



Monads in Action

Andrzej Filinski

Department of Computer Science (DIKU)
University of Copenhagen

Principles of Programming Languages January 20–22, 2010, Madrid

Background and overview

- Two approaches to uniformly specifying computational effects:
 - Monad of computations + pure definitions of operators
 - Translate client program using monad components, plug in operator definitions, evaluate by core semantics
 - Typically used in Haskell-like settings
 - Stylized evaluation contexts + context-rewriting operators
 - Formalize context shapes, extend core semantics with new rules for effectful operators
 - Typically used in ML/Scheme-like settings
- Can we generically derive (2) from (1)
 - ... without giving up the monadic equational theory?
- In paper: details for full multimonadic metalanguage
 - Here: for single effect only; expressed in Haskell subset (slightly oversimplified)
 - There is a complementary story for ML-like settings



 $\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{raise} \ e : M_{e_{\mathbf{Y}}} \ t$

Monadic Haskell with Int-exceptions

Core lang.

```
t ::= Int \mid t_1 \rightarrow t_2 \mid Either t_1 t_2 \mid M_{\epsilon} t
\varepsilon ::= id | ex | · · ·
e ::= n \mid x \mid \lambda x \rightarrow e \mid e_1 \mid e_2 \mid Left \mid e \mid Right \mid e
                                                                                                      Core lang.
                 case e_0 of {Left x \rightarrow e_1; Right y \rightarrow e_2}
                  | return e | do x \leftarrow e_1; e_2 | raise e | try e_1 with x \rightarrow e_2
Typing judgment |\Gamma \vdash e : t|. Usual rules for core constructs +
                \Gamma \vdash e:t
                                                    \Gamma \vdash e_1 : M_{\varepsilon} t_1 \quad \Gamma, x : t_1 \vdash e_2 : M_{\varepsilon} t_2
     \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{return}^{\varepsilon} e : M_{\varepsilon} t
                                                             \Gamma \vdash \operatorname{do}^{\varepsilon} x \leftarrow e_1 : e_2 : M_{\varepsilon} t_2
           \Gamma \vdash e : Int
                                                 \Gamma \vdash e_1 : M_{e_X} t \qquad \Gamma, x : Int \vdash e_2 : M_{e_1} t
```

 $\Gamma \vdash \operatorname{try}^{\varepsilon} e_1 \text{ with } x \to e_2 : M_{\varepsilon} t$

Superscripts on **return**, **do**, **try**: from overloading resolution.



Specifying exceptions with a monad

Transparent/concrete definition of exception monad:

```
type T_{ex} a = Either \ a \ Int

unit_{ex} :: a \rightarrow T_{ex} \ a

unit_{ex} a = Left \ a

bind_{ex} :: T_{ex} \ a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow T_{ex} \ b) \rightarrow T_{ex} \ b

bind_{ex} \ t \ f = \mathbf{case} \ t \ \mathbf{of} \ \{Left \ a \rightarrow f \ a; \ Right \ n \rightarrow Right \ n\}
```

Used to implement *abstract* effect of exceptions:

```
newtype M_{\varepsilon} a = Reflect_{\varepsilon} (T_{\varepsilon} a) Reflect_{\varepsilon} :: T_{\varepsilon} a \to M_{\varepsilon} a reify_{\varepsilon} (Reflect_{\varepsilon} t) = t reify_{\varepsilon} :: M_{\varepsilon} a \to T_{\varepsilon} a return^{\varepsilon} e = Reflect_{\varepsilon} (unit_{\varepsilon} e) do^{\varepsilon} x \leftarrow e_1; e_2 = Reflect_{\varepsilon} (bind_{\varepsilon} (reify_{\varepsilon} e_1) (\lambda x \to reify_{\varepsilon} e_2)) raise \ e \equiv Reflect_{ex} (Right \ e) -- definitional abbrevs. try^{\varepsilon} \ e_1 \ with \ x \to e_2 \equiv case \ reify_{ex} \ e_1 \ of \ \{Left \ a \to return^{\varepsilon} \ a; Right \ x \to e_2\}
```

Standard (JIT-translational) operational semantics

 $e ::= (\mathit{core}) \mid \mathsf{return}^\varepsilon \, e \mid \mathsf{do}^\varepsilon \, \, x \leftarrow e_1; e_2 \mid \mathit{reify}_\varepsilon \, e \mid \mathit{Reflect}_\varepsilon \, e$

Reduction judgment $e \longrightarrow e'$ for *closed* terms:

case Left e of {Left $x_1 \rightarrow e_1$; Right $x_2 \rightarrow e_2$ } $\longrightarrow e_1[e/x]$ (+symm)

$$\mathsf{return}^{\varepsilon} \ e \longrightarrow \mathsf{Reflect}_{\varepsilon} \ (\mathit{unit}_{\varepsilon} \ e)$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{do}^{\varepsilon} \ x \leftarrow e_1; e_2 \longrightarrow Reflect_{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{bind_{\varepsilon} \left(reify_{\varepsilon} e_1 \right) \left(\lambda x \rightarrow reify_{\varepsilon} e_2 \right) \right)}{e \longrightarrow e'}$$

$$\frac{e \longrightarrow e'}{reify_{\varepsilon} e \longrightarrow reify_{\varepsilon} e'} \frac{reify_{\varepsilon} \left(Reflect_{\varepsilon} e \right) \longrightarrow e}{reify_{\varepsilon} \left(Reflect_{\varepsilon} e \right) \longrightarrow e}$$

Note: tags on **return** $^{\varepsilon}$, **do** $^{\varepsilon}$ play essential role in behavior.

