neg\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \neg\neg\mathcal{B}) \Rightarrow \neg\mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \neg\mathcal{A}$;
L10:	$L09 \supseteq L08 \gg$	$\neg\mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \neg\mathcal{A}$;
L11:	Block \gg	End	;
L12:	Ded $\triangleright L11 \gg$	$(\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \mathcal{B}) \Rightarrow \neg\mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \neg\mathcal{A}$	□

[S lemma Lem1.11d: $\Pi\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}: (\neg\mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \neg\mathcal{A}) \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \mathcal{B})$]

S proof of Lem1.11d:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}	;
L02:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L03:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}	;
L04:	Premise \gg	$\neg\mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \neg\mathcal{A}$;
L05:	Neg' \gg	$(\neg\mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \neg\mathcal{A}) \Rightarrow ((\neg\mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \mathcal{A}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{B})$;
L06:	$A1'$ \gg	$\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow (\neg\mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \mathcal{A})$;
L07:	$L05 \supseteq L04 \gg$	$(\neg\mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \mathcal{A}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{B}$;
L08:	Cor1.10a $\triangleright L06 \triangleright L07 \gg$	$\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \mathcal{B}$;
L09:	Block \gg	End	;
L10:	Ded $\triangleright L09 \gg$	$(\neg\mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \neg\mathcal{A}) \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \mathcal{B})$	□

[S lemma Prop3.2b': $\Pi\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}: \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}$]

S proof of Prop3.2b':

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}	;
L02:	Block \gg	Begin	;
L03:	Arbitrary \gg	\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}	;
L04:	Premise \gg	$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$;

L05:	Prop 3.2b \triangleright L04 \gg	$B = A$;
L06:	Block \gg	End	;
L07:	Ded \triangleright L06 \gg	$A = B \Rightarrow B = A$	□

[S lemma Lem1.11g: $\Pi A, B: (A \Rightarrow B) \vdash (\neg A \Rightarrow B) \vdash B$]

S proof of Lem1.11g:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	A, B	;
L02:	Premise \gg	$A \Rightarrow B$;
L03:	Premise \gg	$\neg A \Rightarrow B$;
L04:	Lem1.11e \gg	$(A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow \neg B \Rightarrow \neg A$;
L05:	L04 \supseteq L02 \gg	$\neg B \Rightarrow \neg A$;
L06:	Lem1.11e \gg	$(\neg A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow \neg B \Rightarrow \neg\neg A$;
L07:	L06 \supseteq L03 \gg	$\neg B \Rightarrow \neg\neg A$;
L08:	Neg \triangleright L07 \triangleright L05 \gg	B	□

[S lemma H11: $\Pi A, B, C: A \vee B \vdash A \Rightarrow C \vdash B \Rightarrow C \vdash C$]

S proof of H11:

L01:	Arbitrary \gg	A, B, C	;
L02:	Premise \gg	$A \vee B$;
L03:	Premise \gg	$A \Rightarrow C$;
L04:	Premise \gg	$B \Rightarrow C$;
L05:	Cor1.10a \triangleright L02 \triangleright L04 \gg	$\neg A \Rightarrow C$;
L06:	Lem1.11g \triangleright L03 \triangleright L05 \gg	C	□

Litteratur

- [Gru06] K. Grue. A logiweb base page. Technical report, Logiweb, 2006. <http://www.diku.dk/cgi-bin/cginetd/grue/relay/go/01693B66F0C393B695F3F15770898897A683141109C083AC838ADCA20806/2/>.
- [Men97] E. Mendelson. *Introduction to Mathematical Logic*. Chapman & Hall, 4. edition, 1997.