Note: code for $unit_{\varepsilon}$, $bind_{\varepsilon}$ traversed on every $return^{\varepsilon}$, do^{ε} .



Equational theory

If $(T_{\varepsilon}, unit_{\varepsilon}, bind_{\varepsilon})$ satisfy monad laws, get additional valid reasoning principles for observational equivalence:

$$(\lambda x \to e_1) \, e_2 \quad = \quad e_1[e_2/x]$$

$$\lambda x \to e \, x \quad = \quad e \quad (x \notin FV(e))$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\mathbf{do}^{\varepsilon} \, x \leftarrow \mathbf{return}^{\varepsilon} \, e_1; \, e_2 \quad = \quad e_2[e_1/x]$$

$$\mathbf{do}^{\varepsilon} \, x \leftarrow e; \mathbf{return}^{\varepsilon} \, x \quad = \quad e$$

$$\mathbf{do}^{\varepsilon} \, y \leftarrow (\mathbf{do}^{\varepsilon} \, x \leftarrow e_1; e_2); \, e_3 \quad = \quad \mathbf{do}^{\varepsilon} \, x \leftarrow e_1; \, \mathbf{do}^{\varepsilon} \, y \leftarrow e_2; \, e_3 \quad (x \notin FV(e_3))$$

$$reify_{\varepsilon} \, (\mathbf{return}^{\varepsilon} \, e) \quad = \quad unit_{\varepsilon} \, e$$

$$reify_{\varepsilon} \, (\mathbf{do}^{\varepsilon} \, x \leftarrow e_1; e_2) \quad = \quad bind_{\varepsilon} \, (reify_{\varepsilon} \, e_1) \, (\lambda x \to reify_{\varepsilon} \, e_2)$$

$$reify_{\varepsilon} \, (Reflect_{\varepsilon} \, e) \quad = \quad e$$

$$Reflect_{\varepsilon} \, (reify_{\varepsilon} \, e) \quad = \quad e$$

Can we operationalize these equations in a different way?

New (effectful) operational semantics

$$e ::= (core) \mid \mathbf{return} \ e \mid \mathbf{do} \ x \leftarrow e_1; e_2 \mid reify_\varepsilon \ e \mid \mathbf{do} \ x \leftarrow Reflect_\varepsilon \ e_1; e_2$$

$$reflect_\varepsilon \ e \equiv \mathbf{do} \ x \leftarrow Reflect_\varepsilon \ e; \mathbf{return} \ x$$

$$e \longrightarrow e'$$

$$(Unmodified \ rules \ for \ core \ constructs)$$

$$e_1 \longrightarrow e'_1$$

$$\mathbf{do} \ x \leftarrow e_1; e_2 \longrightarrow \mathbf{do} \ x \leftarrow e'_1; e_2$$

$$\mathbf{do} \ x \leftarrow \mathbf{return} \ e_1; e_2 \longrightarrow e_2[e_1/x]$$

$$\mathbf{do} \ y \leftarrow (\mathbf{do} \ x \leftarrow Reflect_\varepsilon \ e_1; e_2); e_3$$

$$\rightarrow \mathbf{do} \ x \leftarrow Reflect_\varepsilon \ e_1; \mathbf{do} \ y \leftarrow e_2; e_3$$

$$e \longrightarrow e'$$

$$reify_\varepsilon \ e \longrightarrow reify_\varepsilon \ e'$$

$$reify_\varepsilon \ (\mathbf{return} \ e) \longrightarrow \mathbf{unit}_\varepsilon \ e$$

$$reify_\varepsilon \ (\mathbf{do} \ x \leftarrow Reflect_\varepsilon \ e_1; e_2) \longrightarrow \mathbf{bind}_\varepsilon \ e_1 \ (\lambda x \rightarrow reify_\varepsilon \ e_2)$$

$$(*)$$

Marked rules: match up $Reflect_{\varepsilon}$ with nearest enclosing $reify_{\varepsilon}$.

Properties of reduction semantics

- **Sound:** if $e \longrightarrow e'$, then e = e' in equational theory.
- **Deterministic:** if $e \longrightarrow e'$ and $e \longrightarrow e''$, then $e' \equiv e''$.
- **Type-preserving:** if $\cdot \vdash e : t$ and $e \longrightarrow e'$, then $\cdot \vdash e' : t$.
- **Progressing:** if $\cdot \vdash e : t$, then either e canonical, or $e \longrightarrow e'$. Canonical forms are:

$$\overbrace{n \mid \lambda x \to e \mid Left \mid Right \mid e \mid do \ x \leftarrow Reflect_{\varepsilon} \mid e_{1}; e_{2}}^{Int}$$

Note: an M-computation is either finished, or an effect invocation.

• In particular, a closed term of type *Int* (but using monadic effects internally) must reduce to an *n*, or diverge.



Evaluation-context formulation of new semantics

General and restricted evaluation contexts:

$$E ::= [] \mid E e \mid \mathbf{case} \ E \ \mathbf{of} \ \{\cdots\} \mid \mathbf{do} \ x \leftarrow E; e \mid \mathit{reify}_{\varepsilon} \ E$$

$$F ::= [] \mid \mathbf{do} \ x \leftarrow F; e \qquad (in particular, no \mathit{reify}_{\varepsilon} \ F)$$

Bigger-step judgment $e \longrightarrow e'$:

$$\frac{e \longrightarrow e'}{E[e] \longrightarrow E[e']} \qquad \overline{(\lambda x \rightarrow e_1) e_2 \longrightarrow e_1[e_2/x]}$$

case Left e **of** {Left $x_1 \rightarrow e_1$; Right $x_2 \rightarrow e_2$ } $\longrightarrow e_1[e/x]$ (+symm)

do
$$x \leftarrow \mathbf{return} \ e_1; \ e_2 \longrightarrow e_2[e_1/x] \qquad reify_{\varepsilon} (\mathbf{return} \ e) \longrightarrow unit_{\varepsilon} \ e$$

$$\overline{\operatorname{reify}_{\varepsilon}\left(F[\operatorname{reflect}_{\varepsilon}e]\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{bind}_{\varepsilon}e\left(\lambda x \to \operatorname{reify}_{\varepsilon}\left(F[\operatorname{return}x]\right)\right)}^{(*)}$$

Sound: if $e \longrightarrow e'$ then $e \longrightarrow^+ e'$.



Example: exceptions

```
T_{\mathrm{ex}} \ a = Either \ a \ Int; \ unit_{\mathrm{ex}} \ a = Left \ a; \ bind_{\mathrm{ex}} = \cdots
\mathsf{raise} \ e \equiv reflect_{\mathrm{ex}} \ (Right \ e)
\mathsf{try} \ e_1 \ \mathsf{with} \ x \to e_2 \equiv 
\mathsf{case} \ reify_{\mathrm{ex}} \ e_1 \ \mathsf{of} \ \{Left \ a \to \mathsf{return} \ a; \ Right \ x \to e_2\}
```

Derivable typing and reduction rules:

$$\begin{array}{c} \Gamma \vdash e : \mathit{Int} \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{raise} \ e : \mathit{M}_{ex} \ t \\ \hline \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \mathit{M}_{ex} \ t \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{try} \ e_1 \ \mathsf{with} \ x \to e_2 : \mathit{M}_{\varepsilon} \ t \\ \hline \\ e_1 \longrightarrow e'_1 \\ \hline \\ \mathsf{try} \ e_1 \ \mathsf{with} \ x \to e_2 \longrightarrow \mathsf{try} \ e'_1 \ \mathsf{with} \ x \to e_2 \\ \hline \\ \mathsf{try} \ \mathsf{return} \ e_0 \ \mathsf{with} \ x \to e_2 \longrightarrow^+ \mathsf{return} \ e_0 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \mathsf{try} \ \mathit{F}[\mathsf{raise} \ e_0] \ \mathsf{with} \ x \to e_2 \longrightarrow^+ e_2[e_0/x] \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Example: state

$$T_{st} a = Int \rightarrow (a, Int); unit_{st} a = \lambda s \rightarrow (a, s); bind_{st} = \cdots$$
withst e_1 do $e_2 \equiv let (a, s') = (reify_{st} e_2) e_1$ in a

$$getst \equiv reflect_{st} (\lambda s \rightarrow (s, s))$$

$$setst e \equiv reflect_{st} (\lambda s \rightarrow ((), e))$$

Derived typing and reduction rules:

withst e do $F[getst] \longrightarrow^+$ withst e do F[return e]

withst e do F[setst $e'] \longrightarrow^+$ withst e' do F[return ()]



Conclusions

- Monadic definitions of effects can be given direct operational interpretation; Curry-style type system.
- Independent reconstruction of evaluation-context semantics.
 - Related construction: taking implementation type M to be a delimited-continuations monad ⇒ embedding arbitrary monadic effects in Scheme.
- In paper:
 - Full core language with product, sum, function, recursive, and generalized-effect types; effect-subtyping.
 - Explicit syntax for effect definitions with layering.
 - Precise formulation of semantics (explicit and context-based),
 type system, type soundness (all formalized in Twelf).
- Current work: correspondence between (domain-theoretic) denotational and operational semantics for monadic effects.

